Lecture 20: Registers, Functions, Parameters

Last modified: Tue Nov 5 19:07:14 2013 CS164: Lecture #20 1

Three-Address Code to ia32

- The problem is that in reality, the ia32 architecture has very few registers, and example from last lecture used registers profligately.
- Register allocation is the general term for assigning virtual registers to real registers or memory locations.
- When we run out of real registers, we spill values into memory locations reserved for them.
- We keep a register or two around as *compiler temporaries* for cases where the instruction set doesn't let us just combine operands directly.

A Simple Strategy: Local Register Allocation

- It's convenient to handle register allocation within basic blocks sequences of code with one entry point at the top and (at most) one branch at the end.
- At the end of each such block, spill any registers needed.
- To do this efficiently, need to know when a register is dead—that is, when its value is no longer needed.
- We'll talk about how to compute that in a later lecture. Let's assume we know it for now.
- Let's also assume that each virtual register representing a local variable or intermediate result has a memory location suitable for spilling.

Simple Algorithm for Local Register Allocation

- We execute the following for each three-address instruction in a basic block (in turn).
- Initially, the set availReg contains all usable physical registers.

Function Prologue and Epilogue for the ia32

- ullet Consider a function that needs K bytes of local variables and other compiler temporary storage for expression evaluation.
- We'll consider the case where we keep a frame pointer.
- Overall, the code for a function, F, looks like this:

```
F:
```

```
pushl %ebp
                    # Save dynamic link (caller's frame pointer)
movl %esp,%ebp # Set new frame pointer
subl K, %esp # Reserve space for locals
code for body of function, leaving value in %eax
leave
                    # Sets %ebp to O(%ebp), popping old frame pointer
                     # Pop return address and return
ret
```

Code Generation for Local Variables

- Local variables are stored on the stack (thus not at fixed location).
- One possibility: access relative to the stack pointer, but
 - Sometimes convenient for stack pointer to change during execution of of function, sometimes by unknown amounts.
 - Debuggers, unwinders, and stack tracers would like simple way to compute stack-frame boundaries.
- Solution: use frame pointer, which is constant over execution of function.
- ullet For simple language, use fact that parameter i is at location frame pointer $+ K_1(i + K_2)$. If parameters are 32-bit integers (or pointers) on the ia32, $K_1 = 4$ and $K_2 = 2$ [why?].
- Local variables other than parameters are at negative offsets from the frame pointer on the ia32.

Accessing Non-Local Variables

- In program on left, how does f3 access x1?
- Let's suppose that functions pass static links as the first parameter of their callees.
- The static link passed to f3 will be f2's frame pointer.

```
def f1 (x1):
   def f2 (x2):
       def f3 (x3):
          ... x1 ...
       f3 (12)
   f2 (9)
```

```
# To access x1:
 movl 8(%ebp), %ebx # Fetch FP for f2
 movl 8(%ebx), %ebx # Fetch FP for f1
 movl 12(%ebx), %eax # Fetch x1
# When f2 calls f3:
 compute regular parameters
 pushl %ebp # Pass f2's frame to f3
 call f3
```

- We'll say a function is at nesting level 0 if it is at the outer level, and at level k+1 if it is most immediately enclosed inside a level-kfunction. Likewise, the variables, parameters, and code in a level-kfunction are themselves at level k+1 (enclosed in a level-k function).
- ullet In general, for code at nesting level n to access a variable at nesting level $m \leq n$, perform n-m loads of static links.

Accessing Non-Local Variables (II)

 The GNU convention for passing the static link is slightly different: it is passed in register ecx, making it easy to ignore if not needed. We'll use that in what follows.

```
# Immediately after prologue:
                          push! %ecx # Save static link at -4 off %ebp.
def f1 (x1):
               # To access x1:
   def f2 (x2):
       def f3 (x3):
                          movl -4(%ebp),%ebx # Fetch FP for f2
          ... x1 ...
                          movl -4(%ebx), %ebx # Fetch FP for f1
                          movl 8(%ebx), %eax # Fetch x1
       f3 (12)
                         # When f2 calls f3:
  f2 (9)
                          compute parameters
                          movl %ebp, %ecx # Pass f2's frame to f3
                          call f3
```

Calling Function-Valued Variables and Parameters

- As we've seen, a function value consists of a code address and a static link (let's assume code address comes first).
- So, in project 3, when we need the value of a function itself:

```
def caller(f):
   f(42)
```

we create an object containing the type pointer for the function type of f, and the code pointer and static link for f, and pass a pointer to this object.

• Then the call f (42) gets translated to

```
push1 $42
movl 8(%ebp), %eax  # Get parameter f
movl 8(%eax), %ecx  # Fetch static link from f
movl 4(%eax), %eax  # Get code address for f
call *%eax  # GNU assembler for call to address in eax
```

Static Links for Calling Known Functions

- ullet For a call $F(\ldots)$ to a fixed, known function F, we could use the same strategy as for function-values variables:
 - Create a closure for F containing address of F's code and value of its static link
 - Call F using the same code sequence as on previous slide.
- But can do better. Functions and their nesting levels are known.
- ullet In code that is at nesting level n, to call a function at known nesting level $m \leq n$, get correct static link in register R with:
 - movl %ebp,R
 - Do 'movl -4(R),R' n-m+1 times.

(assuming we save static links at -4 off our frame pointer).

 When calling outer-level functions, it doesn't matter what you use as the static link.

Passing Static Links to Known Functions: Example

```
# To call f2(9) (in f3):
                                            pushl $9
                                                             movl
                                          4(%ebp),%ebx # Fetch FP for f2
                                                             movl
                                          4(%ebx), %ecx # Fetch FP for f1, and pass it
                                            call f2
def f1 (x1):
                                            addl $4,%esp
   def f2 (x2):
         def f3 (x3):
                                          # To call f3(12) (in f2):
             ... f2 (9) ...
                                            pushl $12
                                            movII %ebp, %ecx # f2's FP is static link
         f3 (12)
                                            call f3
         f2 (10) # (recursively)
                                            addl $4,%ebp
                                          # To call f2(10) (in f2):
                                            pushl $10
                                                             movl
                                          4(%ebp),%ecx # Pass down same static link
                                            call f2
                                            addl $4,%ebp
```

A Note on Pushing

- Don't really need to push and pop the stack as I've been doing.
- Instead, when allocating local variables, etc., on the stack, leave sufficient extra space on top of the stack to hold any parameter list in the function.
- Eg., to translate

```
def f(x):
 g(g(x+2))
```

 We could either get the code on the left (pushing and popping) or that on the right (ignoring static links):

```
f: movl 8(%ebp), %eax f: subl $4, %esp
addl $2, %eax movl 8(%ebp), %eax
pushl %eax addl $2, %eax
call g movl %eax, 0(%esp)
addl $4, %esp call g
pushl %eax movl %eax, 0(%esp)
call g addl $4, %esp
```

... and you can continue to use the depressed stack pointer for arguments on the right.

Parameter Passing Semantics: Value vs. Reference

• So far, our examples have dealt only with value parameters, which are the only kind found in C, Java, and Python

Ignorant comments from numerous textbook authors, bloggers, and slovenly hackers notwithstanding [End Rant].

- Pushing a parameter's value on the stack creates a copy that essentially acts as a local variable of the called function.
- C++ (and Pascal) have reference parameters, where assignments to the formal are assignments to the actual.
- Implementation of reference parameters is simple:
 - Push the address of the argument, not its value, and
 - To fetch from or store to the parameter, do an extra indirection.

Copy-in, Copy-out Parameters

- Some languages, such as Fortran and Ada, have a variation on this: copy-in, copy-out. Like call by value, but the final value of the parameter is copied back to the original location of the actual parameter after function returns.
 - "Original location" because of cases like f(A[k]), where k might change during execution of f. In that case, we want the final value of the parameter copied back to A[k0], where k0 is the original value of k before the call.
 - Question: can you give an example where call by reference and copy-in, copy-out give different results?

Parameter Passing Semantics: Call by Name

- ullet Algol 60's definition says that the effect of a call P(E) is as if the body of P were substituted for the call (dynamically, so that recursion works) and E were substituted for the corresponding formal parameter in the body (changing names to avoid clashes).
- It's a simple description that, for simple cases, is just like call by reference:

```
procedure F(x)
                               F(aVar);
                           becomes
   integer x;
                               aVar := 42;
begin
   x := 42;
end F;
```

But the (unintended?) consequences were "interesting".

Call By Name: Jensen's Device

• Consider:

```
procedure DoIt (i, L, U, x, x0, E)
    integer i, L, U; real x, x0, E;
begin
    x := x0;
    for i := L step 1 until U do
        x := E;
end DoIt;
```

 \bullet To set y to the sum of the values in array A[1:N],

```
integer k;
DoIt(k, 1, N, y, 0.0, y+A[k]);
```

To set z to the Nth harmonic number:

```
DoIt(k, 1, N, z, 0.0, z+1.0/k);
```

Now how are we going to make this work?

Call By Name: Implementation

- Basic idea: Convert call-by-name parameters into parameterless functions (traditionally called *thunks*.)
- To allow assignment, these functions can return the addresses of their results
- So the call

```
DoIt(k, 1, N, y, 0.0, y+A[k]);
```

becomes something like (please pardon highly illegal notation):

```
integer t1; real t2, t3, t4;
t2 := 1.0; t3 := 0.0;
DoIt(lambda: &k, lambda: &t2, lambda: &N, lambda: &y,
     lambda: &t3, lambda: (t4 := y+A[k], &t4);
```

 Later languages have abandoned this particular parameter-passing mode.