

- The problem is that in reality, the ia32 architecture has very few registers, and example from last lecture used registers profligately.
- *Register allocation* is the general term for assigning virtual registers to real registers or memory locations.
- When we run out of real registers, we *spill* values into memory locations reserved for them.
- We keep a register or two around as *compiler temporaries* for cases where the instruction set doesn't let us just combine operands directly.

A Simple Strategy: Local Register Allocation

- It's convenient to handle register allocation within *basic blocks*—sequences of code with one entry point at the top and (at most) one branch at the end.
- At the end of each such block, spill any registers needed.
- To do this efficiently, need to know when a register is *dead*—that is, when its value is no longer needed.
- We'll talk about how to compute that in a later lecture. Let's assume we know it for now.
- Let's also assume that each virtual register representing a local variable or intermediate result has a memory location suitable for spilling.

Simple Algorithm for Local Register Allocation

- We execute the following for each three-address instruction in a basic block (in turn).
- Initially, the set `availReg` contains all usable physical registers.

```
# Allocate registers to an instruction x := y op z
# [Adopted from Aho, Sethi, Ullman]
regAlloc(x := y op z):
    if x has an assigned register already or dies here:
        return
    if y is a virtual register and dies here:
        reassign y's physical register to x
    elif availReg is not empty:
        remove a register from availReg and assign to x
    elif op requires a register:
        spill another virtual register (which could be y or z),
        and reassign its physical register to x
    else:
        just leave x in memory
```

Function Prologue and Epilogue for the ia32

- Consider a function that needs K bytes of local variables and other compiler temporary storage for expression evaluation.
- We'll consider the case where we keep a frame pointer.
- Overall, the code for a function, F , looks like this:

```
F:
    pushl %ebp           # Save dynamic link (caller's frame pointer)
    movl  %esp,%ebp     # Set new frame pointer
    subl  K,%esp        # Reserve space for locals
    code for body of function, leaving value in %eax
    leave               # Sets %ebp to 0(%ebp), popping old frame pointer
    ret                 # Pop return address and return
```

Code Generation for Local Variables

- Local variables are stored on the stack (thus not at fixed location).
- One possibility: access relative to the stack pointer, but
 - Sometimes convenient for stack pointer to change during execution of of function, sometimes by unknown amounts.
 - Debuggers, unwinders, and stack tracers would like simple way to compute stack-frame boundaries.
- Solution: use frame pointer, which is constant over execution of function.
- For simple language, use fact that parameter i is at location **frame pointer + $K_1(i + K_2)$** . If parameters are 32-bit integers (or pointers) on the ia32, $K_1 = 4$ and $K_2 = 2$ [why?].
- Local variables other than parameters are at negative offsets from the frame pointer on the ia32.

Accessing Non-Local Variables

- In program on left, how does f3 access x1?
- Let's suppose that functions pass static links as the first parameter of their callees.
- The static link passed to f3 will be f2's frame pointer.

```
def f1 (x1):
    def f2 (x2):
        def f3 (x3):
            ... x1 ...
            ...
            f3 (12)
            ...
            f2 (9)
        # To access x1:
        movl 8(%ebp),%ebx # Fetch FP for f2
        movl 8(%ebx),%ebx # Fetch FP for f1
        movl 12(%ebx),%eax # Fetch x1
        # When f2 calls f3:
        compute regular parameters
        pushl %ebp # Pass f2's frame to f3
        call f3
```

- We'll say a function is at nesting level 0 if it is at the outer level, and at level $k + 1$ if it is most immediately enclosed inside a level- k function. Likewise, the variables, parameters, and code in a level- k function are themselves at level $k + 1$ (enclosed in a level- k function).
- In general, for code at nesting level n to access a variable at nesting level $m \leq n$, perform $n - m$ loads of static links.

Accessing Non-Local Variables (II)

- The GNU convention for passing the static link is slightly different: it is passed in register `ecx`, making it easy to ignore if not needed. We'll use that in what follows.

```
# Immediately after prologue:
    pushl %ecx # Save static link at -4 off %ebp.

def f1 (x1):
    def f2 (x2):
        def f3 (x3):
            ... x1 ...
            ...
            f3 (12)
            ...
            f2 (9)
        # To access x1:
        movl -4(%ebp),%ebx # Fetch FP for f2
        movl -4(%ebx),%ebx # Fetch FP for f1
        movl 8(%ebx),%eax # Fetch x1
        # When f2 calls f3:
        compute parameters
        movl %ebp, %ecx # Pass f2's frame to f3
        call f3
```

Calling Function-Valued Variables and Parameters

- As we've seen, a function value consists of a code address and a static link (let's assume code address comes first).
- So, in project 3, when we need the value of a function itself:

```
def caller(f):  
    f(42)
```

we create an object containing the type pointer for the function type of `f`, and the code pointer and static link for `f`, and pass a pointer to this object.

- Then the call `f(42)` gets translated to

```
pushl $42  
movl 8(%ebp), %eax # Get parameter f  
movl 8(%eax), %ecx # Fetch static link from f  
movl 4(%eax), %eax # Get code address for f  
call *%eax # GNU assembler for call to address in eax
```

Static Links for Calling Known Functions

- For a call $F(\dots)$ to a fixed, known function F , we could use the same strategy as for function-values variables:
 - Create a closure for F containing address of F 's code and value of its static link.
 - Call F using the same code sequence as on previous slide.
- But can do better. Functions and their nesting levels are known.
- In code that is at nesting level n , to call a function at known nesting level $m \leq n$, get correct static link in register R with:
 - `movl %ebp,R`
 - Do '`movl -4(R),R`' $n - m + 1$ times.(assuming we save static links at `-4` off our frame pointer).
- When calling outer-level functions, it doesn't matter what you use as the static link.

Passing Static Links to Known Functions: Example

```
# To call f2(9) (in f3):  
pushl $9  
                                movl -  
4(%ebp),%ebx # Fetch FP for f2  
                                movl -  
4(%ebx),%ecx # Fetch FP for f1, and pass it  
call f2  
addl $4,%esp  
# To call f3(12) (in f2):  
pushl $12  
movl %ebp,%ecx # f2's FP is static link  
call f3  
addl $4,%ebp  
# To call f2(10) (in f2):  
pushl $10  
                                movl -  
4(%ebp),%ecx # Pass down same static link  
call f2  
addl $4,%ebp
```

```
def f1 (x1):  
    def f2 (x2):  
        def f3 (x3):  
            ... f2 (9) ...  
            ...  
            f3 (12)  
            f2 (10) # (recursively)  
            ...
```

A Note on Pushing

- Don't really need to push and pop the stack as I've been doing.
 - Instead, when allocating local variables, etc., on the stack, leave sufficient extra space on top of the stack to hold any parameter list in the function.
 - Eg., to translate
- ```
def f(x):
 g(g(x+2))
```
- We could either get the code on the left (pushing and popping) or that on the right (ignoring static links):

```
f: movl 8(%ebp),%eax f: subl $4,%esp
 addl $2,%eax movl 8(%ebp),%eax
 pushl %eax addl $2,%eax
 call g movl %eax,0(%esp)
 addl $4,%esp call g
 pushl %eax movl %eax,0(%esp)
 call g call g
 addl $4,%esp
```

... and you can continue to use the depressed stack pointer for arguments on the right.

## Parameter Passing Semantics: Value vs. Reference

- So far, our examples have dealt only with *value parameters*, which are the only kind found in C, Java, and Python

*Ignorant comments from numerous textbook authors, bloggers, and slovenly hackers notwithstanding [End Rant].*

- Pushing a parameter's value on the stack creates a copy that essentially acts as a local variable of the called function.
- C++ (and Pascal) have *reference parameters*, where assignments to the formal are assignments to the actual.
- Implementation of reference parameters is simple:
  - Push the address of the argument, not its value, and
  - To fetch from or store to the parameter, do an extra indirection.

## Copy-in, Copy-out Parameters

- Some languages, such as Fortran and Ada, have a variation on this: *copy-in, copy-out*. Like call by value, but the final value of the parameter is copied back to the original location of the actual parameter after function returns.
  - "Original location" because of cases like  $f(A[k])$ , where  $k$  might change during execution of  $f$ . In that case, we want the final value of the parameter copied back to  $A[k_0]$ , where  $k_0$  is the original value of  $k$  before the call.
  - Question: can you give an example where call by reference and copy-in, copy-out give different results?

## Parameter Passing Semantics: Call by Name

- Algol 60's definition says that the effect of a call  $P(E)$  is as if the body of  $P$  were substituted for the call (dynamically, so that recursion works) and  $E$  were substituted for the corresponding formal parameter in the body (changing names to avoid clashes).
- It's a simple description that, for simple cases, is just like call by reference:

```
procedure F(x) F(aVar);
 integer x; becomes
begin aVar := 42;
 x := 42;
end F;
```

- But the (unintended?) consequences were "interesting".

## Call By Name: Jensen's Device

- Consider:

```
procedure DoIt (i, L, U, x, x0, E)
 integer i, L, U; real x, x0, E;
begin
 x := x0;
 for i := L step 1 until U do
 x := E;
 end DoIt;
```

- To set  $y$  to the sum of the values in array  $A[1:N]$ ,

```
integer k;
DoIt(k, 1, N, y, 0.0, y+A[k]);
```

- To set  $z$  to the  $N$ th harmonic number:

```
DoIt(k, 1, N, z, 0.0, z+1.0/k);
```

- Now how are we going to make this work?

## Call By Name: Implementation

- Basic idea: Convert call-by-name parameters into parameterless functions (traditionally called *thunks*.)
- To allow assignment, these functions can return the addresses of their results.
- So the call

```
DoIt(k, 1, N, y, 0.0, y+A[k]);
```

becomes something like (please pardon highly illegal notation):

```
integer t1; real t2, t3, t4;
```

```
t2 := 1.0; t3 := 0.0;
```

```
DoIt(lambda: &k, lambda: &t2, lambda: &N, lambda: &y,
 lambda: &t3, lambda: (t4 := y+A[k], &t4));
```

- Later languages have abandoned this particular parameter-passing mode.