Announcements

- Office hours and discussion sections start this week
  - See the website for the calendar
- Project 0 (optional) is due **Tuesday, August 30, 11:59 PM PT**
- HW0 (optional) is due **Friday, September 2, 11:59 PM PT**
- Project 1 is due **Tuesday, September 6, 11:59 PM PT**
- HW1 is due **Friday, September 9, 11:59 PM PT**
Agents that Plan Ahead

Search Problems

Uninformed Search Methods
- Depth-First Search
- Breadth-First Search
- Uniform-Cost Search
Agents that Plan
Reflex Agents

- Reflex agents:
  - Choose action based on current percept (and maybe memory)
  - May have memory or a model of the world’s current state
  - Do not consider the future consequences of their actions
  - Consider how the world IS

- Can a reflex agent be rational?

[Demo: reflex optimal (L2D1)]
[Demo: reflex optimal (L2D2)]
Planning Agents

- Planning agents:
  - Ask “what if”
  - Decisions based on (hypothesized) consequences of actions
  - Must have a model of how the world evolves in response to actions
  - Must formulate a goal (test)
  - Consider how the world WOULD BE

- Optimal vs. complete planning

- Planning vs. replanning

[Demo: re-planning (L2D3)]
[Demo: mastermind (L2D4)]
Search Problems
Search Problems

- A search problem consists of:
  - A state space
  - A successor function (with actions, costs)
  - A start state and a goal test

- A solution is a sequence of actions (a plan) which transforms the start state to a goal state
Search Problems Are Models
Example: Traveling in Romania

- **State space:**
  - Cities

- **Successor function:**
  - Roads: Go to adjacent city with cost = distance

- **Start state:**
  - Arad

- **Goal test:**
  - Is state == Bucharest?

- **Solution?**
What’s in a State Space?

The world state includes every last detail of the environment.

A search state keeps only the details needed for planning (abstraction).

- **Problem: Pathing**
  - States: \((x,y)\) location
  - Actions: NSEW
  - Successor: update location only
  - Goal test: is \((x,y)\)=END

- **Problem: Eat-All-Dots**
  - States: \(\{(x,y), \text{dot booleans}\}\)
  - Actions: NSEW
  - Successor: update location and possibly a dot boolean
  - Goal test: dots all false
State Space Sizes?

- **World state:**
  - Agent positions: 120
  - Food count: 30
  - Ghost positions: 12
  - Agent facing: NSEW

- **How many**
  - World states?
    \[120 \times (2^{30}) \times (12^2) \times 4\]
  - States for pathing?
    120
  - States for eat-all-dots?
    \[120 \times (2^{30})\]
Problem: eat all dots while keeping the ghosts perma-scared
What does the state space have to specify?
- (agent position, dot booleans, power pellet booleans, remaining scared time)
State Space Graphs and Search Trees
State Space Graphs

- State space graph: A mathematical representation of a search problem
  - Nodes are (abstracted) world configurations
  - Arcs represent successors (action results)
  - The goal test is a set of goal nodes (maybe only one)

- In a state space graph, each state occurs only once!

- We can rarely build this full graph in memory (it’s too big), but it’s a useful idea
State Space Graphs
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A search tree:

- A “what if” tree of plans and their outcomes
- The start state is the root node
- Children correspond to successors
- Nodes show states, but correspond to PLANS that achieve those states
- For most problems, we can never actually build the whole tree
Each NODE in the search tree is an entire PATH in the state space graph.

We construct both on demand – and we construct as little as possible.
Quiz: State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees

Consider this 4-state graph:

How big is its search tree (from S)?

Important: Lots of repeated structure in the search tree!
Tree Search
Search Example: Romania
Searching with a Search Tree

- **Search:**
  - Expand out potential plans (tree nodes)
  - Maintain a **fringe** of partial plans under consideration
  - Try to expand as few tree nodes as possible
General Tree Search

function `TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy)` returns a solution, or failure
initialize the search tree using the initial state of `problem`
loop do
  if there are no candidates for expansion then return failure
  choose a leaf node for expansion according to `strategy`
  if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution
  else expand the node and add the resulting nodes to the search tree
end

- **Important ideas:**
  - Fringe
  - Expansion
  - Exploration strategy

- **Main question:** which fringe nodes to explore?
Example: Tree Search
Example: Tree Search
Depth-First Search
Depth-First Search

Strategy: expand a deepest node first

Implementation:
Fringe is a LIFO stack
Search Algorithm Properties
Search Algorithm Properties

- Complete: Guaranteed to find a solution if one exists?
- Optimal: Guaranteed to find the least cost path?
- Time complexity?
- Space complexity?

- Cartoon of search tree:
  - $b$ is the branching factor
  - $m$ is the maximum depth
  - solutions at various depths

- Number of nodes in entire tree?
  - $1 + b + b^2 + ... + b^m = O(b^m)$
Depth-First Search (DFS) Properties

- **What nodes DFS expand?**
  - Some left prefix of the tree.
  - Could process the whole tree!
  - If $m$ is finite, takes time $O(b^m)$

- **How much space does the fringe take?**
  - Only has siblings on path to root, so $O(bm)$

- **Is it complete?**
  - $m$ could be infinite, so only if we prevent cycles (more later)

- **Is it optimal?**
  - No, it finds the "leftmost" solution, regardless of depth or cost
Breadth-First Search
**Breadth-First Search**

**Strategy:** expand a shallowest node first

**Implementation:** Fringe is a FIFO queue
Breadth-First Search (BFS) Properties

- What nodes does BFS expand?
  - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
  - Let depth of shallowest solution be $s$
  - Search takes time $O(b^s)$

- How much space does the fringe take?
  - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^s)$

- Is it complete?
  - $s$ must be finite if a solution exists, so yes!

- Is it optimal?
  - Only if costs are all 1 (more on costs later)
Quiz: DFS vs BFS
Quiz: DFS vs BFS

▪ When will BFS outperform DFS?

▪ When will DFS outperform BFS?

[Demo: dfs/bfs maze water (L2D6)]
Iterative Deepening

- Idea: get DFS’s space advantage with BFS’s time / shallow-solution advantages
  - Run a DFS with depth limit 1. If no solution...
  - Run a DFS with depth limit 2. If no solution...
  - Run a DFS with depth limit 3. ..... 

- Isn’t that wastefully redundant?
  - Generally most work happens in the lowest level searched, so not so bad!
BFS finds the shortest path in terms of number of actions. It does not find the least-cost path. We will now cover a similar algorithm which does find the least-cost path.
Uniform Cost Search
Uniform Cost Search

Strategy: expand the cheapest node first:

Fringe is a priority queue (priority: cumulative cost)
Uniform Cost Search (UCS) Properties

- What nodes does UCS expand?
  - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
  - If that solution costs $C^*$ and arcs cost at least $\varepsilon$, then the “effective depth” is roughly $C^*/\varepsilon$
  - Takes time $O(b^{C^*/\varepsilon})$ (exponential in effective depth)

- How much space does the fringe take?
  - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{C^*/\varepsilon})$

- Is it complete?
  - Assuming best solution has a finite cost and minimum arc cost is positive, yes!

- Is it optimal?
  - Yes! (Proof next lecture via A*)
Uniform Cost Issues

- Remember: UCS explores increasing cost contours

- The good: UCS is complete and optimal!

- The bad:
  - Explores options in every “direction”
  - No information about goal location

- We’ll fix that soon!
The One Queue

- All these search algorithms are the same except for fringe strategies
  - Conceptually, all fringes are priority queues (i.e. collections of nodes with attached priorities)
  - Practically, for DFS and BFS, you can avoid the log(n) overhead from an actual priority queue, by using stacks and queues
  - Can even code one implementation that takes a variable queuing object
Search and Models

- Search operates over models of the world
  - The agent doesn’t actually try all the plans out in the real world!
  - Planning is all “in simulation”
  - Your search is only as good as your models...
Preview: Informed Search

![Diagram of a speedometer with the needle pointing to "GOAL!" and "NOPE." segments]
A heuristic is:
- A function that *estimates* how close a state is to a goal
- Designed for a particular search problem
- Examples: Manhattan distance, Euclidean distance for pathing

![Search Heuristics diagram](image)
Example: Heuristic Function

$h(x)$
Greedy Search
Example: Heuristic Function

\[ h(x) \]
Greedy Search

▪ Expand the node that seems closest...

▪ What can go wrong?
Greedy Search

- **Strategy:** expand a node that you think is closest to a goal state
  - **Heuristic:** estimate of distance to nearest goal for each state

- **A common case:**
  - Best-first takes you straight to the (wrong) goal

- **Worst-case:** like a badly-guided DFS

[Demo: contours greedy empty (L3D1)]
[Demo: contours greedy pacman small maze (L3D4)]