

CS 188: Artificial Intelligence

Spring 2011

Lecture 2: Queue-Based Search

1/24/2010

Pieter Abbeel – UC Berkeley
Many slides from Dan Klein

Announcements

- **Project 0: Python Tutorial**
 - Due Friday 5pm.
 - Lab session Wednesday 3-5pm in 271 Soda
 - The lab time is optional, but P0 itself is not
 - On submit, you should get email from the autograder
- **Project 1: Search**
 - Out today, due next week Friday 5pm.
 - Start early and ask questions. It's longer than most!
- **Sections starting this week Thursday and Friday**
 - See course webpage for details

Today

- Reflex Agents
- Agents that Plan Ahead
- Formalization: Search Problems
- Uninformed Search Methods (part review for some)
 - Depth-First Search
 - Breadth-First Search
 - Uniform-Cost Search
- Heuristic Search Methods (new for all)
 - Greedy Search


Reminder

- Only a very small fraction of AI is about making computers play games intelligently
- Recall: computer vision, natural language, robotics, machine learning, computational biology, etc.
- That being said: games tend to provide relatively simple example settings which are great to illustrate concepts and learn about algorithms which underlie many areas of AI

Reflex Agent

- Choose action based on current percept (and maybe memory)
- May have memory or a model of the world's current state
- Do not consider the future consequences of their actions
- **Act on how the world IS**
- Can a reflex agent be rational?

A reflex agent for pacman




4 actions: move North, East, South or West

Reflex agent

- **While(food left)**
 - Sort the possible directions to move according to the amount of food in each direction
 - Go in the direction with the largest amount of food


A reflex agent for pacman (2)



Reflex agent

- **While(food left)**
 - Sort the possible directions to move according to the amount of food in each direction
 - Go in the direction with the largest amount of food


A reflex agent for pacman (3)



Reflex agent

- **While(food left)**
 - Sort the possible directions to move according to the amount of food in each direction
 - Go in the direction with the largest amount of food
 - But, if other options are available, exclude the direction we just came from

A reflex agent for pacman (4)




Reflex agent

- While(food left)

- If can keep going in the current direction, do so
- Otherwise:
 - Sort directions according to the amount of food
 - Go in the direction with the largest amount of food
 - But, if other options are available, exclude the direction we just came from

A reflex agent for pacman (5)



Reflex agent

- While(food left)

- If can keep going in the current direction, do so
- Otherwise:
 - Sort directions according to the amount of food
 - Go in the direction with the largest amount of food
 - But, if other options are available, exclude the direction we just came from

Reflex Agent

- Choose action based on current percept (and maybe memory)
- May have memory or a model of the world's current state
- Do not consider the future consequences of their actions
- Act on how the world IS
- Can a reflex agent be rational?

Goal-based Agents

- Plan ahead
- Ask "what if"
- Decisions based on (hypothesized) consequences of actions
- Must have a model of how the world evolves in response to actions
- Act on how the world WOULD BE


Search Problems

- A search problem consists of:

- A state space




- A successor function



- A start state and a goal test

- A solution is a sequence of actions (a plan) which transforms the start state to a goal state


Example: Romania



- State space:
 - Cities
- Successor function:
 - Go to adj city with cost = dist
- Start state:
 - Arad
- Goal test:
 - Is state == Bucharest?
- Solution?

State Space Graphs


- State space graph: A mathematical representation of a search problem
 - For every search problem, there's a corresponding state space graph
 - The successor function is represented by arcs
- We can rarely build this graph in memory (so we don't)




Ridiculously tiny search graph for a tiny search problem

State Space Sizes?

- Search Problem:
Eat all of the food
- Pacman positions:
 $10 \times 12 = 120$
- Food count: 30




Search Trees



- A search tree:
 - This is a “what if” tree of plans and outcomes
 - Start state at the root node
 - Children correspond to successors
 - Nodes contain states, correspond to PLANS to those states
 - For most problems, we can never actually build the whole tree

Another Search Tree



Search:

- Expand out possible plans
- Maintain a **fringe** of unexpanded plans
- Try to expand as few tree nodes as possible

General Tree Search

```


function TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy) returns a solution, or failure
  initialize the search tree using the initial state of problem
  loop do
    if there are no candidates for expansion then return failure
    choose a leaf node for expansion according to strategy
    if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution
    else expand the node and add the resulting nodes to the search tree
  end
  
```

- Important ideas:
 - Fringe
 - Expansion
 - Exploration strategy


*Detailed pseudocode
is in the book!*

- Main question: which fringe nodes to explore?

Example: Tree Search




State Graphs vs. Search Trees



Each NODE in the search tree is an entire PATH in the problem graph.



We construct both on demand – and we construct as little as possible.



Review: Depth First Search

Strategy: expand deepest node first



Implementation: Fringe is a LIFO stack



Review: Breadth First Search

Strategy: expand shallowest node first

Implementation: Fringe is a FIFO queue



Search Algorithm Properties


- **Complete?** Guaranteed to find a solution if one exists?
- **Optimal?** Guaranteed to find the least cost path?
- **Time complexity?**
- **Space complexity?**

Variables:

n	Number of states in the problem
b	The average branching factor B (the average number of successors)
C^*	Cost of least cost solution
s	Depth of the shallowest solution
m	Max depth of the search tree

DFS


Algorithm		Complete	Optimal	Time	Space
DFS	Depth First Search	N	N	Infinite	Infinite



- Infinite paths make DFS incomplete...
- How can we fix this?

DFS

- With cycle checking, DFS is complete.*




Algorithm	Complete	Optimal	Time	Space
DFS w/ Path Checking	Y	N	$O(b^m)$	$O(bm)$

- When is DFS optimal?

* Or graph search – next lecture.

BFS

Algorithm	Complete	Optimal	Time	Space
DFS w/ Path Checking	Y	N	$O(b^m)$	$O(bm)$
BFS	Y	N*	$O(b^{s+1})$	$O(b^{s+1})$



- When is BFS optimal?

Comparisons


- When will BFS outperform DFS?

- When will DFS outperform BFS?

Iterative Deepening


Iterative deepening uses DFS as a subroutine:

1. Do a DFS which only searches for paths of length 1 or less.
2. If "1" failed, do a DFS which only searches paths of length 2 or less.
3. If "2" failed, do a DFS which only searches paths of length 3 or less.
....and so on.



Algorithm		Complete	Optimal	Time	Space
DFS	w/ Path Checking	Y	N	$O(b^m)$	$O(bm)$
BFS		Y	N*	$O(b^{s+1})$	$O(b^{s+1})$
ID		Y	N*	$O(b^{s+1})$	$O(bs)$



Costs on Actions



Notice that BFS finds the shortest path in terms of number of transitions. It does not find the least-cost path.
 We will quickly cover an algorithm which does find the least-cost path.

Uniform Cost Search

Expand cheapest node first:
 Fringe is a priority queue





Priority Queue Refresher


- A priority queue is a data structure in which you can insert and retrieve (key, value) pairs with the following operations:

pq.push(key, value)	inserts (key, value) into the queue.
pq.pop()	returns the key with the lowest value, and removes it from the queue.

- You can decrease a key's priority by pushing it again
- Unlike a regular queue, insertions aren't constant time, usually $O(\log n)$
- We'll need priority queues for cost-sensitive search methods

Uniform Cost Search


Algorithm		Complete	Optimal	Time (in nodes)	Space
DFS	w/ Path Checking	Y	N	$O(b^m)$	$O(bm)$
BFS		Y	N	$O(b^{s+1})$	$O(b^{s+1})$
UCS		Y*	Y	$O(b^{C*/\epsilon})$	$O(b^{C*/\epsilon})$



* UCS can fail if actions can get arbitrarily cheap


Uniform Cost Issues

- Remember: explores increasing cost contours
- The good: UCS is complete and optimal!
- The bad:
 - Explores options in every "direction"
 - No information about goal location




Search Heuristics

- Any *estimate* of how close a state is to a goal
- Designed for a particular search problem
- Examples: Manhattan distance, Euclidean distance




Heuristics



Best First / Greedy Search



- Expand the node that seems closest...



- What can go wrong?

Best First / Greedy Search

- A common case:
 - Best-first takes you straight to the (wrong) goal
- Worst-case: like a badly-guided DFS in the worst case
 - Can explore everything
 - Can get stuck in loops if no cycle checking
- Like DFS in completeness (finite states w/ cycle checking)



Search Gone Wrong?

©2004 Microsoft Corp. ©2003 NAVTEQ

Start: Haugesund, Rogaland, Norway
 End: Trondheim, Ser-Trøndelag, Norway
 Total Distance: 2713.2 Kilometers
 Estimated Total Time: 47 hours, 31 minutes

© 2005 MapQuest.com, Inc.

- Can we leverage the heuristic information in a more sound way?

→A* search

We will cover that on Wednesday!