Lecture 17: Types ¹	Type Checking Phase
Administrivia • Reminder: Test #1 in class on Wednesday, 11 March.	 Determines the type of each expression in the program, (each node in the AST that corresponds to an expression) Finds type errors. Examples? The type rules of a language define each expression's type and the types required of all expressions and subexpressions.
¹ From material by G. Necula and P. Hilfinger Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015 CS164: Lecture #17 1	Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015 C5164: Lecture #17 2
Types and Type Systems	Uses of Types
 A type is a set of values together with a set of operations on those values. E.g., fields and methods of a Java class are meant to correspond to values and operations. A language's type system specifies which operations are valid for which types. Goal of type checking is to ensure that operations are used with the correct types, enforcing intended interpretation of values. Notion of "correctness" often depends on what programmer has in mind, rather than what the representation would allow. Most operations are legal only for values of some types Doesn't make sense to add a function pointer and an integer in C It does make sense to add two integers But both have the same assembly language implementation: movl y, %eax; addl x, %eax 	 Detect errors: Memory errors, such as attempting to use an integer as a pointer. Violations of abstraction boundaries, such as using a private field from outside a class. Help compilation: When Python sees x+y, its type systems tells it almost nothing about types of x and y, so code must be general. In C, C++, Java, code sequences for x+y are smaller and faster, because representations are known.

Review: Dynamic vs. Static Types

- A dynamic type attaches to an object reference or other value. It's a run-time notion, applicable to any language.
- The *static type* of an expression or variable is a constraint on the possible dynamic types of its value, enforced at compile time.
- Language is *statically typed* if it enforces a "significant" set of static type constraints.
 - A matter of degree: assembly language might enforce constraint that "all registers contain 32-bit words," but since this allows just about any operation, not considered static typing.
 - C sort of has static typing, but rather easy to evade in practice.
 - Java's enforcement is pretty strict.
- In early type systems, dynamic_type(\mathcal{E}) = static_type(\mathcal{E}) for all expressions \mathcal{E} , so that in all executions, \mathcal{E} evaluates to exactly type of value deduced by the compiler.
- Gets more complex in advanced type systems.

Subtyping

• Define a relation $X \preceq Y$ on classes to say that:

An object (value) of type \boldsymbol{X} could be used when one of type \boldsymbol{Y} is acceptable

or equivalently

X conforms to Y

- In Java this means that X extends Y.
- Properties:
 - $X \preceq X$
 - $X \preceq Y$ if X inherits from Y.
 - $X \preceq Z$ if $X \preceq Y$ and $Y \preceq Z$.

CS164: Lecture #17 5 CS164: Lecture #17 6 Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015 Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015 Example Type Soundness class A { ... } Soundness Theorem on Expressions. class B extends A { ... } $\forall E. \text{ dynamic_type}(E) \preceq \text{static_type}(E)$ class Main { void f () { A x: // x has static type A. • Compiler uses static_type(E) (call this type C). x = new A(); // x's value has dynamic type A.• All operations that are valid on C are also valid on values with types $\leq C$ (e.g., attribute (field) accesses, method calls). x = new B(); // x's value has dynamic type B. . . . Subclasses only add attributes. } • Methods may be overridden, but only with same (or compatible) sig-} nature. Variables, with static type A can hold values with dynamic type $\prec A$, or in general...

Typing Options

- Statically typed: almost all type checking occurs at compilation time (C, Java). Static type system is typically rich.
- Dynamically typed: almost all type checking occurs at program execution (Scheme, Python, Javascript, Ruby). Static type system can be trivial.
- Untyped: no type checking. What we might think of as type errors show up either as weird results or as various runtime exceptions.

"Type Wars"

- Dynamic typing proponents say:
 - Static type systems are restrictive; can require more work to do reasonable things.
 - Rapid prototyping easier in a dynamic type system.
 - Use *duck typing*: define types of things by what operations they respond to ("if it walks like a duck and quacks like a duck, it's a duck").
- Static typing proponents say:
 - Static checking catches many programming errors at compile time.
 - Avoids overhead of runtime type checks.
 - Use various devices to recover the flexibility lost by "going static:" *subtyping, coercions,* and *type parameterization.*
 - Of course, each such wrinkle introduces its own complications.

Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015	CS164: Lecture #17 9	Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015	C5164: Lecture #17 10
Using Subtypes		Implicit Coe	ercions
• In languages such as Java, can define type:	s (classes) either to	• In Java, can write	
- Implement a type, or		<pre>int x = 'c'; float y = x;</pre>	
 Define the operations on a family of ty implementing them. 		 But relationship between char and called subtyping, but rather conversion 	•
 Hence, relaxes static typing a bit: we may y without knowing precisely which subtype 	-	Such implicit coercions avoid cumbe	rsome casting operations.
<u>.</u>		• Might cause a change of value or re	presentation,
		 But usually, such coercions allowed i contains all the values of the type <i>cion</i>). 	
		 Inverses of widening coercions, whice int→char), are known as narrowing uired to be explicit. 	
		 int→float a traditional exception tion and is neither a strict widening 	•

Coercion	Example	es
----------	---------	----

Coercion Examples	Type Inference
<pre>Object x =; String y =; int a =; short b = 42;</pre>	 Types of expressions and parameters need not be explicit to have static typing. With the right rules, might <i>infer</i> their types.
<pre>x = y; a = b; // OK y = x; b = a; // ERRORS{ x = (Object) y; // {OK a = (int) b; // OK y = (String) x; // OK but may cause exception</pre>	 The appropriate formalism for type checking is logical rules of in- ference having the form If Hypothesis is true, then Conclusion is true
<pre>b = (short) a; // OK but may lose information</pre>	 For type checking, this might become rules like
Possibility of implicit coercion complicates type-matching rules (see <i>C</i> ++).	If E_1 and E_2 have types T_1 and T_2 , then E_3 has type T_3 .
	• The standard notation used in scholarly work looks like this: $\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1:T_1, \Gamma \vdash E_2:T_2}{\Gamma \vdash E_3:T_3}$
	Here, Γ stands for some set of assumptions about the types of free names, generically known as a type environment and $A \vdash B$ means "from A we may infer that B " or " A entails B ."
	 Given proper notation, easy to read (with practice), so easy to check that the rules are accurate.
	 Can even be mechanically translated into programs.
Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015 CS164: Lecture #17 13	Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015 CS164: Lecture #17 14
Prolog: A Declarative Programming Language	Prolog: Terms
 Prolog is the most well-known <i>logic programming language</i>. Its statements "declare" facts about the desired solution to a prob- 	 Each conclusion and hypothesis is a kind of term, represent both programs and data. A term is:
lem. The system then figures out the solution from these facts.	– A constant, such as a, foo, bar12, =, +, '(', 12, 'Foo'.
• You saw this in CS61A.	- A variable, denoted by an unquoted symbol that starts with a
• General form:	capital letter or underscore: E, Type, _foo. – The nameless variable (_) stands for a different variable each
Conclusion :- Hypothesis ₁ ,, Hypothesis _k .	time it occurs.
for $k \ge 0$ means Means "may infer Conclusion by first establishing each Hypothesis." (when $k=0$, we generally leave off the ':-').	 A structure, denoted in prefix form: symbol(term1,, termk). Very general: can represent ASTs, expressions, lists, facts.
	 Constants and structures can also represent conclusions and hy- potheses, just as some list structures in Scheme can represent pro- grams.

Prolog Sugaring		Inference Dat	abases
 For convenience, allows structures written a + X rather than +(a,X). List structures also have special notation: Can write as .(a,.(b,.(c,[]))) or .(a,.(b,.(c,X - But more commonly use [a, b, c] or [a, b,)))	 Can now express ground facts, such a likes(brian, potstickers). Universally quantified facts, such as eats(brian, X). (for all X, brian eats X). Rules of inference, such as eats(brian, X) :- isfood(X), likes(b (you may infer that brian eats X if yo and brian likes it.) A collection (database) of these constructions 	rian, X). ou can establish that X is a food
Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015 Examples: From English to an I	cs164: Lecture #17 17	Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015 Soundnes	C5164: Lecture #17 18
 "If e1 has type int and e2 has type int, then typeof(E1 + E2, int) :- typeof(E1, int), ty "All integer literals have type int:" typeof(X, int) :- integer(X). (integer is a built-in predicate on terms). In general, our typeof predicate will take arguments. 	n e1+e2 has type int:" peof(E2,int).	 We'll say that our definition of typed - Whenever rules show that typed value of type t We only want sound rules, But some sound rules are better that very useful: typeof(X,any) :- integer(X). Instead, would be better to be more typeof(X,any). (that is, any expression X is an any.) 	f(e,t), e always evaluates to a an others; here's one that's not

Example: A few Ru	les for Java (Classic Notation)	Example: A Few Rules for	Java (Prolog)
$\frac{\vdash X : \text{boolean}}{\vdash !X : \text{boolean}}$ $\frac{\vdash X : T}{\vdash X : \text{void}}$	$\frac{\vdash E : \text{boolean}}{\vdash \text{while}(E, S) : \text{void}}$ $\frac{\vdash E_1 : \text{int}}{\vdash E_1 + E_2 : \text{int}}$	 typeof(! X, boolean) :- typeof(X, boolean typeof(while(E, S), void) :- typeof(E, boolean) typeof(X,void) :- typeof(X,Y) 	
modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015	C5164: Lecture #17 21	Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015	CS164: Lecture #17
Th	e Environment	Defining the Environme	nt in Prolog
What is the type of a var typeof(x, int)?	iable instance? E.g., how do you show that	 Can define a predicate, say, defn(I,T,E have type T in environment E."), to mean "I is defined t
Ans: You can't, in general	without more information.	• We can implement such a defn in Prolog	like this:
We need a hypothesis of ration of x with type T.")	the form "we are in the scope of a decla-	<pre>defn(I, T, [def(I,T) _]). defn(I, T, [def(I1,_) R]) :- dif</pre>	(I,I1), defn(I,T,R).
A type environment gives	types for free names:	(dif is built-in, and means that its argun	nents differ).
a mapping from identifier	s to types.	• Now we revise typeof to have a 3-argun	nent predicate: <mark>typeof(E</mark> , ⁻
		Env) means "E is of type T in environment	nt Env," allowing us to say
	expression if the expression contains an fier that refers to a declaration outside	<pre>typeof(I, T, Env) :- defn(I, T,</pre>	Env).
occurrence of the identi	fier that refers to a declaration outside	typeof(I, T, Env) :- defn(I, T,	Env).
occurrence of the identi the expression.	fier that refers to a declaration outside ne variable x is free	typeof(I, T, Env) :- defn(I, T,	Env).

Examples Revisit	ed (Classic)	Examples Revisited (Pr	volog)
$\Gamma \vdash \ !X:$ boolean	-	<pre>typeof(E1 + E2, int, Env) :- typeof(E1, int, Env), typeof(X, int, _) :- integer(X). typeof(!X, boolean, Env) :- typeof(X, typeof(while(E,S), void, Env) :- typeof(E, boolean,Env), typeof</pre>	boolean, Env).
Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015	C5164: Lecture #17 25	Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015	CS164: Lecture #17 26
Example: lambd	a (Python)	Example: Same Idea for 'let' in t	he Cool Language
<pre>typeof(lambda(X,E1), any->T, Eny typeof(E1,T, [def(X,any)</pre>		 Cool is an object-oriented language someting in this course. 	nes used for the project
In effect, [def(X,any) Env] means "E behaving like Env on all other argument		 The statement let x : T0 in e1 creates a vertex to that is then defined throughout e1. Value 	
		 Rule (assuming that "let(X,TO,E1)" is the As 	5T for let):
		<pre>typeof(let(X,T0,E1), T1, Env) :- typeof(E1, T1, [def(X, T</pre>	'0) Env]).
		"type of let X: T0 in E1 is T1, assuming that T1 if free instances of X were defined to h	

Example of a Rule That's Too Conservative	Loosening the Rule
 Let with initialization (also from Cool): let x: T0 ← e0 in e1 What's wrong with this rule? typeof(let(X, T0, E0, E1), T1, Env) :- typeof(E0, T0, Env), typeof(E1, T1, [def(X, T0) Env]). (Hint: I said Cool was an object-oriented language). 	 Problem is that we haven't allowed type of initializer to be subtype of TO. Here's how to do that: typeof(let(X, T0, E0, E1), T1, Env) :- typeof(E0, T2, Env), T2 <= T0, typeof(E1, T1, [def(X, T0) Env]). Still have to define subtyping (written here as <=), but that depends on other details of the language.
Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015 CS164: Lecture #17 29	Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015 C5164: Lecture #17 30
As Usual, Can Always Screw It Up	Function Application
<pre>typeof(let(X, T0, E0, E1), T1, Env) :- typeof(E0, T2, Env), T2 <= T0, typeof(E1, T1, Env). This allows incorrect programs and disallows legal ones. Examples?</pre>	 Consider only the one-argument case (Java). AST uses 'call', with function and list of argument types. typeof(call(E1, [E2]), T, Env) :- typeof(E1, T1->T, Env), typeof(E2, T1a, Env), T1a <= T1.

Conditional Expressions	
• Consider:	
e1 if e0 else e2	
or (from C) e0 ? e1 : e2.	
 The result can be value of either e1 or e2. 	
• The dynamic type is either e1's or e2's.	
• Either constrain these to be equal (as in ML):	
<pre>typeof(if(E0,E1,E2), T, Env) :- typeof(E0,bool,Env), typeof(E1,T,Env), 1</pre>	typeof(E2,T,Env).
 Or use the smallest supertype at least as large as b types—the least upper bound (lub) (as in Cool): 	ooth of these
<pre>typeof(if(E0,E1,E2), T, Env) :- typeof(E0,bool,Env), typeof(E1,T1,Env), lub(T,T1,T2).</pre>	<pre>typeof(E2,T2,Env),</pre>
Last modified: Fri Mar 13 11:13:04 2015	CS164: Lecture #17 33