

Lecture 8: Top-Down Parsing

Beating Grammars into Programs

- A grammar looks like a recursive program. Sometimes it works to treat it that way.
- Assume the existence of
 - A function 'next' that returns the syntactic category of the next token (without side-effects);
 - A function 'scan(*C*)' that checks that next syntactic category is *C* and then reads another token into next(). Returns the previous value of next().
 - A function ERROR for reporting errors.
- Strategy: Translate each nonterminal, *A*, into a function that reads an *A* according to one of its productions and returns the semantic value computed by the corresponding action.
- Result is a *recursive-descent* parser.

Example: Lisp Expression Recognizer

Grammar

```
prog : sexp '⊥'  
sexp : atom  
      | '(' elist ')'  
      | '\\'' sexp  
elist :  $\epsilon$   
      | sexp elist  
atom  : SYM  
      | NUM  
      | STRING
```

```
def prog ():  
    _____  
  
def sexp ():  
    if _____:  
        _____  
    elif _____:  
        _____  
    else:  
        _____  
  
def atom ():  
    if _____:  
        _____  
    else:  
        _____  
  
def elist ():  
    if _____:  
        _____
```

Example: Lisp Expression Recognizer

Grammar

```
prog : sexp '⊥'  
sexp : atom  
      | '(' elist ')'  
      | '\\'' sexp  
elist :  $\epsilon$   
      | sexp elist  
atom  : SYM  
      | NUM  
      | STRING
```

```
def prog ():  
    sexp(); scan(⊥)
```

```
def sexp ():  
    if _____:  
        _____  
    elif _____:  
        _____  
    else:  
        _____
```

```
def atom ():  
    if _____:  
        _____  
    else:  
        _____
```

```
def elist ():  
    if _____:  
        _____
```

Example: Lisp Expression Recognizer

Grammar

```
prog : sexp '⊣'  
sexp : atom  
      | '(' elist ')'  
      | '\\'' sexp  
elist : ε  
      | sexp elist  
atom  : SYM  
      | NUM  
      | STRING
```

```
def prog ():  
    sexp(); scan(⊣)  
  
def sexp ():  
    if next() in [SYM, NUM, STRING]:  
        atom()  
    elif _____:  
        _____  
    else:  
        _____  
  
def atom ():  
    if _____:  
        _____  
    else:  
        _____  
  
def elist ():  
    if _____:  
        _____
```

Example: Lisp Expression Recognizer

Grammar

```
prog : sexp '⊣'  
sexp : atom  
      | '(' elist ')'  
      | '\'' sexp  
elist : ε  
      | sexp elist  
atom  : SYM  
      | NUM  
      | STRING
```

```
def prog ():  
    sexp(); scan(⊣)  
  
def sexp ():  
    if next() in [SYM, NUM, STRING]:  
        atom()  
    elif next() == '(':  
        scan('('); elist(); scan(')')  
    else:  
        _____  
  
def atom ():  
    if _____:  
        _____  
    else:  
        _____  
  
def elist ():  
    if _____:  
        _____
```

Example: Lisp Expression Recognizer

Grammar

```
prog : sexp '⊣'  
sexp : atom  
      | '(' elist ')'  
      | '\\'' sexp  
elist : ε  
      | sexp elist  
atom  : SYM  
      | NUM  
      | STRING
```

```
def prog ():  
    sexp(); scan(⊣)  
  
def sexp ():  
    if next() in [SYM, NUM, STRING]:  
        atom()  
    elif next() == '(':  
        scan('('); elist(); scan(')')  
    else:  
        scan('\\''); sexp()  
  
def atom ():  
    if _____:  
        _____  
    else:  
        _____  
  
def elist ():  
    if _____:  
        _____
```

Example: Lisp Expression Recognizer

Grammar

```
prog : sexp '⊣'  
sexp : atom  
      | '(' elist ')'  
      | '\\'' sexp  
elist : ε  
      | sexp elist  
atom  : SYM  
      | NUM  
      | STRING
```

```
def prog ():  
    sexp(); scan(⊣)  
  
def sexp ():  
    if next() in [SYM, NUM, STRING]:  
        atom()  
    elif next() == '(':  
        scan('('); elist(); scan(')')  
    else:  
        scan('\\''); sexp()  
  
def atom ():  
    if next() in [SYM, NUM, STRING]:  
        scan(next())  
    else:  
        _____  
  
def elist ():  
    if _____:  
        _____
```


Example: Lisp Expression Recognizer

Grammar

```
prog : sexp '⊣'  
sexp : atom  
      | '(' elist ')'  
      | '\\'' sexp  
elist :  $\epsilon$   
      | sexp elist  
atom  : SYM  
      | NUM  
      | STRING
```

```
def prog ():  
    sexp(); scan(⊣)  
  
def sexp ():  
    if next() in [SYM, NUM, STRING]:  
        atom()  
    elif next() == '(':  
        scan('('); elist(); scan(')')  
    else:  
        scan('\\''); sexp()  
  
def atom ():  
    if next() in [SYM, NUM, STRING]:  
        scan(next())  
    else:  
        ERROR()  
  
def elist ():  
    if _____:  
        _____
```

Example: Lisp Expression Recognizer

Grammar

```
prog : sexp '⊣'  
sexp : atom  
      | '(' elist ')'  
      | '\\'' sexp  
elist :  $\epsilon$   
      | sexp elist  
atom  : SYM  
      | NUM  
      | STRING
```

```
def prog ():  
    sexp(); scan(⊣)  
  
def sexp ():  
    if next() in [SYM, NUM, STRING]:  
        atom()  
    elif next() == '(':  
        scan('('); elist(); scan(')')  
    else:  
        scan('\\''); sexp()  
  
def atom ():  
    if next() in [SYM, NUM, STRING]:  
        scan(next())  
    else:  
        ERROR()  
  
def elist ():  
    if next() in [SYM, NUM, STRING, '(', '']:  
        sexp(); elist();
```

Expression Recognizer with Actions

- Can make the nonterminal functions return semantic values.
- Assume lexer somehow supplies semantic values for tokens, if needed

```
elist :  $\epsilon$            { $$ = emptyList; }  
      | sexp elist      { $$ = cons($1, $2); }
```

```
def elist ():  
    if next() in [SYM, NUM, STRING, '(', '\']:
```

```
    else:  
        return emptyList
```

Expression Recognizer with Actions

- Can make the nonterminal functions return semantic values.
- Assume lexer somehow supplies semantic values for tokens, if needed

```
elist :  $\epsilon$            { $$ = emptyList; }  
      | sexp elist      { $$ = cons($1, $2); }
```

```
def elist ():  
    if next() in [SYM, NUM, STRING, '(', '\']:  
        v1 = sexp(); v2 = elist(); return cons(v1,v2)  
    else:  
        return emptyList
```

Grammar Problems I

In a recursive-descent parser, what goes wrong here?

```
p : e '−'  
e : t                { $$ = $1; }  
  | e '/' t          { $$ = makeTree(DIV, $1, $3); }  
  | e '*' t           { $$ = makeTree(MULT, $1, $3); }
```

Grammar Problems I

In a recursive-descent parser, what goes wrong here?

```
p : e '−'  
e : t                { $$ = $1; }  
  | e '/' t          { $$ = makeTree(DIV, $1, $3); }  
  | e '*' t           { $$ = makeTree(MULT, $1, $3); }
```

If we choose the second or third alternative for e , we'll get an infinite recursion. If we choose the first, we'll miss '/' and '*' cases.

Grammar Problems II

Well then: What goes wrong here?

```
p : e '−'  
e : t           { $$ = $1; }  
  | t '/' e     { $$ = makeTree(DIV, $1, $3); }  
  | t '*' e     { $$ = makeTree(MULT, $1, $3); }
```

Grammar Problems II

Well then: What goes wrong here?

```
p : e '−'  
e : t           { $$ = $1; }  
  | t '/' e     { $$ = makeTree(DIV, $1, $3); }  
  | t '*' e     { $$ = makeTree(MULT, $1, $3); }
```

No infinite recursion, but we still don't know which right-hand side to choose for e.

FIRST and FOLLOW

- If α is any string of terminals and nonterminals (like the right side of a production) then $\text{FIRST}(\alpha)$ is the set of terminal symbols that start some string that α produces, plus ϵ if α can produce the empty string. For example:

$p : e \mid +$
 $e : s \mid t$
 $s : \epsilon \mid '+' \mid '-'$
 $t : \text{ID} \mid '(' e ')'$

Since $e \Rightarrow s \mid t \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow \dots$, we know that $'(' \in \text{FIRST}(e)$.
Since $s \Rightarrow \epsilon$, we know that $\epsilon \in \text{FIRST}(s)$.

- If X is a non-terminal symbol in some grammar, G , then $\text{FOLLOW}(X)$ is the set of terminal symbols that can come immediately after X in some sentential form that G can produce. For example, since $p \Rightarrow e \mid + \Rightarrow e \Rightarrow s \mid t \mid \Rightarrow s \mid '+' \mid '-' \mid \Rightarrow \dots$, we know that $'(' \in \text{FOLLOW}(s)$.

Using FIRST and FOLLOW

- In a recursive-descent compiler where we have a choice of right-hand sides to produce for non-terminal, X , look at the FIRST of each choice and take it if the next input symbol is in it...
- ...and if a right-hand side's FIRST set contains ϵ , take it if the next input symbol is in FOLLOW(X).

Grammar Problems III

What actions?

p	:	e '¬'	
e	:	t et	{ ?1 }
et	:	ε	{ ?2 }
		'/' e	{ ?3 }
		'*' e	{ ?4 }
t	:	I	{ \$\$ = \$1; }

What are FIRST and FOLLOW?

Grammar Problems III

What actions?

p	:	e	'⊣'	
e	:	t	et	{ ?1 }
et	:	ε		{ ?2 }
		'/'	e	{ ?3 }
		'*'	e	{ ?4 }
t	:	I		{ \$\$ = \$1; }

Here, we don't have the previous problems, but how do we build a tree that associates properly (left to right), so that we don't interpret $I/I/I$ as if it were $I/(I/I)$?

What are FIRST and FOLLOW?

Grammar Problems III

What actions?

p : e '¬'
e : t et { ?1 }
et : ε { ?2 }
 | '/' e { ?3 }
 | '*' e { ?4 }
t : I { \$\$ = \$1; }

Here, we don't have the previous problems, but how do we build a tree that associates properly (left to right), so that we don't interpret I/I/I as if it were I/(I/I)?

What are FIRST and FOLLOW?

FIRST(p) = FIRST(e) = FIRST(t) = { I }
FIRST(et) = { ε, '/', '*' }
FIRST('/', e) = { '/' } (when to use ?3)
FIRST('*', e) = { '*' } (when to use ?4)
FOLLOW(e) = { '¬' }
FOLLOW(et) = FOLLOW(e) (when to use ?2)
FOLLOW(t) = { '¬', '/', '*' }

Using Loops to Roll Up Recursion

- There are ways to deal with problem in last slide within the pure framework, but why bother?
- Implement `e` procedure with a loop, instead:

```
def e():
```

```
    _____  
    while _____:  
        if _____:  
            _____  
            _____  
        else:  
            _____  
            _____  
    return _
```

Using Loops to Roll Up Recursion

- There are ways to deal with problem in last slide within the pure framework, but why bother?
- Implement `e` procedure with a loop, instead:

```
def e():  
    r = t()  
    while _____:  
        if _____:  
            _____  
            _____  
        else:  
            _____  
            _____  
    return _
```

Using Loops to Roll Up Recursion

- There are ways to deal with problem in last slide within the pure framework, but why bother?
- Implement `e` procedure with a loop, instead:

```
def e():  
    r = t()  
    while next() in ['/ ', '*']:  
        if _____:  
            _____  
            _____  
        else:  
            _____  
            _____  
    return _
```


Using Loops to Roll Up Recursion

- There are ways to deal with problem in last slide within the pure framework, but why bother?
- Implement `e` procedure with a loop, instead:

```
def e():  
    r = t()  
    while next() in ['/ ', '*']:  
        if next() == '/':  
            scan('/'); t1 = t()  
            r = makeTree (DIV, r, t1)  
        else:  
            _____  
            _____  
    return _
```

Using Loops to Roll Up Recursion

- There are ways to deal with problem in last slide within the pure framework, but why bother?
- Implement e procedure with a loop, instead:

```
def e():  
    r = t()  
    while next() in ['/ ', '*']:  
        if next() == '/':  
            scan('/'); t1 = t()  
            r = makeTree (DIV, r, t1)  
        else:  
            scan('*'); t1 = t()  
            r = makeTree (MULT, r, t1)  
    return _
```

Using Loops to Roll Up Recursion

- There are ways to deal with problem in last slide within the pure framework, but why bother?
- Implement `e` procedure with a loop, instead:

```
def e():  
    r = t()  
    while next() in ['/ ', '*']:  
        if next() == '/':  
            scan('/'); t1 = t()  
            r = makeTree (DIV, r, t1)  
        else:  
            scan('*'); t1 = t()  
            r = makeTree (MULT, r, t1)  
    return r
```