Lecture 35: Registers, Functions, Parameters

Three-Address Code to ia32

- The problem is that in reality, the ia32 architecture has very few registers, and example from last lecture used registers profligately.
- *Register allocation* is the general term for assigning virtual registers to real registers or memory locations.
- When we run out of real registers, we *spill* values into memory locations reserved for them.
- We keep a register or two around as *compiler temporaries* for cases where the instruction set doesn't let us just combine operands directly.

A Simple Strategy: Local Register Allocation

- It's convenient to handle register allocation within *basic blocks*—sequences of code with one entry point at the top and (at most) one branch at the end.
- At the end of each such block, spill any registers needed.
- To do this efficiently, need to know when a register is *dead*—that is, when its value is no longer needed.
- We'll talk about how to compute that in a later lecture. Let's assume we know it for now.
- Let's also assume that each virtual register representing a local variable or intermediate result has a memory location suitable for spilling.

Simple Algorithm for Local Register Allocation

- We execute the following for each three-address instruction in a basic block (in turn).
- Initially, the set `availReg` contains all usable physical registers.

```
# Allocate registers to an instruction x := y op z
# [Adopted from Aho, Sethi, Ullman]
regAlloc(x := y op z):
    if x has an assigned register already or dies here:
        return
    if y is a virtual register and dies here:
        reassign y's physical register to x
    elif availReg is not empty:
        remove a register from availReg and assign to x
    elif op requires a register:
        spill another virtual register (which could be y or z),
            and reassign its physical register to x
    else:
        just leave x in memory
```

Function Prologue and Epilogue for the ia32

- Consider a function that needs K bytes of local variables and other compiler temporary storage for expression evaluation.
- We'll consider the case where we keep a frame pointer.
- Overall, the code for a function, F, looks like this:

```
F:
      pushl %ebp             # Save dynamic link (caller's frame pointer)
      movl  %esp,%ebp        # Set new frame pointer
      subl  K,%esp           # Reserve space for locals
      code for body of function, leaving value in %eax
      leave                  # Sets %ebp to 0(%ebp), popping old frame pointer
      ret                    # Pop return address and return
```

Code Generation for Local Variables

- Local variables are stored on the stack (thus not at fixed location).
- One possibility: access relative to the stack pointer, but
  - Sometimes convenient for stack pointer to change during execution of of function, sometimes by unknown amounts.
  - Debuggers, unwinders, and stack tracers would like simple way to compute stack-frame boundaries.
- Solution: use frame pointer, which is constant over execution of function.
- For simple language, use fact that parameter i is at location frame pointer $+ K_1(i + K_2)$. If parameters are 32-bit integers (or pointers) on the ia32, $K_1 = 4$ and $K_2 = 2$ [why?].
- Local variables other than parameters are at negative offsets from the frame pointer on the ia32.

Accessing Non-Local Variables

- In program on left, how does f3 access x1?
- Let's suppose that functions pass static links as the first parameter of their callees.
- The static link passed to f3 will be f2's frame pointer.

```
def f1 (x1):
    def f2 (x2):
        def f3 (x3):
            ... x1 ...
        ...
        f3 (12)
    ...
    f2 (9)
```

```
# To access x1:
 movl 8(%ebp),%ebx   # Fetch FP for f2
 movl 8(%ebx),%ebx   # Fetch FP for f1
 movl 12(%ebx),%eax  # Fetch x1
# When f2 calls f3:
 compute regular parameters
 pushl %ebp          # Pass f2's frame to f3
 call f3
```

- We'll say a function is at nesting level 0 if it is at the outer level, and at level $k+1$ if it is most immediately enclosed inside a level-k function. Likewise, the variables, parameters, and code in a level-k function are themselves at level $k+1$ (enclosed in a level-k function).
- In general, for code at nesting level n to access a variable at nesting level $m \leq n$, perform $n-m$ loads of static links.

Accessing Non-Local Variables (II)

- The GNU convention for passing the static link is slightly different: it is passed in register `ecx`, making it easy to ignore if not needed. We'll use that in what follows.

```
def f1 (x1):
   def f2 (x2):
       def f3 (x3):
           ... x1 ...
       ...
       f3 (12)
   ...
   f2 (9)
```

```
# Immediately after prologue:
  pushl %ecx           # Save static link at -4 off %ebp.

# To access x1:
  movl -4(%ebp),%ebx  # Fetch FP for f2
  movl -4(%ebx),%ebx  # Fetch FP for f1
  movl 8(%ebx),%eax   # Fetch x1

# When f2 calls f3:
  compute parameters
  movl %ebp, %ecx     # Pass f2's frame to f3
  call f3
```

Calling Function-Valued Variables and Parameters

- As we've seen, a function value consists of a code address and a static link (let's assume code address comes first).
- So, in project 3, when we need the value of a function itself:

```
def caller(f):
    f(42)
```

  we create an object containing the type pointer for the function type of `f`, and the code pointer and static link for `f`, and pass a pointer to this object.

- Then the call `f(42)` gets translated to

```
pushl $42
movl  8(%ebp), %eax   # Get parameter f
movl  8(%eax), %ecx   # Fetch static link from f
movl  4(%eax), %eax   # Get code address for f
call  *%eax           # GNU assembler for call to address in eax
```

Static Links for Calling Known Functions

- For a call $F(\ldots)$ to a fixed, known function F, we could use the same strategy as for function-values variables:
  - Create a closure for F containing address of F's code and value of its static link.
  - Call F using the same code sequence as on previous slide.
- But can do better. Functions and their nesting levels are known.
- In code that is at nesting level n, to call a function at known nesting level $m \leq n$, get correct static link in register R with:
  - movl %ebp,R
  - Do 'movl -4(R),R' $n - m + 1$ times.

  (assuming we save static links at -4 off our frame pointer).
- When calling outer-level functions, it doesn't matter what you use as the static link.

Passing Static Links to Known Functions: Example

```
def f1 (x1):
   def f2 (x2):
       def f3 (x3):
          ... f2 (9) ...
       ...
       f3 (12)
       f2 (10) # (recursively)
   ...
```

```
# To call f2(9) (in f3):
  pushl $9
                               movl                    -
4(%ebp),%ebx  # Fetch FP for f2
                               movl                    -
4(%ebx),%ecx  # Fetch FP for f1, and pass it
  call  f2
  addl  $4,%esp

# To call f3(12) (in f2):
  pushl $12
 movll %ebp, %ecx    # f2's FP is static link
  call  f3
  addl  $4,%ebp

# To call f2(10) (in f2):
  pushl $10
                               movl                    -
4(%ebp),%ecx  # Pass down same static link
  call  f2
  addl  $4,%ebp
```

A Note on Pushing

- Don't really need to push and pop the stack as I've been doing.
- Instead, when allocating local variables, etc., on the stack, leave sufficient extra space on top of the stack to hold any parameter list in the function.
- Eg., to translate

```
def f(x):
    g(g(x+2))
```

- We could either get the code on the left (pushing and popping) or that on the right (ignoring static links):

```
f:   movl  8(%ebp),%eax
     addl  $2,%eax
     pushl %eax
     call  g
     addl  $4,%esp
     pushl %eax
     call  g
     addl  $4,%esp
```

```
f:   subl  $4,%esp
     movl  8(%ebp),%eax
     addl  $2,%eax
     movl  %eax,0(%esp)
     call  g
     movl  %eax,0(%esp)
     call  g
```

...and you can continue to use the depressed stack pointer for arguments on the right.

Parameter Passing Semantics: Value vs. Reference

- So far, our examples have dealt only with *value parameters*, which are the only kind found in C, Java, and Python

  Ignorant comments from numerous textbook authors, bloggers, and slovenly hackers notwithstanding [End Rant].

- Pushing a parameter's value on the stack creates a copy that essentially acts as a local variable of the called function.
- C++ (and Pascal) have *reference parameters*, where assignments to the formal are assignments to the actual.
- Implementation of reference parameters is simple:
  - Push the address of the argument, not its value, and
  - To fetch from or store to the parameter, do an extra indirection.

Copy-in, Copy-out Parameters

- Some languages, such as Fortran and Ada, have a variation on this: *copy-in, copy-out*. Like call by value, but the final value of the parameter is copied back to the original location of the actual parameter after function returns.
  - "Original location" because of cases like `f(A[k])`, where `k` might change during execution of `f`. In that case, we want the final value of the parameter copied back to `A[k0]`, where `k0` is the original value of `k` before the call.
  - Question: can you give an example where call by reference and copy-in, copy-out give different results?

Parameter Passing Semantics: Call by Name

- Algol 60's definition says that the effect of a call $P(E)$ is as if the body of P were substituted for the call (dynamically, so that recursion works) and E were substituted for the corresponding formal parameter in the body (changing names to avoid clashes).
- It's a simple description that, for simple cases, is just like call by reference:

```
procedure F(x)
   integer x;
begin
   x := 42;
end F;
```

```
F(aVar);
```

becomes

```
aVar := 42;
```

- But the (unintended?) consequences were "interesting".

Call By Name: Jensen's Device

- Consider:

```
procedure DoIt (i, L, U, x, x0, E)
    integer i, L, U; real x, x0, E;
begin
    x := x0;
    for i := L step 1 until U do
        x := E;
end DoIt;
```

- To set y to the sum of the values in array A[1:N],

```
integer k;
DoIt(k, 1, N, y, 0.0, y+A[k]);
```

- To set z to the Nth harmonic number:

```
DoIt(k, 1, N, z, 0.0, z+1.0/k);
```

- Now how are we going to make this work?

Call By Name: Implementation

- Basic idea: Convert call-by-name parameters into parameterless functions (traditionally called *thunks*.)
- To allow assignment, these functions can return the addresses of their results.
- So the call

  ```
  DoIt(k, 1, N, y, 0.0, y+A[k]);
  ```

  becomes something like (please pardon highly illegal notation):

  ```
  integer t1;  real t2, t3, t4;
  t2 := 1.0; t3 := 0.0;
  DoIt(lambda: &k, lambda: &t2, lambda: &N, lambda: &y,
       lambda: &t3, lambda: (t4 := y+A[k], &t4));
  ```

- Later languages have abandoned this particular parameter-passing mode.