

Lecture 6: General and Bottom-Up Parsing

Project #1 Notes

- Project involves generating an AST for Python dialect.
- Our tools provide extended BNF (BNF + regular-expression notations like '*', '+', and '?') both for context-free and lexical definitions.
- Tools also provide largely automatic AST building:
 - Tokens double as AST operators.
 - By default, each rule computes the list of all trees built by its right-hand side.
 - The '^' notation allows you to build a tree designating the operator.
 - Or, in an action, you can use '\$^(...)' to build an AST node, and '\$*' to denote the list of children's ASTs.
- We've also provided methods to print nodes.

Last modified: Wed Sep 19 10:34:38 2012

CS164: Lecture #6 1

Last modified: Wed Sep 19 10:34:38 2012

CS164: Lecture #6 2

Project #1 Notes (II)

- In my solution, a majority of grammar rules look like this:

```
attributeref: primary "."! identifier
             { $$ = $^(ATTRIBUTEREF, $*); }
             ;
```

and all the printing, etc. is taken care of.

- Dummy tokens like ATTRIBUTEREF are first defined with

```
%token ATTRIBUTEREF "@attributeref"
```

- In a few cases, I can just write

```
expr1 : expr1 "or"^ expr1
```

and the action is generated automatically.

Last modified: Wed Sep 19 10:34:38 2012

CS164: Lecture #6 3

A Little Notation

Here and in lectures to follow, we'll often have to refer to general productions or derivations. In these, we'll use various alphabets to mean various things:

- Capital roman letters are nonterminals (A, B, \dots).
- Lower-case roman letters are terminals (or tokens, characters, etc.).
- Lower-case greek letters are sequences of zero or more terminal and nonterminal symbols, such as appear in sentential forms or on the right sides of productions (α, β, \dots).
- Subscripts on lower-case greek letters indicate individual symbols within them, so $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$ and each α_i is a single terminal or nonterminal.

For example,

- $A : \alpha$ might describe the production $e : e \rightarrow e '+' t$,
- $B \Rightarrow \alpha A \gamma \Rightarrow \alpha \beta \gamma$ might describe the derivation steps $e \Rightarrow e '+' t \Rightarrow e '+' ID$ (α is $e '+'$; A is t ; B is e ; and γ is empty.)

Last modified: Wed Sep 19 10:34:38 2012

CS164: Lecture #6 4

Fixing Recursive Descent

- First, let's define an impractical but simple implementation of a top-down parsing routine.
- For nonterminal A and string $S = c_1 c_2 \dots c_n$, we'll define $\text{parse}(A, S)$ to return the length of a valid substring derivable from A .
- That is, $\text{parse}(A, c_1 c_2 \dots c_n) = k$, where

$$\frac{c_1 c_2 \dots c_k}{A \Rightarrow^*} c_{k+1} c_{k+2} \dots c_n$$

Last modified: Wed Sep 19 10:34:38 2012

CS164: Lecture #6 5

Abstract body of $\text{parse}(A, S)$

- Can formulate top-down parsing analogously to NFAs.

```

parse(A, S):
    """Assuming A is a nonterminal and S = c_1 c_2 ... c_n is a string, return
    integer k such that A can derive the prefix string c_1 ... c_k of S."""
    Choose production 'A: α_1 α_2 ... α_m' for A (nondeterministically)
    k = 0
    for x in α_1, α_2, ..., α_m:
        if x is a terminal:
            if x == c_{k+1}:
                k += 1
            else:
                GIVE UP
        else:
            k += parse(x, c_{k+1} ... c_n)
    return k
    
```

- Assume that the grammar contains one production for the start symbol: $p: \gamma \rightarrow \cdot$.
- We'll say that a call to parse returns a value if *some* set of choices for productions (the blue step) would return a value (just like NFA).
- Then if $\text{parse}(p, S)$ returns a value, S must be in the language.

Last modified: Wed Sep 19 10:34:38 2012

CS164: Lecture #6 6

Example

Consider parsing $S = \text{"ID*ID-ID"}$ with a grammar from last time:

```

p : e '↓'
e : t
  | e '/' t
  | e '*' t
t : ID
    
```

A successful path through the program:

```

parse(p, S):
  parse(p, S):
    Choose p : e '↓':
      parse(e, S):
        parse(e, S):
          Choose e : e '*' t:
            parse(e, S):
              parse(e, S):
                parse(t, S):
                  choose t : ID:
                    check S[k_1+1] == ID; OK, so k_1 += 1;
                    choose t : ID:
                      return 1 (so k_2 += 1)
                    return 1 (and add to k_1)
                  Check S[k_2+1] == S[k_1+1] == '*'; OK, k_2 += 1
                parse(t, S_3): # S_3 == "ID -"
                  choose t : ID:
                    check S_3[k_3+1] == S_3[1] == ID; OK
                    k_3 += 1; return 1 (so k_2 += 1)
                  return 3
                Check S[k_1+1] == S[4] == '↓': OK
                k_1 += 1; return 4
            
```

k_i means "the variable k in the call to parse that is nested i deep." Outermost k is k_1 . Likewise for S .

Last modified: Wed Sep 19 10:34:38 2012

CS164: Lecture #6 7

Making a Deterministic Algorithm

- If we had an infinite supply of processors, could just spawn new ones at each "Choose" line.
- Some would give up, some loop forever, but on correct programs, at least one processor would get through.
- To do this for real (say with one processor), need to keep track of all possibilities systematically.
- This is the idea behind Earley's algorithm:
 - Handles any context-free grammar.
 - Finds all parses of any string.
 - Can recognize or reject strings in $O(N^3)$ time for ambiguous grammars, $O(N^2)$ time for "nondeterministic grammars", or $O(N)$ time for deterministic grammars (such as accepted by Bison).

Last modified: Wed Sep 19 10:34:38 2012

CS164: Lecture #6 8

Earley's Algorithm: I

- First, reformulate to use recursion instead of looping. Assume the string $S = c_1 \cdots c_n$ is fixed.

- Redefine **parse**:

```

parse (A:  $\alpha \bullet \beta$ , s, k):
    """Assumes A:  $\alpha \beta$  is a production in the grammar,
       0 <= s <= k <= n, and  $\alpha$  can produce the string  $c_{s+1} \cdots c_k$ .
       Returns integer j such that  $\beta$  can produce  $c_{k+1} \cdots c_j$ ."""

```

- Or diagrammatically, **parse** returns an integer **j** such that:

$$c_1 \cdots c_s \underbrace{c_{s+1} \cdots c_k}_{\alpha \Rightarrow^*} \underbrace{c_{k+1} \cdots c_j}_{\beta \Rightarrow^*} c_{j+1} \cdots c_n$$

Earley's Algorithm: II

```

parse (A:  $\alpha \bullet \beta$ , s, k):
    """Assumes A:  $\alpha \beta$  is a production in the grammar,
       0 <= s <= k <= n, and  $\alpha$  can produce the string  $c_{s+1} \cdots c_k$ .
       Returns integer j such that  $\beta$  can produce  $c_{k+1} \cdots c_j$ ."""
    if  $\beta$  is empty:
        return k
    Assume  $\beta$  has the form  $x\delta$ 
    if x is a terminal:
        if  $x == c_{k+1}$ :
            return parse(A:  $\alpha x \bullet \delta$ , s, k+1)
        else:
            GIVE UP
    else:
        Choose production ' $x: \kappa$ ' for x (nondeterministically)
        j = parse(x:  $\bullet \kappa$ , k, k)
        return parse (A:  $\alpha x \bullet \delta$ , s, j)

```

- Now do all possible choices that result in such a way as to avoid redundant work ("nondeterministic memoization").

Chart Parsing

- Idea is to build up a table (known as a **chart**) of all calls to parse that have been made.
- Only one entry in chart for each distinct triple of arguments (**A: $\alpha \bullet \beta, s, k$**).
- We'll organize table in columns numbered by the k parameter, so that column k represents all calls that are looking at c_{k+1} in the input.
- Each column contains entries with the other two parameters: [**A: $\alpha \bullet \beta, s$**], which are called **items**.
- The columns, therefore, are **item sets**.

Example

Grammar	Input String
p : e '¬'	- I + I ¬
e : s I e '+' e	
s : '-'	

Chart. Headings are values of k and c_{k+1} (raised symbols).

0		-	1	I	2	+	3	I
a. p: e '¬', 0	e. s: '¬'•, 0				g. e: s I•, 0			i. e: e '+'•e, 0
b. e: e '+' e, 0	f. e: s•I, 0				h. e: e •'+' e, 0		j. e: •s I, 3	
c. e: •s I, 0							k. s: •, 3	
d. s: •'¬', 0							l. e: s •I, 3	
4		¬	5					
m. e: s I•, 3			p. p: e '¬'•, 0					
n. e: e '+' e•, 0								
o. p: e•'¬', 0								

Example, completed

- Last slide showed only those items that survive and get used. Algorithm actually computes dead ends as well (unlettered, in red).

0	-	1	I	2	+	3	I
a.p: • e '−', 0 b.e: • e '+' e, 0 c.e: • s I, 0 d.s: • '−', 0 s: •, 0 e: s • I, 0	e.s: '−'•, 0 f.e: s• I, 0		g.e: s I•, 0 h.e: e • '+' e, 0 p: e • '−', 0		i.e: e '+' • e, 0 j.e: • s I, 3 k.s: •, 3 l.e: s • I, 3 s: • '−', 3 e: • e '+' e, 3		
4	−	5					
m.e: s I•, 3 n.e: e '+' e•, 0 o.p: e• '−', 0 e: e • '+' e, 3		p.p: e '−' •, 0					

Last modified: Wed Sep 19 10:34:38 2012

CS164: Lecture #6 13

Adding Semantic Actions

- Pretty much like recursive descent. The call $\text{parse}(A: \alpha \bullet \beta, s, k)$ can return, in addition to j , the semantic value of the A that matches characters $c_{s+1} \cdots c_j$.
- This value is actually computed during calls of the form $\text{parse}(A: \alpha' \bullet, s, k)$ (i.e., where the β part is empty).
- Assume that we have attached these values to the nonterminals in α , so that they are available when computing the value for A .

Last modified: Wed Sep 19 10:34:38 2012

CS164: Lecture #6 14

Ambiguity

- Ambiguity only important here when computing semantic actions.
- Rather than being satisfied with a single path through the chart, we look at *all* paths.
- And we attach the *set* of possible results of $\text{parse}(Y: \bullet \kappa, s, k)$ to the nonterminal Y in the algorithm.

Last modified: Wed Sep 19 10:34:38 2012

CS164: Lecture #6 15