### Lecture #19: Code Generation

[This lecture adopted in part from notes by R. Bodik]

Last modified: Wed Oct 31 12:18:49 2012

### Intermediate Languages and Machine Languages

- From trees such as output from project #2, could produce machine language directly.
- However, it is often convenient to first generate some kind of intermediate language (IL): a "high-level machine language" for a "virtual machine."

#### Advantages:

- Separates problem of extracting the operational meaning (the dynamic semantics) of a program from the problem of producing good machine code from it, because it...
- Gives a clean target for code generation from the AST.
- By choosing IL judiciously, we can make the conversion of IL ightarrowmachine language easier than the direct conversion of  $AST \rightarrow ma$ chine language. Helpful when we want to target several different architectures (e.g., gcc).
- Likewise, if we can use the same IL for multiple languages, we can re-use the IL  $\rightarrow$  machine language implementation (e.g., gcc, CIL from Microsoft's Common Language Infrastructure).

#### Stack Machines as Virtual Machines

- A simple evaluation model: instead of registers, a stack of values for intermediate results.
- Examples: The Java Virtual Machine, the Postscript interpreter.
- Each operation (1) pops its operands from the top of the stack, (2) computes the required operation on them, and (3) pushes the result on the stack.
- A program to compute 7 + 5:

```
push 7  # Push constant 7 on stack
push 5
add  # Pop two 5 and 7 from stack, add, and push result.
```

#### Advantages

- Uniform compilation scheme: Each operation takes operands from the same place and puts results in the same place.
- Fewer explict operands in instructions means smaller encoding of instructions and more compact programs.
- Meshes nicely with subroutine calling conventions that push arguments on stack.

#### Stack Machine with Accumulator

- The add instruction does 3 memory operations: Two reads and one write of the stack.
- The top of the stack is frequently accessed
- Idea: keep most recently computed value in a register (called the accumulator) since register accesses are faster.
- For an operation  $op(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ :
  - compute each of  $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$  into acc and then push on the stack;
  - compute  $e_n$  into the accumulator;
  - perform op computation, with result in acc.
  - pop  $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$  off stack.
- The add instruction is now

```
acc := acc + top_of_stack
pop one item off the stack
```

and uses just one memory operation (popping just means adding constant to stack-pointer register).

After computing an expression the stack is as it was before computing the operands.

# Example: Full computation of 7+5

```
acc := 7
push acc
acc := 5
acc := acc + top_of_stack
pop stack
```

#### A Point of Order

- Often more convenient to push operands in reverse order, so rightmost operand pushed first.
- This is a common convention for pushing function arguments, and is especially natural when stack grows toward lower addresses.
- Also nice for non-commutative operations on architectures such as the ia32.
- $\bullet$  Example: compute x y. We show assembly code on the right

### Translating from AST to Stack Machine

- A simple recursive pattern usually serves for expressions.
- At the top level, our trees might have an expression-code method:

```
class AST {
   /** Generate code for me, leaving my value on the stack. */
   virtual void cgen (VM* machine);
}
```

• Implementations of cgen then obey this general comment, and each assumes that its children will as well. E.g.,

```
class BinopNode : public AST {
  void cgen (VM* machine) {
      getRight ()->cgen (machine);
      getLeft ()->cgen (machine);
      machine->emitInst (translateToInst (getOp ()));
}
```

We assume here a VM is some abstraction of the virtual machine we're producing code for. emitInst adds machine instructions to the program, and translateToInst converts, e.g., a '+' to add.

### Virtual Register Machines and Three-Address Code

- Another common kind of virtual machine has an infinite supply of registers, each capable of holding a scalar value or address, in addition to ordinary memory.
- A common IL in this case is some form of three-address code, so called because the typical "working" instruction has the form

target := operand<sub>1</sub>  $\oplus$  operand<sub>2</sub>

where there are two source "addresses," one destination "address" and an operation  $(\oplus)$ .

 Often, we require that the operands in the full three-address form denote (virtual) registers or immediate (literal) values.

### Three-Address Code, continued

• A few other forms deal with memory and other kinds of operation:

```
memory_operand := register_or_immediate_operand
register_operand := memory_operand
goto label
if operand1 \prec operand2 then goto label
param operand
                              ; Push parameter for call.
call operand, # of parameters ; Call, put return in specific r
```

 $\bullet$  Here,  $\prec$  stands for some kind of comparison. Memory operands might be labels of static locations, or indexed operands such as (in C-like notation) \*(r1+4) or \*(r1+r2).

### Translating from AST into Three-Address Code

• This time, we'll have the cgen routine return where it has put its result:

```
class AST {
    /** Generate code to compute my value, returning the location
       of the result. */
   virtual Operand* cgen (VM* machine);
}
```

- Where an Operand denotes some abstract place holding a value.
- Once again, we rely on our children to obey this general comment:

```
class BinopNode : public AST {
   Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
      Operand* left = getLeft ()->cgen (machine);
      Operand* right = getRight ()->cgen (machine);
      Operand* result = machine->allocateRegister ();
     machine->emitInst (result, translateToInst (getOp ()), left, right);
     return result;
}
```

emitInst now produces three-address instructions.

### A Larger Example

Consider a small language with integers and integer operations:

- The first function definition f is the "main" routine
- Running the program on input i means computing f(i)
- Assume a project-2-like AST.
- Let's continue implementing cgen ('+' and '-' already done).

## Simple Cases: Literals and Sequences

#### Conversion of D ";" P:

```
class StmtListNode : public AST {
   Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
      for (int i = 0; i < arity(); i += 1)
         get (i)->cgen (machine);
   }
  return Operand::NoneOperand;
}
class IntLiteralNode : public AST {
  Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
       return machine->immediateOperand (intTokenValue ());
   }
}
```

NoneOperand is an Operand that contains None.

#### **Identifiers**

```
class IdNode : public AST {
    ...
    Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
        Operand result = machine->allocateRegister ();
        machine->emitInst (MOVE, result, getDecl()->getMyLocation (machine));
        return result;
    }
}
```

• That is, we assume that the declaration object holding information about this occurrence of the identifier contains its location.

#### Calls

```
class CallNode : public AST {
    ...
    Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
        AST* args = getArgList ();
        for (int i = args->arity ()-1; i >= 0; i -= 1)
            machine->emitInst (PARAM, args.get (i)->cgen (machine));
        Operand* callable = getCallable ()->cgen (machine);
        machine->emitInst (CALL, callable, args->arity ());
        return Operand::ReturnOperand;
    }
}
```

• ReturnOperand is abstract location where functions return their value.

### Control Expressions: if

```
class IfExprNode : public AST {
  Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
     Operand* left = getLeft ()->cgen (machine);
     Operand* right = getRight ()->cgen (machine);
     Label* elseLabel = machine->newLabel ();
     Label* doneLabel = machine->newLabel ();
     machine->emitInst (IFNE, left, right, elseLabel);
     Operand* result = machine->allocateRegister ();
     machine->emitInst (MOVE, result, getThenPart ()->cgen (machine));
     machine->emitInst (GOTO, doneLabel);
     machine->placeLabel (elseLabel);
     machine->emitInst (MOVE, result, getElsePart ()->cgen (machine));
     machine->placeLabel (doneLabel);
     return result;
   }
}
```

- newLabel creates a new, undefined assembler instruction label.
- placeLabel inserts a definition of the label in the code.

### Code generation for 'def'

```
class DefNode : public AST {
  Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
     machine->placeLabel (getName ());
     machine->emitFunctionPrologue ();
     Operand* result = getBody ()->cgen (machine);
     machine->emitInst (MOVE, Operand::ReturnOperand, result);
     machine->emitFunctionEpilogue ();
     return Operand::NoneOperand;
}
```

 Where function prologues and epilogues are standard code sequences for entering and leaving functions, setting frame pointers, etc.

## A Sample Translation

#### Program for computing the Fibonacci numbers:

```
def fib(x) = if x = 1 then 0 else

if x = 2 then 1 else

fib(x - 1) + fib(x - 2)
```

#### Possible code generated:

#### f: function prologue

```
r1 := x
                                  L3: r5 := x
                                      r6 := r5 - 1
    if r1 != 1 then goto L1
    r2 := 0
                                      param r6
    goto L2
                                      call fib, 1
L1: r3 := x
                                      r7 := rret
    if r3 != 2 then goto L3
                                     r8 := x
    r4 := 1
                                      r9 := r8 - 2
                                      param r9
    goto L4
                                      call fib, 1
                                      r10 := r7 + rret
                                      r4 := r10
                                  L4: r2 := r4
                                  L2: rret := r2
                                      function epilogue
```