# Lecture 22: Registers, Functions, Parameters

**Administrivia**

- Test on Tuesday.
- Review session on Sunday at 5, place TBA.
- Project #3 should be up Friday night.



# Three-Address Code to ia32

- The problem is that in reality, the ia32 architecture has very few registers, and example from last lecture used registers profligately.
- *Register allocation* is the general term for assigning virtual registers to real registers or memory locations.
- When we run out of real registers, we *spill* values into memory locations reserved for them.
- We keep a register or two around as *compiler temporaries* for cases where the instruction set doesn't let us just combine operands directly.



# A Simple Strategy: Local Register Allocation

- It's convenient to handle register allocation within *basic blocks*—sequences of code with one entry point at the top and (at most) one branch at the end.
- At the end of each such block, spill any registers needed.
- To do this efficiently, need to know when a register is *dead*—that is, when its value is no longer needed.
- We'll talk about how to compute that in a later lecture. Let's assume we know it for now.
- Let's also assume that each virtual register representing a local variable or intermediate result has a memory location suitable for spilling.



# Simple Algorithm for Local Register Allocation

- We execute the following for each three-address instruction in a basic block (in turn).
- Initially, the set `availReg` contains all usable physical registers.

```
# Allocate registers to an instruction x := y op z
# [Adopted from Aho, Sethi, Ullman]
regAlloc(x := y op z):
    if x has an assigned register already or dies here:
        return
    if y is a virtual register and dies here:
        reassign y's physical register to x
    elif availReg is not empty:
        remove a register from availReg and assign to x
    elif op requires a register:
        spill another virtual register (which could be y or z),
            and reassign its physical register to x
    else:
        just leave x in memory
```

## Function Prologue and Epilogue for the ia32

- Consider a function that needs $K$ bytes of local variables and other compiler temporary storage for expression evaluation.
- We'll consider the case where we keep a frame pointer.
- Overall, the code for a function, $F$, looks like this:

```
F:
      pushl %ebp           # Save dynamic link (caller's frame pointer)
      movl  %esp,%ebp      # Set new frame pointer
      subl  K,%esp         # Reserve space for locals
      code for body of function, leaving value in %eax
      leave                # Sets %ebp to 0(%ebp), popping old frame pointer
      ret                  # Pop return address and return
```



## Code Generation for Local Variables

- Local variables are stored on the stack (thus not at fixed location).
- One possibility: access relative to the stack pointer, but
  - Sometimes convenient for stack pointer to change during execution of of function, sometimes by unknown amounts.
  - Debuggers, unwinders, and stack tracers would like simple way to compute stack-frame boundaries.
- Solution: use frame pointer, which is constant over execution of function.
- For simple language, use fact that parameter $i$ is at location frame pointer $+ K_1(i + K_2)$. If parameters are 32-bit integers (or pointers) on the ia32, $K_1 = 4$ and $K_2 = 2$ [why?].
- Local variables other than parameters are at negative offsets from the frame pointer on the ia32.



## Passing Static Links (I)

- When using static links, the link can be treated as a parameter.
- As we've seen, a function value consists of a code address and a static link (let's assume code address comes first).
- So, if we translate a function

```
def caller(f):
     f(42)
```

so that function parameter f is at offset 8 from the frame pointer, then the call `f(42)` gets translated to

```
pushl $42
pushl 12(%ebp)        # Push static link
movl  8(%ebp),%eax    # Get code address
call  *%eax           # GNU assembler for call to address in eax
```



## Accessing Non-Local Variables

- In program on left, how does f3 access x1?
- f3 will have been passed a static link as its first parameter.
- The static link passed to f3 will be f2's frame pointer

```
def f1 (x1):
   def f2 (x2):
       def f3 (x3):
          ... x1 ...
       ...
       f3 (12)
   ...
   f2 (9)
```

```
movl 8(%ebp),%ebx   # Fetch FP for f2
movl 8(%ebx),%ebx   # Fetch FP for f1
movl 12(%ebx),%eax  # Fetch x1
```

- In general, for a function at nesting level $n$ to access a variable at nesting level $m < n$, perform $n - m$ loads of static links.

## Passing Static Links to Known Functions

- For a call $F(\ldots)$ to a fixed, known function $F$, we could use the same strategy:
  - Create a closure for $F$ containing address of $F$'s code and value of its static link.
  - Call $F$ using the same code sequence as on previous slide.
- But can do better. Functions and their nesting levels are known.
- Inside a function at nesting level $n$, to call another at known nesting level $m \le n+1$, get correct static link in register R with:
  - movl
  - Do 'movl 8(R),R' $n-m+1$ times.
- When calling outer-level functions, it doesn't matter what you use as the static link.



## Passing Static Links to Known Functions: Example

```
def f1 (x1):
   def f2 (x2):
       def f3 (x3):
          ... f2 (9) ...
       ...
       f3 (12)
       f2 (10) # (recursively)
   ...
```

```
# To call f2(9) (in f3):
  pushl $9
  movl 8(%ebp),%ebx   # Fetch FP for f2
  movl 8(%ebx),%ebx   # Fetch FP for f1
  pushl %ebx          # Push static link
  call f2
  addl $8,%esp

# To call f3(12) (in f2):
  pushl $12
  pushl %ebp          # f2's FP is static link
  call f3
  addl $8,%ebp

# To call f2(10) (in f2):
  pushl $10
  pushl 8(%ebp)       # Pass down same static link
  call f2
  addl $8,%ebp
```



## A Note on Pushing

- Don't really need to push and pop the stack as I've been doing.
- Instead, when allocating local variables, etc., on the stack, leave sufficient extra space on top of the stack to hold any parameter list in the function.
- Eg., to translate

```
def f(x):
    g(x+2)
```

- We could either get the code on the left (pushing and popping) or that on the right (ignoring static links):

```
f:  pushl %ebp                f:  pushl %ebp
    movl  8(%ebp),%eax            subl  $4,%esp
    addl  $2,%eax                 movl  8(%ebp),%eax
    pushl %eax                    addl  $2,%eax
    call  g                       movl  %eax,-4(%ebp)
    addl  $4,%esp                 call  g
```

- (Actually, architecture conventions usually call for keeping the stack pointer aligned, so we'd probably subtract more than 4 in the second line on the right.)



## Parameter Passing Semantics

- So far, our examples have dealt only with *value parameters*, which are the only kind found in C, Java, and Python

  Ignorant comments from numerous textbook authors, bloggers, and slovenly hackers notwithstanding [End Rant].

- Pushing a parameter's value on the stack creates a copy that essentially acts as a local variable of the called function.
- C++ (and Pascal) have *reference parameters*, where assignments to the formal are assignments to the actual.
- Implementation of reference parameters is simple:
  - Push the address of the argument, not its value, and
  - To fetch from or store to the parameter, do an extra indirection.
- Some languages, such as Fortran and Ada, have a variation on this: *copy-in, copy-out*. Like call by value, but the final value of the parameter is copied back to the original location of the actual parameter after function returns.
  - "Original location" because of cases like `f(A[k])`, where `k` might change during execution of `f`. In that case, we want the final

value of the parameter copied back to `A[k0]`, where `k0` is the original value of `k` before the call.

– Question: can you give an example where call by reference and copy-in, copy-out give different results?



## Parameter Passing Semantics: Call by Name

- Algol 60's definition says that the effect of a call $P(E)$ is as if the body of $P$ were substituted for the call (dynamically, so that recursion works) and $E$ were substituted for the corresponding formal parameter in the body (changing names to avoid clashes).
- It's a simple description that, for simple cases, is just like call by reference:

```
procedure F(x)                F(aVar);
   integer x;             becomes
begin                         aVar := 42;
   x := 42;
end F;
```

- But the (unintended?) consequences were "interesting".



## Call By Name: Jensen's Device

- Consider:

```
procedure DoIt (i, L, U, x, x0, E)
    integer i, L, U; real x, x0, E;
begin
    x := x0;
    for i := L step 1 until U do
        x := E;
end DoIt;
```

- To set y to the sum of the values in array A[1:N],

```
integer k;
DoIt(k, 1, N, y, 0.0, y+A[k]);
```

- To set z to the Nth harmonic number:

```
DoIt(k, 1, N, z, 0.0, 1.0/k);
```

- Now how are we going to make this work?



## Call By Name: Implementation

- Basic idea: Convert call-by-name parameters into parameterless functions (traditionally called *thunks*.)
- To allow assignment, these functions can return the addresses of their results.
- So the call

```
DoIt(k, 1, N, y, 0.0, y+A[k]);
```

becomes something like (please pardon highly illegal notation):

```
integer t1;  real t2, t3, t4;
t2 := 1.0; t3 := 0.0;
DoIt(lambda: &k, lambda: &t2, lambda: &N, lambda: &y,
     lambda: &t3, lambda: (t4 := y+A[k], &t4));
```

- Later languages have abandoned this particular parameter-passing mode.