

Lecture #13: Type Inference and Unification

Administrivia.

- Deadline on project #1 was pushed 24 hours to compensate for SVN glitch.
- Be sure to check your instructional mail accounts!
- Project #2 spec and files released.
- Review session Sunday at 1700 (place TBA).
- Reader containing notes and lecture slides will be at Vick Copy (corner Euclid and Hearst) this weekend.

Last modified: Thu Mar 12 16:16:23 2009

CS164: Lecture #13 1

Typing In the Language ML

- Examples from the language ML:

```
fun map f [] = []
  | map f (a :: y) = (f a) :: (map f y)
fun reduce f init [] = init
  | reduce f init (a :: y) = reduce f (f init a) y
fun count [] = 0
  | count (_ :: y) = 1 + count y
fun addt [] = 0
  addt ((a,_,c) :: y) = (a+c) :: addt y
```

- Despite lack of explicit types here, this language is statically typed!
- Compiler will reject the calls `map 3 [1, 2]` and `reduce (op +) [] [3, 4, 5]`.
- Does this by *deducing* types from their uses.

Last modified: Thu Mar 12 16:16:23 2009

CS164: Lecture #13 2

Type Inference

- In simple case:

```
fun add [] = 0
  | add (a :: L) = a + add L
```

compiler deduces that `add` has type `int list → int`.

- Uses facts that (a) 0 is an `int`, (b) `[]` and `a::L` are lists (`::` is cons), (c) `+` yields `int`.
- More interesting case:

```
fun count [] = 0
  | count (_ :: y) = 1 + count y
```

(`_` means "don't care" or "wildcard"). In this case, compiler deduces that `count` has type $\alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}$.

- Here, α is a *type parameter* (we say that `count` is *polymorphic*).

Last modified: Thu Mar 12 16:16:23 2009

CS164: Lecture #13 3

Doing Type Inference

- Given a definition such as

```
fun add [] = 0
  | add (a :: L) = a + add L
```

- First give each named entity here an unbound type parameter as its type: $add : \alpha, a : \beta, L : \gamma$.
- Now use the type rules of the language to give types to everything and to *relate* the types:
 - $0 : \text{int}, [] : \delta \text{ list}$.
 - Since `add` is function and applies to `int`, must be that $\alpha = \iota \rightarrow \kappa$, and $\iota = \delta \text{ list}$
 - etc.
- Gives us a large set of *type equations*, which can be solved to give types.
- Solving involves *pattern matching*, known formally as *type unification*.

Last modified: Thu Mar 12 16:16:23 2009

CS164: Lecture #13 4

Type Expressions

- For this lecture, a type expression can be
 - A *primitive type* (`int`, `bool`);
 - A *type variable* (today we'll use ML notation: `'a`, `'b`, `'c1`, etc.);
 - The *type constructor* T `list`, where T is a type expression;
 - A *function type* $D \rightarrow C$, where D and C are type expressions.
- Will formulate our problems as systems of *type equations* between pairs of type expressions.
- Need to find the substitution

Solving Simple Type Equations

- Simple example: solve
`'a list = int list`
- Easy: `'a = int`.
- How about this:
`'a list = 'b list list; 'b list = int list`
- Also easy: `'a = int list; 'b = int`.
- On the other hand:
`'a list = 'b → 'b`
is unsolvable: lists are not functions.
- Also, if we require *finite* solutions, then
`'a = 'b list; 'b = 'a list`
is unsolvable.

Most General Solutions

- Rather trickier:
`'a list = 'b list list`
- Clearly, there are lots of solutions to this: e.g.,
`'a = int list; 'b = int`
`'a = (int → int) list; 'b = int → int`
etc.
- But prefer a *most general* solution that will be compatible with any possible solution.
- Any substitution for `'a` must be some kind of list, and `'b` must be the type of element in `'a`, but otherwise, no constraints
- Leads to solution
`'a = 'b list`
where `'b` remains a free type variable.
- In general, our solutions look like a bunch of equations $'a_i = T_i$, where the T_i are type expressions and none of the $'a_i$ appear in any of the T_i 's.

Finding Most-General Solution by Unification

- To *unify* two type expressions is to find substitutions for all type variables that make the expressions identical.
- The set of substitutions is called a *unifier*.
- Represent substitutions by giving each type variable, $'\tau$, a *binding* to some type expression.
- Initially, each variable is *unbound*.

Unification Algorithm

- For any type expression, define

$$\text{binding}(T) = \begin{cases} \text{binding}(T'), & \text{if } T \text{ is a type variable bound to } T' \\ T, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Now proceed recursively:

```

unify (T1,T2):
  T1 = binding(T1); T2 = binding(T2);
  if T1 = T2: return true;
  if T1 is a type variable and does not appear in T2:
    bind T1 to T2; return true
  if T2 is a type variable and does not appear in T1:
    bind T2 to T1; return true
  if T1 and T2 are S1 list and S2 list: return unify (S1,S2)
  if T1 and T2 are D1 → C1 and D2 → C2:
    return unify(D1,D2) and unify(C1,C2)
  else: return false
  
```

Example of Unification

- Try to solve

```

'b list= 'a list; 'a → 'b = 'c;
'c → bool= (bool → bool) → bool
  
```

- We unify both sides of each equation (in any order), keeping the bindings from one unification to the next.

```

'a: bool           Unify 'b list, 'a list:
                   Unify 'b, 'a
'b: 'a             Unify 'a → 'b, 'c
   bool           Unify 'c → bool, (bool → bool) → bool
                   Unify 'c, bool → bool:
'c: 'a → 'b       Unify 'a → 'b, bool → bool:
   bool → bool   Unify 'a, bool
                   Unify 'b, bool:
                   Unify bool, bool
                   Unify bool, bool
  
```

Some Type Rules (reprise)

Construct	Type	Conditions
<i>Integer literal</i> []	int 'a list	
hd (L)	'a	L: 'a list
tl (L)	'a list	L: 'a list
$E_1 + E_2$	int	$E_1: \text{int}, E_2: \text{int}$
$E_1 :: E_2$	'a list	$E_1: 'a, E_2: 'a \text{ list}$
$E_1 = E_2$	bool	$E_1: 'a, E_2: 'a$
$E_1 \neq E_2$	bool	$E_1: 'a, E_2: 'a$
if E_1 then E_2 else E_3 fi	'a	$E_1: \text{bool}, E_2: 'a, E_3: 'a$
$E_1 E_2$	'b	$E_1: 'a \rightarrow 'b, E_2: 'a$
def f x1 ...xn = E		$x1: 'a_1, \dots, xn: 'a_n \ E: 'a_0,$ $f: 'a_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 'a_n \rightarrow 'a_0.$

Using the Type Rules

- Apply these rules to a program to get a bunch of Conditions.
- Whenever two Conditions ascribe a type to the same expression, equate those types.
- Solve the resulting equations.

Aside: Currying

- Writing

```
def sqr x = x*x;
```

means essentially that `sqr` is defined to have the value $\lambda x. x*x$.

- To get more than one argument, write

```
def f x y = x + y;
```

and `f` will have the value $\lambda x. \lambda y. x+y$

- It's type will be `int → int → int` (Note: `→` is right associative).
- So, `f 2 3 = (f 2) 3 = ($\lambda y. 2 + y$) (3) = 5`
- Zounds! It's the CS61A substitution model!
- This trick of turning multi-argument functions into one-argument functions is called *currying* (after Haskell Curry).

Example

```
def f x L = if L = [] then [] else
            if x != hd(L) then f x (tl L)
            else x :: f x (tl L) fi
```

- Let's initially use `'f`, `'x`, `'L`, etc. as the fresh type variables.
- Using the rules then generates equations like this:

```
'f = 'a0 → 'a1 → 'a2      # def rule
'L = 'a3 list              # = rule, [] rule
'L = 'a4 list              # hd rule,
'x = 'a4                   # != rule
'x = 'a0                   # call rule
'L = 'a5 list              # tl rule
'a1 = 'a5 list             # tl rule, call rule
...
```