

## Q1. Searching with Heuristics

Consider the A\* searching process on the connected undirected graph, with starting node S and the goal node G. Suppose the cost for each connection edge is **always positive**. We define  $h^*(X)$  as the shortest (optimal) distance to G from a node X.

Answer Questions (a), (b) and (c). You may want to solve Questions (a) and (b) at the same time.

(a) Suppose  $h$  is an **admissible** heuristic, and we conduct A\* **tree search** using heuristic  $h'$  and finally find a solution. Let  $C$  be the cost of the found path (directed by  $h'$ , defined in part (a)) from S to G

(i) Choose **one best** answer for each condition below.

1. If  $h'(X) = \frac{1}{2}h(X)$  for all Node  $X$ , then   $C = h^*(S)$    $C > h^*(S)$    $C \geq h^*(S)$
2. If  $h'(X) = \frac{h(X)+h^*(X)}{2}$  for all Node  $X$ , then   $C = h^*(S)$    $C > h^*(S)$    $C \geq h^*(S)$
3. If  $h'(X) = h(X) + h^*(X)$  for all Node  $X$ , then   $C = h^*(S)$    $C > h^*(S)$    $C \geq h^*(S)$
4. If we define the set  $K(X)$  for a node  $X$  as all its neighbor nodes  $Y$  satisfying  $h^*(X) > h^*(Y)$ , and the following always holds

$$h'(X) \leq \begin{cases} \min_{Y \in K(X)} h'(Y) - h(Y) + h(X) & \text{if } K(X) \neq \emptyset \\ h(X) & \text{if } K(X) = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

then,

- $C = h^*(S)$    $C > h^*(S)$    $C \geq h^*(S)$

5. If  $K$  is the same as above, we have

$$h'(X) = \begin{cases} \min_{Y \in K(X)} h(Y) + \text{cost}(X, Y) & \text{if } K(X) \neq \emptyset \\ h(X) & \text{if } K(X) = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

where  $\text{cost}(X, Y)$  is the cost of the edge connecting  $X$  and  $Y$ ,

then,

- $C = h^*(S)$    $C > h^*(S)$    $C \geq h^*(S)$

6. If  $h'(X) = \min_{Y \in K(X) \cup \{X\}} h(Y)$  ( $K$  is the same as above),   $C = h^*(S)$    $C > h^*(S)$    $C \geq h^*(S)$

(ii) In which of the conditions above,  $h'$  is still **admissible** and for sure to dominate  $h$ ? Check all that apply. Remember we say  $h_1$  dominates  $h_2$  when  $h_1(X) \geq h_2(X)$  holds for all  $X$ .  1  2  3  4  5  6

(b) Suppose  $h$  is a **consistent** heuristic, and we conduct A\* **graph search** using heuristic  $h'$  and finally find a solution.

(i) Answer exactly the same questions for each conditions in Question (a)(i).

1.   $C = h^*(S)$    $C > h^*(S)$    $C \geq h^*(S)$
2.   $C = h^*(S)$    $C > h^*(S)$    $C \geq h^*(S)$
3.   $C = h^*(S)$    $C > h^*(S)$    $C \geq h^*(S)$
4.   $C = h^*(S)$    $C > h^*(S)$    $C \geq h^*(S)$
5.   $C = h^*(S)$    $C > h^*(S)$    $C \geq h^*(S)$
6.   $C = h^*(S)$    $C > h^*(S)$    $C \geq h^*(S)$

(ii) In which of the conditions above,  $h'$  is still **consistent** and for sure to dominate  $h$ ? Check all that apply.

- 1  2  3  4  5  6

Grading for Bubbles: 0.5 pts for a1 a2 a3 a6 b1 b2. 1 pts for a4 a5 b3 b4 b5 b6.

Explanations:

All the  $C > h^*(S)$  can be ruled out by this counter example: there exists only one path from S to G.

Now for any  $C = h^*(S)$  we shall provide a proof. For any  $C \geq h^*(S)$  we shall provide a counter example.

a3b3 - Counter example: SAG fully connected. cost: SG=10, SA=1, AG=7.  $h^*$ : S=8, A=7, G=0.  $h$ : S=8, A=7, G=0.  $h'$ : S=16, A=14, G=0.

a4 - Proof: via induction. We can have an ordering of the nodes  $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^n$  such that  $h^*(X_i) \geq h^*(X_j)$  if  $i < j$ . Note any  $X_k \in K(X_j)$  has  $k > j$ .

$X_n$  is G, and has  $h'(X_n) \leq h(X_n)$ .

Now for  $j$ , suppose  $h'(X_k) \leq h(X_k)$  for any  $k > j$  holds, we can have  $h'(X_j) \leq h'(X_k) - h(X_k) + h(X_j) \leq h(X_j)$  ( $K(X_j) = \emptyset$  also get the result).

b4 - Proof: from a4 we already know that  $h'$  is admissible.

Now for each edge  $XY$ , suppose  $h^*(X) \geq h^*(Y)$ , we always have  $h'(X) \leq h'(Y) - h(Y) + h(X)$ , which means  $h'(X) - h'(Y) \leq h(X) - h(Y) \leq \text{cost}(X, Y)$ , which means we always underestimate the cost of each edge **from the potential optimal path direction**. Note  $h'$  is not necessarily to be consistent ( $h'(Y) - h'(X)$  might be very large, e.g. you can arbitrarily modify  $h'(S)$  to be super small), but it always comes with optimality.

a5 - Proof: the empty K path:  $h'(X) \leq h(X) \leq h^*(X)$ . the non-empty K path: there always exists a  $Y_0 \in K(X)$  such that  $Y_0$  is on the optimal path from  $X$  to  $G$ . We know  $\text{cost}(X, Y_0) = h^*(X) - h^*(Y_0)$ , so we have  $h'(X) \leq h(Y_0) + \text{cost}(X, Y_0) \leq h^*(Y_0) + \text{cost}(X, Y_0) = h^*(X)$ .

b5 - Proof:

First we prove  $h'(X) \geq h(X)$ . For any edge  $XY$ , we have  $h(X) - h(Y) \leq \text{cost}(X, Y)$ . So we can have  $h(Y) + \text{cost}(X, Y) \geq h(X)$  holds for any edge, and hence we get the dominance of  $h'$  over  $h$ . Note this holds only for consistent  $h$ .

We then have  $h'(X) - h'(Y) \leq h(Y) + \text{cost}(X, Y) - h'(Y) \leq \text{cost}(X, Y)$ . So we get the consistency of  $h'$ .

Extension Conclusion 1: If we change  $K(X)$  into  $\{\text{all neighbouring nodes of } X\} + \{X\}$ ,  $h'$  did not change.

Extension Conclusion 2:  $h'$  dominates  $h$ , which is a better heuristics. This (looking one step ahead with  $h'$ ) is equivalent to looking two steps ahead in the A\* search with  $h$  (while the vanilla A\* search is just looking one step ahead with  $h$ ).

a6 - Proof:  $h'(X) \leq h(X) \leq h^*(X)$ .

b6 - counter example: SAB fully connected, BG connected. cost: SA=8, AB=1, SB=10, BG=30.  $h^*$ : A=31, B=30 G=0.  $h=h^*$ .  $h'$ : A=30, B=0, C=0.

(c) Suppose  $h$  is an **admissible** heuristic, and we conduct A\* **tree search** using heuristic  $h'$  and finally find a solution.

If  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $X_0$  is a node in the graph, and  $h'$  is a heuristic such that

$$h'(X) = \begin{cases} h(X) & \text{if } X = X_0 \\ h(X) + \epsilon & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Alice claims  $h'$  can be inadmissible, and hence  $C = h^*(S)$  does not always hold.
- Bob instead thinks the node expansion order directed by  $h'$  is the same as the heuristic  $h''$ , where

$$h''(X) = \begin{cases} h(X) - \epsilon & \text{if } X = X_0 \\ h(X) & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since  $h''$  is admissible and will lead to  $C = h^*(S)$ , and so does  $h'$ . Hence,  $C = h^*(S)$  always holds.

The two conclusions (underlined) apparently contradict with each other, and **only exactly one of them are correct and the other is wrong**. Choose the **best** explanation from below - which student's conclusion is wrong, and why are they wrong?

- Alice's conclusion is wrong, because the heuristic  $h'$  is always admissible.
- Alice's conclusion is wrong, because an inadmissible heuristics does not necessarily always lead to the failure of the optimality when conducting A\* tree search.
- Alice's conclusion is wrong, because of another reason that is not listed above.
- Bob's conclusion is wrong, because the node visiting expansion ordering of  $h''$  during searching might not be the same as  $h'$ .
- Bob's conclusion is wrong, because the heuristic  $h''$  might lead to an incomplete search, regardless of its optimally property.
- Bob's conclusion is wrong, because of another reason that is not listed above.

Choice 4 is incorrect, because the difference between  $h'$  and  $h''$  is a constant. During searching, the choice of the expansion of the fringe will not be affected if all the nodes add the same constant to the heuristics.

Choice 5 is incorrect because there will never be an infinite loop if there are no cycle has negative COST sum (rather than HEURISTICS). If there is a cycle, such that its COST sum is positive, and all the nodes in the cycle have negative heuristics, when we do  $g+h$ ,  $g$  is getting larger and larger, while  $h$  remains a not-that-large negative value. Soon, the search algorithm will be favoring other paths even if the  $h$  in there are not negative.

The true reason:  $h''$  violate a property of admissible heuristic. Since  $h$  is admissible, we have  $h(G) = 0$ . If  $X_0 = G$ , we have a negative heuristic value at  $h''(G)$ , and it is no longer admissible. If  $X_0 \neq G$ , then it is indeed that the optimality holds - the only change is that more nodes will be likely to be expanded for  $h'$  and  $h''$  compared to  $h$ .

## Q2. CSPs

In this question, you are trying to find a four-digit number satisfying the following conditions:

1. the number is odd,
2. the number only contains the digits 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5,
3. each digit (except the leftmost) is strictly larger than the digit to its left.

(a) CSPs

We will model this as a CSP where the variables are the four digits of our number, and the domains are the five digits we can choose from. The last variable only has 1, 3, and 5 in its domain since the number must be odd. The constraints are defined to reflect the third condition above. Thus before we start executing any algorithms, the domains are

|           |           |           |         |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| 1 2 3 4 5 | 1 2 3 4 5 | 1 2 3 4 5 | 1 3 4 5 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|

- (i) Before assigning anything, enforce arc consistency. Write the values remaining in the domain of each variable after arc consistency is enforced.

|           |           |           |           |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 2 3 4 5 | 1 2 3 4 5 | 1 2 3 4 5 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

- (ii) With the domains you wrote in the previous part, which variable will the MRV (Minimum Remaining Value) heuristic choose to assign a value to first? If there is a tie, choose the leftmost variable.

- The first digit (leftmost)
- The second digit
- The third digit
- The fourth digit (rightmost)

- (iii) Now suppose we assign to the leftmost digit first. Assuming we will continue filtering by enforcing arc consistency, which value will LCV (Least Constraining Value) choose to assign to the leftmost digit? **Break ties from large (5) to small (1).**

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

- (iv) Now suppose we are running min-conflicts to try to solve this CSP. If we start with the number 1332, what will our number be after one iteration of min-conflicts? Break variable selection ties from left to right, and **break value selection ties from small (1) to large (5).**

1232

---

(b) The following questions are completely unrelated to the above parts. Assume for these following questions, there are only binary constraints unless otherwise specified.

(i) [true or false] When enforcing arc consistency in a CSP, the set of values which remain when the algorithm terminates does not depend on the order in which arcs are processed from the queue.

(ii) [true or false] Once arc consistency is enforced as a pre-processing step, forward checking can be used during backtracking search to maintain arc consistency for all variables.

False. Forward checking makes the current variable arc-consistent, but doesn't look ahead and make all the other variables arc-consistent.

(iii) In a general CSP with  $n$  variables, each taking  $d$  possible values, what is the worst case time complexity of enforcing arc consistency using the AC-3 method discussed in class?

- 0      $O(1)$       $O(nd^2)$       $O(n^2d^3)$       $O(d^n)$       $\infty$

$O(n^2d^3)$ . There are up to  $n^2$  constraints. There are  $d^2$  comparisons for enforcing arc consistency per each constraint, and each constraint can be inserted to the queue up to  $d$  times because each variable has at most  $d$  values to delete.

(iv) In a general CSP with  $n$  variables, each taking  $d$  possible values, what is the maximum number of times a backtracking search algorithm might have to backtrack (i.e. the number of the times it generates an assignment, partial or complete, that violates the constraints) before finding a solution or concluding that none exists?

- 0      $O(1)$       $O(nd^2)$       $O(n^2d^3)$       $O(d^n)$       $\infty$

$O(d^n)$ . In general, the search might have to examine all possible assignments.

(v) What is the maximum number of times a backtracking search algorithm might have to backtrack in a general CSP, if it is running arc consistency and applying the MRV and LCV heuristics?

- 0      $O(1)$       $O(nd^2)$       $O(n^2d^3)$       $O(d^n)$       $\infty$

$O(d^n)$ . The MRV and LCV heuristics are often helpful to guide the search, but are not guaranteed to reduce backtracking in the worst case.