
EECS 182 Deep Neural Networks

Spring 2023 Anant Sahai Review: Generative Models

1. Reparameterization Trick

Formally, a latent variable model p is a probability distribution over observed variables x and latent variables z (variables that are not directly observed but inferred), $p_\theta(x, z)$. Because we know z is unobserved, using learning methods learned in class (like supervised learning methods) is unsuitable. Indeed, our learning problem of maximizing the log-likelihood of the data turns from:

$$\theta \leftarrow \arg \max_{\theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \log[p_\theta(x_i)]$$

to:

$$\theta \leftarrow \arg \max_{\theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \log \left[\int p_\theta(x_i | z) p(z) dz \right]$$

where $p(x)$ has become $\int p_\theta(x_i | z) p(z) dz$.

- (a) Instead of directly optimizing the likelihood of $p(x)$, we define the proxy likelihood as:

$$\mathcal{L}(x_i, \theta, \phi) = E_{z \sim q_\phi(z|x_i)} \left[\log[p_\theta(x_i | z)] \right] - D_{KL} \left[q_\phi(z | x_i) || p(z) \right]$$

This proxy term is a *lower bound* of the original likelihood. In order to optimize this variational lower bound, **which distribution do we sample from?**

- (b) How do we take gradients through samples? To do we, we need to show how sampling can be done as a deterministic and continuous function of the model parameters θ and the independent source of randomness (ie. the *prior*). Such an explicit representation of sampling is called **reparameterization**. Consider the case where the data x is sampled from a normal distribution with its mean parameterized by parameters θ and variance of 1, with our objective being a quadratic function of x :

$$\min_{\theta} E_q[x^2]$$

Write x as a function of ϵ , a vector sampled from a standard Normal $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, and compute the gradient of the expectation term above:

2. Latent Variable Models

- (a) **Describe what the encoder and decoder of the VAE are *respectively* doing** to capture and encode this information into a latent representation of space z . **Is the latent space dimension smaller than the input space? How is the information bottleneck created in VAE as opposed to Autoencoder.**

- (b) Once the VAE is trained, **how do we use it to generate a new fresh sample from the learned approximation of the data-generating distribution?**

- (c) In the previous question we have used a proxy likelihood:

$$\mathcal{L}(x_i, \theta, \phi) = E_{z \sim q_\phi(z|x_i)} \left[\log[p_\theta(x_i | z)] \right] - D_{KL} \left[q_\phi(z | x_i) || p(z) \right]$$

Please show that $\mathcal{L}(x_i, \theta, \phi)$ is always a lower bound to the true log likelihood for x_i .

3. Diffusion Models

In the previous question we considered sampling from a discrete distribution. Let's now see how iteratively adding Gaussian noise to a data point leads to a noisy sequence, and how the reverse process refines noise to generate realistic samples.

The classes of generative models we've considered so far (VAEs, GANs), typically introduce some sort of bottleneck (*latent representation* z) that captures the essence of the high-dimensional sample space (\mathbf{x}). An

alternate view of representing probability distributions $p(\mathbf{x})$ is by reasoning about the *score function* i.e. the gradient of the log probability density function $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x})$.

Given a data point sampled from a real data distribution $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x})$, let us define a *forward diffusion process* iteratively adding small amount of Gaussian noise to the sample in T steps, producing a sequence of noisy samples $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T$.

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t I) \quad q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} | x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \quad (1)$$

The data sample \mathbf{x}_0 gradually loses its distinguishable features as the step t becomes larger. Eventually when $T \rightarrow \infty$, \mathbf{x}_T is equivalent to an isotropic Gaussian distribution. (You can assume \mathbf{x}_0 is Gaussian).

The generative model is therefore the *reverse diffusion process*, where we sample noise from an isotropic Gaussian, and iteratively refine it towards a realistic sample by reasoning about $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t)$.

(a) **Anytime Sampling from Intermediate Distributions**

Given \mathbf{x}_0 and the stochastic process in eq. (1), **show that there exists a closed form distribution for sampling directly at the t^{th} time-step of the form**

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t; \sqrt{\alpha_t} \mathbf{x}_0, (1 - \alpha_t) I)$$

(b) **Reversing the Diffusion Process**

Reversing the diffusion process from *real* to *noise* would allow us to sample from the real data distribution. In particular, we would want to draw samples from $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t)$. **Show that given \mathbf{x}_0 , the reverse conditional probability distribution is tractable and given by**

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}; \mu(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0), \hat{\beta}_t I)$$

- *Hint: Use Bayes Rule on eq. (1), assuming that \mathbf{x}_0 is drawn from Gaussian $q(\mathbf{x})$*
- *Hint: When applying Bayes rule to compute $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$, don't expand the entire Gaussian pdf. Instead just compute the exponent parts to simplify your work.*
- *Hint: Scalar form of Gaussian pdf is given as $f(z) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right\}$*