## Lecture 12: Deterministic Bottom-Up Parsing

- (From slides by G. Necula \& R. Bodik)


## Administrivia

- HW4 out.
- HW4 contains a team component, part of Project \#1: Test cases.


## Avoiding nondeterministic choice: LR

- We've been looking at general context-free parsing.
- It comes at a price, measured in overheads, so in practice, we design programming languages to be parsed by less general but faster means, like top-down recursive descent.
- Deterministic bottom-up parsing is more general than top-down parsing, and just as efficient.
- Most common form is LR parsing
- L means that tokens are read left to right
- $R$ means that it constructs a rightmost derivation


## An Introductory Example

- LR parsers don't need left-factored grammars and can also handle left-recursive grammars
- Consider the following grammar:
$\mathrm{E}: \mathrm{E}+(\mathrm{E}) \mid \mathrm{int}$
(Why is this not $\operatorname{LL}(1)$ ?)
- Consider the string: int + (int ) + (int ).


## The Idea

- LR parsing reduces a string to the start symbol by inverting productions. In the following, sent is a sentential form that starts as the input and is reduced to the start symbol, $S$ :
sent = input string of terminals
while sent $\neq \mathrm{S}$ :
Identify first $\beta$ in sent such that $A: \beta$ is a production and $S \xlongequal{*} \alpha A \gamma \Rightarrow \alpha \beta \gamma=$ sent.
Replace $\beta$ by $\mathbf{A}$ in sent (so that $\alpha A \gamma$ becomes new sent).
- Such $\alpha \beta$ 's are called handles.

A Bottom-up Parse in Detail (1)

Grammar:
$E: E+(E) \mid \operatorname{int}$
int + (int) + (int)

A Bottom-up Parse in Detail (2)

## Grammar:

$E: E+(E) \mid \mathrm{int}$
int + (int) + (int)
$\mathrm{E}+$ (int) + (int)
(handles in red)
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```
Grammar:
    E:E+(E)| int
    int + (int) + (int)
    E + (int) + (int)
    E + (E) + (int)
    E + (int)
```




## A Bottom-up Parse in Detail (5)

## Grammar:

$E: E+(E) \mid \mathrm{int}$
int + (int) + (int)
$\mathrm{E}+$ (int) + (int)
E + (E) + (int)
E + (int)
E + (E)


CS164: Lecture \#12 9

## A Bottom-up Parse in Detail (6)

## Grammar:

## $E: E+(E) \mid \operatorname{int}$

A reverse rightmost
derivation:

```
int + (int) + (int)
E + (int) + (int)
E + (E) + (int)
E + (int)
E + (E)
E
```

Last modified: Thu Feb 26 16:15:23 2015
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## Where Do Reductions Happen?

Because an LR parser produces a reverse rightmost derivation:

- If $\alpha \beta \gamma$ is one step of a bottom-up parse with handle $\alpha \beta$
- And the next reduction is by $A: \beta$,
- Then $\gamma$ must be a string of terminals,
- Because $\alpha A \gamma \Rightarrow \alpha \beta \gamma$ is a step in a rightmost derivation

Intuition: We make decisions about what reduction to use after seeing all symbols in the handle, rather after seeing only the first (as for $L L(1))$.

## Notation

- Idea: Split the input string into two substrings
- Right substring (a string of terminals) is as-yet unprocessed by parser
- Left substring has terminals and nonterminals
- (In examples, we'll mark the dividing point with |.)
- The dividing point marks the end of the next potential handle.
- Initially, all input is unexamined: $\mid x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}$


## Shift-Reduce Parsing

Bottom-up parsing uses only two kinds of actions:

- Shift: Move | one place to the right, shifting a terminal to the left string.
- For example,

$$
E+(\mid \text { int }) \longrightarrow E+(\text { int } \mid)
$$

- Reduce: Apply an inverse production at the handle.
- For example, if $E: E+(E)$ is a production, then we might reduce:

$$
E+(\underline{E+}+(E) \mid) \longrightarrow E+(\underline{E} \mid)
$$

## Shift-Reduce Example (1)

| Sent. Form | Actions |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mid \underline{\text { int }+(\text { int })+(\text { int })} \dashv$ | shift |

## Accepting a String

- The process ends when we reduce all the input to the start symbol.
- For technical convenience, however, we usually add a new start symbol and a hidden production to handle the end-of-file:

$$
S^{\prime}: S \dashv
$$

- Having done this, we can now stop parsing and accept the string whenever we reduce the entire input to

```
S| \dashv
```

without bothering to do the final shift and reduce.

- This will be the convention from now on.


## Shift-Reduce Example (2)



Grammar:

| Sent. Form | Actions |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mid$ int + (int) + (int) $\dashv$ | shift |
| int \| + (int) + (int) $\dashv$ | reduce by E: int |
| E $\mid+$ (int $)+$ (int $) \dashv$ | shift 3 times |

Grammar:

| Sent. Form | Actions |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mid$ int $+($ int $)+($ int $) \dashv$ | shift |
| int $\mid+($ int $)+($ int $) \dashv$ | reduce by E: int |
| E $\mid+($ int $)+($ int $) \dashv$ | shift 3 times |
| E + (int $\mid)+($ int $) \dashv$ | reduce by E: int |

$E: E+(E) \mid \operatorname{int}$
$E: E+(E) \mid \operatorname{int}$
int | + (int) + (int) -1 reduce by $E:$ int
E $\mid+$ (int) + (int) -1 shift 3 times
$E+(\overline{\text { int } \mid})+($ int $) ~-1$ reduce by $E:$ int

## Shift-Reduce Example (5)




## Shift-Reduce Example (8)

Grammar:
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## Shift-Reduce Example (9)




## Key Issue: When to Shift or Reduce?

- Decide based on the left string ("the stack") and some of the remaining input (lookahead tokens)-typically one token at most.
- Idea: use a DFA to decide when to shift or reduce:
- DFA alphabet consists of terminals and nonterminals.
- The DFA input is the stack up to potential handle.
- DFA recognizes complete handles.
- In addition, the final states are labeled with particular productions that might apply, given the possible lookahead symbols.
- We run the DFA on the stack and we examine the resulting state, $X$ and the lookahead token $\tau$ after 1 .
- If $X$ has a transition labeled $\tau$ then shift.
- If X is labeled with " $A: \beta$ on $\tau$," then reduce.
- So we scan the input from Left to right, producing a (reverse) Rightmost derivation, using 1 symbol of lookahead: giving LR(1) parsing.


## The Parsing Stack

- The left string (left of the |) can be implemented as a stack:
- Top of the stack is just left of the ।.
- Shift pushes a terminal on the stack.
- Reduce pops 0 or more symbols from the stack (corresponding to the production's right-hand side) and pushes a nonterminal on the stack (the production's left-hand side).



## LR(1) Parsing. Another Example
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## Representing the DFA. Example

Here's the table for a fragment of our DFA:


Legend: 'sN' means "shift (or go to) state N."
' $r_{P}$ ' means "reduce using production $P$."
blank entries indicate errors.

## The Actual LR Parsing Algorithm

```
Let \(\mathrm{I}=w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{n}\) be initial input
Let \(\mathrm{j}=1\)
Let stack \(=\) < 0 >
repeat
    case table[top_state(stack), I[j]] of
        sk:
            push \(k\) on the stack; \(j+=1\)
        \(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{X}: \alpha}\) :
            pop len( \(\alpha\) ) symbols from stack
            push \(j\) on stack, where table[top_state(stack), X] is sj.
        accept:
            return normally
        error:
            return parsing error indication
```


## LR(1) Items

- An $L R(1)$ item is a pair:

$$
\mathbf{X}: \alpha \bullet \beta, \mathbf{a}
$$

- X: $\alpha \beta$ is a production.
- a is a terminal symbol (an expected lookahead).
- It says we are trying to find an $X$ followed by $a$.
- and that we have already accumulated $\alpha$ on top of the parsing stack.
- Therefore, we need to see next a prefix of something derived from $\beta a$.
- (As an abbreviation, we'll usually write

$$
X: \alpha \bullet \beta, a / b
$$

to mean the two $\operatorname{LR}(1)$ items
$X: \alpha \bullet \beta, a$
X: $\alpha \bullet \beta, \boldsymbol{b}$
)

## Parsing Contexts

- Consider the state describing the situation at the $\mid$ in the stack $E+(1$ int )+( int ), which tells us
- We are looking to reduce $E: E+(E)$, having already seen $E+($ from the right-hand side.
- Therefore, we expect that the rest of the input starts with something that will eventually reduce to $E$ :
$E$ : int or $E: E+(E)$ after which we expect to find a ')',
- but we have as yet seen nothing from the right-hand sides of either of these two possible productions.
- One DFA state captures a set of such contexts in the form of a set of $L R(1)$ items, like this:

| [ E: E + ( E ) , ... ] | [ E: - int, '+' ] (why?) |
| :---: | :---: |
| [ E: • int, ')' ] | [ E: • E+(E), '+' ] (why?) |
| [ E: $\left.\cdot \mathrm{E}+(\mathrm{E}),{ }^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ ] |  |

- (Traditionally, use - in items to show where the | is.)
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## Constructing the Parsing DFA

- The idea is to borrow from Earley's algorithm (where we've already seen this notation!).
- We throw away a lot of the information that Earley's algorithm keeps around (notably where in the input each current item got introduced), because when we have a handle, there will only be one possible reduction to take based on what we've seen so far.
- This allows the set of possible item sets to be finite.
- Each state in the DFA has an item set that is derived from what Earley's algorithm would do, but collapsed because of the information we throw away.


## Constructing the Parsing DFA: Partial Example



## Relation to Bison

- Bison builds this kind of machine.
- However, for efficiency concerns, collapses many of the states together, namely those that differ only in lookahead sets, but otherwise have identical sets of items. Result is called an $\operatorname{LALR(1)~parser~}$ (as opposed to LR(1)).
- Causes some additional conflicts, but these are rare.


## LR Parsing Tables. Notes

- We really want to construct parsing tables (i.e. the DFA) from CFGs automatically, since this construction is tedious.
- But still good to understand the construction to work with parser generators, which report errors in terms of sets of items.
- What kind of errors can we expect?

