

Lecture #21: Code Generation

[This lecture adopted in part from notes by R. Bodik]

Intermediate Languages and Machine Languages

- From trees such as output from project #2, could produce machine language directly.
- However, it is often convenient to first generate some kind of *intermediate language (IL)*: a "high-level machine language" for a "virtual machine."
- Advantages:
 - Separates problem of extracting the operational meaning (the *dynamic semantics*) of a program from the problem of producing good machine code from it, because it...
 - Gives a clean target for code generation from the AST.
 - By choosing IL judiciously, we can make the conversion of IL \rightarrow machine language easier than the direct conversion of AST \rightarrow machine language. Helpful when we want to target several different architectures (e.g., gcc).
 - Likewise, if we can use the same IL for multiple languages, we can re-use the IL \rightarrow machine language implementation (e.g., gcc, CIL from Microsoft's Common Language Infrastructure).

Stack Machines as Virtual Machines

- A simple evaluation model: instead of registers, a stack of values for intermediate results.
- Examples: The Java Virtual Machine, the Postscript interpreter.
- Each operation (1) pops its operands from the top of the stack, (2) computes the required operation on them, and (3) pushes the result on the stack.
- A program to compute $7 + 5$:

```
push 7      # Push constant 7 on stack
push 5
add         # Pop two 5 and 7 from stack, add, and push result.
```

- Advantages
 - **Uniform compilation scheme:** Each operation takes operands from the same place and puts results in the same place.
 - Fewer explicit operands in instructions means smaller encoding of instructions and more compact programs.
 - Meshes nicely with subroutine calling conventions that push arguments on stack.

Stack Machine with Accumulator

- The **add** instruction does 3 memory operations: Two reads and one write of the stack.
- The top of the stack is frequently accessed
- Idea: keep most recently computed value in a register (called the *accumulator*) since register accesses are faster.
- For an operation $op(e_1, \dots, e_n)$:
 - compute each of e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} into **acc** and then push on the stack;
 - compute e_n into the accumulator;
 - perform **op** computation, with result in **acc**.
 - pop e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} off stack.
- The **add** instruction is now

```
acc := acc + top_of_stack
pop one item off the stack
```

and uses just one memory operation (popping just means adding constant to stack-pointer register).
- After computing an expression the stack is as it was before computing the operands.

Example: Full computation of 7+5

```
acc := 7
push acc
acc := 5
acc := acc + top_of_stack
pop stack
```

A Point of Order

- Often more convenient to push operands in *reverse* order, so right-most operand pushed first.
- This is a common convention for pushing function arguments, and is especially natural when stack grows toward lower addresses.
- Also nice for non-commutative operations on architectures such as the ia32.
- Example: compute $x - y$. We show assembly code on the right

```
acc := y          movl y, %eax
push acc         pushl %eax
acc := x          movl x, %eax
acc := acc - top_of_stack  subl (%esp), %eax
pop stack        addl $4, %esp
```

Translating from AST to Stack Machine

- A simple recursive pattern usually serves for expressions.
- At the top level, our trees might have an expression-code method:

```
class AST {
  ...
  /** Generate code for me, leaving my value on the stack. */
  virtual void cgen (VM* machine);
}
```

- Implementations of `cgen` then obey this general comment, and each assumes that its children will as well. E.g.,

```
class BinopNode : public AST {
  ...
  void cgen (VM* machine) {
    getRight ()->cgen (machine);
    getLeft ()->cgen (machine);
    machine->emitInst (translateToInst (getOp ()));
  }
}
```

We assume here a VM is some abstraction of the virtual machine we're producing code for. `emitInst` adds machine instructions to the program, and `translateToInst` converts, e.g., a '+' to `add`.

Virtual Register Machines and Three-Address Code

- Another common kind of virtual machine has an infinite supply of *registers*, each capable of holding a scalar value or address, in addition to ordinary memory.
- A common IL in this case is some form of *three-address code*, so called because the typical "working" instruction has the form
$$\text{target} := \text{operand}_1 \oplus \text{operand}_2$$
where there are two source "addresses," one destination "address" and an operation (\oplus).
- Often, we require that the operands in the full three-address form denote (virtual) registers or immediate (literal) values.

Three-Address Code, continued

- A few other forms deal with memory and other kinds of operation:

```
memory_operand := register_or_immediate_operand
register_operand := memory_operand
goto label
if operand1 < operand2 then goto label
param operand          ; Push parameter for call.
call operand, # of parameters ; Call, put return in specific r
```

- Here, < stands for some kind of comparison. Memory operands might be labels of static locations, or indexed operands such as (in C-like notation) *(r1+4) or *(r1+r2).

Translating from AST into Three-Address Code

- This time, we'll have the cgen routine return where it has put its result:

```
class AST {
    ...
    /** Generate code to compute my value, returning the location
     * of the result. */
    virtual Operand* cgen (VM* machine);
}
```

- Where an Operand denotes some abstract place holding a value.
- Once again, we rely on our children to obey this general comment:

```
class BinopNode : public AST {
    Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
        Operand* left = getLeft ()->cgen (machine);
        Operand* right = getRight ()->cgen (machine);
        Operand* result = machine->allocateRegister ();
        machine->emitInst (result, translateToInst (getOp ()), left, right);
        return result;
    }
}
```

- emitInst now produces three-address instructions.

A Larger Example

- Consider a small language with integers and integer operations:

```
P:    D ";" P | D
D:    "def" id(ARGS) "=" E;
ARGS: id "," ARGS | id
E:    int | id | "if" E1 "=" E2 "then" E3 "else" E4 "fi"
      | E1 "+" E2 | E1 "-" E2 | id "(" E1,...,En ")"
```

- The first function definition f is the "main" routine
- Running the program on input i means computing f(i)
- Assume a project-2-like AST.
- Let's continue implementing cgen ('+' and '-' already done).

Simple Cases: Literals and Sequences

Conversion of D ";" P:

```
class StmtListNode : public AST {
    ...
    Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
        for (int i = 0; i < arity (); i += 1)
            get (i)->cgen (machine);
    }
    return Operand::NoneOperand;
}
```

```
class IntLiteralNode : public AST {
    ...
    Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
        return machine->immediateOperand (intTokenValue ());
    }
}
```

- NoneOperand is an Operand that contains None.

Identifiers

```
class IdNode : public AST {
...
Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
    Operand result = machine->allocateRegister ();
    machine->emitInst (MOVE, result, getDecl()->getMyLocation (machine));
    return result;
}
}
```

- That is, we assume that the declaration object holding information about this occurrence of the identifier contains its location.

Calls

```
class CallNode : public AST {
...
Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
    AST* args = getArgList ();
    for (int i = args->arity ()-1; i >= 0; i --)
        machine->emitInst (PARAM, args.get (i)->cgen (machine));
    Operand* callable = getCallable ()->cgen (machine);
    machine->emitInst (CALL, callable, args->arity ());
    return Operand::ReturnOperand;
}
}
```

- ReturnOperand is abstract location where functions return their value.

Control Expressions: if

```
class IfExprNode : public AST {
...
Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
    Operand* left = getLeft ()->cgen (machine);
    Operand* right = getRight ()->cgen (machine);
    Label* elseLabel = machine->newLabel ();
    Label* doneLabel = machine->newLabel ();
    machine->emitInst (IFNE, left, right, elseLabel);
    Operand* result = machine->allocateRegister ();
    machine->emitInst (MOVE, result, getThenPart ()->cgen (machine));
    machine->emitInst (GOTO, doneLabel);
    machine->placeLabel (elseLabel);
    machine->emitInst (MOVE, result, getElsePart ()->cgen (machine));
    machine->placeLabel (doneLabel);
    return result;
}
}
```

- newLabel creates a new, undefined assembler instruction label.
- placeLabel inserts a definition of the label in the code.

Code generation for 'def'

```
class DefNode : public AST {
...
Operand* cgen (VM* machine) {
    machine->placeLabel (getName ());
    machine->emitFunctionPrologue ();
    Operand* result = getBody ()->cgen (machine);
    machine->emitInst (MOVE, Operand::ReturnOperand, result);
    machine->emitFunctionEpilogue ();
    return Operand::NoneOperand;
}
}
```

- Where function prologues and epilogues are standard code sequences for entering and leaving functions, setting frame pointers, etc.

A Sample Translation

Program for computing the Fibonacci numbers:

```
def fib(x) = if x = 1 then 0 else
             if x = 2 then 1 else
             fib(x - 1) + fib(x - 2)
```

Possible code generated:

```
f:  function prologue
    r1 := x
    if r1 != 1 then goto L1
    r2 := 0
    goto L2
L1: r3 := x
    if r3 != 2 then goto L3
    r4 := 1
    goto L4
                                L3: r5 := x
                                r6 := r5 - 1
                                param r6
                                call fib, 1
                                r7 := rret
                                r8 := x
                                r9 := r8 - 2
                                param r9
                                call fib, 1
                                r10 := r7 + rret
                                r4 := r10
L4: r2 := r4
L2: rret := r2
    function epilogue
```