

Announcements

- Project 0: Python Tutorial
 - Due yesterday / Monday at 11:59pm (0 points in class, but pulse check to see you are in + get to know submission system)
- Homework 0: Math self-diagnostic
 - Optional, but important to check your preparedness for second half
- Project 1: Search
 - Will go out this week
 - Longer than most, and best way to test your programming preparedness
- Sections
 - Start this week, can go to any but priority in the one you signed up for on piazza
- Instructional accounts: online (see our Welcome post on piazza)
- Pinned posts on piazza
- Reminder: We don't use bCourses [we use: class website, piazza, gradescope]


How about AI Research?



<https://bair.berkeley.edu>

CS 188: Artificial Intelligence

Search




Instructors: Pieter Abbeel & Dan Klein

University of California, Berkeley


[These slides were created by Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel for CS188 Intro to AI at UC Berkeley (ai.berkeley.edu).]

Today

- Agents that Plan Ahead
- Search Problems
- Uninformed Search Methods
 - Depth-First Search
 - Breadth-First Search
 - Uniform-Cost Search




Agents that Plan




Reflex Agents

- Reflex agents:
 - Choose action based on current percept (and maybe memory)
 - May have memory or a model of the world's current state
 - Do not consider the future consequences of their actions
 - Consider how the world IS
- Can a reflex agent be rational?




[Demo: reflex optimal (L2D1)]
[Demo: reflex optimal (L2D2)]

Video of Demo Reflex Optimal




Video of Demo Reflex Odd




Planning Agents

- Planning agents:
 - Ask "what if"
 - Decisions based on (hypothesized) consequences of actions
 - Must have a model of how the world evolves in response to actions
 - Must formulate a goal (test)
 - Consider how the world **WOULD BE**
- Optimal vs. complete planning
- Planning vs. replanning




[Demo: re-planning (L2D3)]
[Demo: mastermind (L2D4)]

Video of Demo Replanning





Video of Demo Mastermind




Search Problems




Search Problems

- A **search problem** consists of:
 - A state space 
 - A successor function (with actions, costs) 
 - A start state and a goal test
- A **solution** is a sequence of actions (a plan) which transforms the start state to a goal state

Search Problems Are Models




Example: Traveling in Romania



- State space:**
 - Cities
- Successor function:**
 - Roads: Go to adjacent city with cost = distance
- Start state:**
 - Arad
- Goal test:**
 - Is state == Bucharest?
- Solution?**

What's in a State Space?

The **world state** includes every last detail of the environment




A **search state** keeps only the details needed for planning (abstraction)


- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Problem: Pathing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> States: (x,y) location Actions: NSEW Successor: update location only Goal test: is (x,y)=END | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Problem: Eat-All-Dots <ul style="list-style-type: none"> States: {(x,y), dot booleans} Actions: NSEW Successor: update location and possibly a dot boolean Goal test: dots all false |
|--|--|

State Space Sizes?

- World state:**
 - Agent positions: 120
 - Food count: 30
 - Ghost positions: 12
 - Agent facing: NSEW
- How many**
 - World states? $120 \times (2^{30}) \times (12^4) \times 4$
 - States for pathing? 120
 - States for eat-all-dots? $120 \times (2^{30})$




Quiz: Safe Passage




- Problem:** eat all dots while keeping the ghosts perma-scared
- What does the state space have to specify?**
 - (agent position, dot booleans, power pellet booleans, remaining scared time)

State Space Graphs and Search Trees




State Space Graphs

- State space graph: A mathematical representation of a search problem
 - Nodes are (abstracted) world configurations
 - Arcs represent successors (action results)
 - The goal test is a set of goal nodes (maybe only one)
- In a state space graph, each state occurs only once!
- We can rarely build this full graph in memory (it's too big), but it's a useful idea




State Space Graphs

- State space graph: A mathematical representation of a search problem
 - Nodes are (abstracted) world configurations
 - Arcs represent successors (action results)
 - The goal test is a set of goal nodes (maybe only one)
- In a state space graph, each state occurs only once!
- We can rarely build this full graph in memory (it's too big), but it's a useful idea




Tiny state space graph for a tiny search problem

Search Trees




- A search tree:
 - A "what if" tree of plans and their outcomes
 - The start state is the root node
 - Children correspond to successors
 - Nodes show states, but correspond to PLANS that achieve those states
 - For most problems, we can never actually build the whole tree

State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees




Each NODE in in the search tree is an entire PATH in the state space graph.

We construct both on demand – and we construct as little as possible.



Consider this 4-state graph:




How big is its search tree (from S)?




Quiz: State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees

Consider this 4-state graph:




How big is its search tree (from S)?




Important: Lots of repeated structure in the search tree!


Tree Search



Search Example: Romania



Searching with a Search Tree



Search:

- Expand out potential plans (tree nodes)
- Maintain a **fringe** of partial plans under consideration
- Try to expand as few tree nodes as possible

General Tree Search


```
function TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy) returns a solution, or failure
  initialize the search tree using the initial state of problem
  loop do
    if there are no candidates for expansion then return failure
    choose a leaf node for expansion according to strategy
    if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution
    else expand the node and add the resulting nodes to the search tree
  end
```

Important ideas:


- Fringe
- Expansion
- Exploration strategy

- Main question: which fringe nodes to explore?

Example: Tree Search



Example: Tree Search




Depth-First Search




Depth-First Search

Strategy: expand a deepest node first
Implementation: Fringe is a LIFO stack



Search Algorithm Properties




Search Algorithm Properties

- Complete: Guaranteed to find a solution if one exists?
- Optimal: Guaranteed to find the least cost path?
- Time complexity?
- Space complexity?


- Cartoon of search tree:
 - b is the branching factor
 - m is the maximum depth
 - solutions at various depths

- Number of nodes in entire tree?
 - $1 + b + b^2 + \dots + b^m = O(b^{m+1})$



Depth-First Search (DFS) Properties

- What nodes DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree.
 - Could process the whole tree!
 - If m is finite, takes time $O(b^m)$
- How much space does the fringe take?
 - Only has siblings on path to root, so $O(bm)$
- Is it complete?
 - m could be infinite, so only if we prevent cycles (more later)
- Is it optimal?
 - No, it finds the "leftmost" solution, regardless of depth or cost





Breadth-First Search




Breadth-First Search

Strategy: expand a shallowest node first
Implementation: Fringe is a FIFO queue




Breadth-First Search (BFS) Properties

- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s
 - Search takes time $O(b^s)$
- How much space does the fringe take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^s)$
- Is it complete?
 - s must be finite if a solution exists, so yes!
- Is it optimal?
 - Only if costs are all 1 (more on costs later)



Quiz: DFS vs BFS



Quiz: DFS vs BFS

- When will BFS outperform DFS?
- When will DFS outperform BFS?



Video of Demo Maze Water DFS/BFS (part 1)


Video of Demo Maze Water DFS/BFS (part 2)



Iterative Deepening

- Idea: get DFS's space advantage with BFS's time / shallow-solution advantages


- Run a DFS with depth limit 1. If no solution...
- Run a DFS with depth limit 2. If no solution...
- Run a DFS with depth limit 3.



- Isn't that wastefully redundant?


- Generally most work happens in the lowest level searched, so not so bad!

Cost-Sensitive Search





BFS finds the shortest path in terms of number of actions. It does not find the least-cost path. We will now cover a similar algorithm which does find the least-cost path.

Uniform Cost Search



Uniform Cost Search

Strategy: expand a cheapest node first:
Fringe is a priority queue (priority: cumulative cost)



Uniform Cost Search (UCS) Properties

- What nodes does UCS expand?

- Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
- If that solution costs C^* and arcs cost at least ϵ , then the "effective depth" is roughly C^*/ϵ
- Takes time $O(b^{C^*/\epsilon})$ (exponential in effective depth)

- How much space does the fringe take?


- Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{C^*/\epsilon})$

- Is it complete?

- Assuming best solution has a finite cost and minimum arc cost is positive, yes!



- Is it optimal?

- Yes! (Proof next lecture via A^*)



Uniform Cost Issues

- Remember: UCS explores increasing cost contours
- The good: UCS is complete and optimal!
- The bad:
 - Explores options in every "direction"
 - No information about goal location
- We'll fix that soon!



[Demo: empty grid UCS (L2D5)]
[Demo: maze with deep/shallow water DFS/BFS/UCS (L2D7)]

Video of Demo Empty UCS



Video of Demo Maze with Deep/Shallow Water --- DFS, BFS, or UCS? (part 1)



Video of Demo Maze with Deep/Shallow Water --- DFS, BFS, or UCS? (part 2)




Video of Demo Maze with Deep/Shallow Water --- DFS, BFS, or UCS? (part 3)




The One Queue

- All these search algorithms are the same except for fringe strategies
 - Conceptually, all fringes are priority queues (i.e. collections of nodes with attached priorities)
 - Practically, for DFS and BFS, you can avoid the $\log(n)$ overhead from an actual priority queue, by using stacks and queues
 - Can even code one implementation that takes a variable queuing object



Search and Models

- Search operates over models of the world
 - The agent doesn't actually try all the plans out in the real world!
 - Planning is all "in simulation"
 - Your search is only as good as your models...



Search Gone Wrong?

