MT Review Search Solutions

Q1. They See Me Rolling (Search Problem)

Pacman buys a car to start Rolling in the Pac-City! But driving a car requires a new set of controls because he can now travel faster than 1 grid per turn (second). Instead of solely moving [North, South, East, West, Stop], Pacman's car has two distinct integers to control: throttle, and steering.

Throttle: $t_i \in \{1,0,-1\}$, corresponding to {Gas, Coast, Brake}. This controls the **speed** of the car by determining its acceleration. The integer chosen here will be added to his velocity for the next state. For example, if Pacman is currently driving at 5 grid/s and chooses Gas he will be traveling at 6 grid/s in the next turn.

Ste the

Steering: $s_i \in \{1, 0, -1\}$, corresponding to {Turn Left, Neutral, Turn Right}. This controls the direction of he car. For example, if he is facing North and chooses Turn Left he will be facing West in the next turn.	
(a)	Suppose Pac-city has dimension m by n , but only $k < mn$ squares are legal roads. The speed limit of Pac-city is 3 grid/s. For this sub-part only, suppose Pacman is a law-abiding citizen, so $0 \le v \le 3$ at all time, and he only drives on legal roads.
	(i) Without any additional information, what is the tightest upper bound on the size of state space, if he wants to search a route (not necessarily the shortest) from his current location to anywhere in the city. Please note that your state space representation must be able to represent all states in the search space.
	\bigcirc 4mn \bigcirc 4k \bigcirc 12mn \bigcirc 12k \bigcirc 16mn \bigcirc 16k \bigcirc 48mn \bigcirc 48k Only legal grids count, so there are k legal position. At each legal position, there are 4 possible speed $(0, 1, 2, 3)$, so a factor of 4 is multiplied. In addition, since change of direction depends on orientation of the car, another factor of 4 is multiplied. The size of state space is bounded by $k*4*4=16k$
	(ii) What is the maximum branching factor? The answer should be an integer. 93 possible throttle inputs, and 3 possible steering inputs.
	(iii) Which algorithm(s) is/are guaranteed to return a path between two points, if one exists? ☐ Depth First Tree Search ☐ Depth First Graph Search ☐ Breadth First Graph Search ☐ Breadth First Graph Search
	 (iv) Is Breadth First Graph Search guaranteed to return the path with the shortest grid distance? ○ Yes No The Breadth First Graph Search is guranteed to return the path with the shortest amount of time, because each edge here represent moving for 1 unit of time.
(b)	Now let's remove the constraint that Pacman follows the speed limit. Now Pacman's speed is limited by the mechanical constraints of the car, which is 6 grid/s, double the speed limit.
	Pacman is now able to drive twice as fast on the route to his destination. How do the following properties of the search problem change as a result of being able to drive twice as fast?
	 (i) Size of State Space: ● Increases ○ Stays the same ○ Decreases At each legal position, there are 7 possible speed (0,1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6), so a factor of 7 is multiplied. size of state space is now k * 7 * 4 = 28k (ii) Maximum Branching Factor:
	(II) Maximum Dianomig Pactor.

	 ○ Increases ● Stays the same ○ Decreases Branching factor is independent of top speed
	For the following part, mark all choices that could happen on any graph
	(iii) The number of nodes expanded with Depth First Graph Search:
	Increases Stays the same Decreases Anything can happen with Depth First Graph Search. For example, too fast can jump past an intersection that would lead to a shorter path to the goal
(c)	Now we need to consider that there are $p > 0$ police cars waiting at $p > 0$ distinct locations trying to catch Pacman riding dirty!! All police cars are stationary, but once Pacman takes an action which lands him in the same grid as one police car, Pacman will be arrested and the game ends.
	Pacman wants to find a route to his destination, without being arrested. How do the following properties of the search problem change as a result of avoiding the police?
	(i) Size of State Space:
	(ii) Maximum Branching Factor: ○ Increases Stays the same ○ Decreases Branching factor is independent of police presense
	For the following part, mark all choices that could happen on any graph
	(iii) Number of nodes expanded with Breadth First Graph Search: Increases Stays the same Decreases Again anything could happen with BFS. Policemen could block out misleading paths to decrease the number of expansion. They could also not affect anything. They could also block the closest goal causing you to explore more nodes.

Q2. Search

Each True/False question is worth 1 points. Leaving a question blank is worth 0 points. Answering incorrectly is worth -1 points.

- (a) Consider a graph search problem where for every action, the cost is at least ϵ , with $\epsilon > 0$. Assume the used heuristic is consistent.
 - (i) [true or <u>false</u>] Depth-first graph search is guaranteed to return an optimal solution. False. Depth first search has no guarantees of optimality. Further, it measures paths in length and not cost.
 - (ii) [true or <u>false</u>] Breadth-first graph search is guaranteed to return an optimal solution.

 False. Breadth first search has no guarantees of optimality unless the actions all have the same cost, which is not the case here.
 - (iii) [<u>true</u> or false] Uniform-cost graph search is guaranteed to return an optimal solution.

 True. UCS expands paths in order of least total cost so that the optimal solution is found.
 - (iv) [true or false] Greedy graph search is guaranteed to return an optimal solution. False. Greedy search makes no guarantees of optimality. It relies solely on the heuristic and not the true cost.
 - (v) [<u>true</u> or false] A* graph search is guaranteed to return an optimal solution. True, since the heuristic is consistent in this case.
 - (vi) [true or false] A* graph search is guaranteed to expand no more nodes than depth-first graph search.

False. Depth-first graph search could, for example, go directly to a sub-optimal solution.

- (vii) [<u>true</u> or false] A* graph search is guaranteed to expand no more nodes than uniform-cost graph search.
 - True. The heuristic could help to guide the search and reduce the number of nodes expanded. In the extreme case where the heuristic function returns zero for every state, A* and UCS will expand the same number of nodes. In any case, A* with a consistent heuristic will never expand more nodes than UCS.
- (b) Let $h_1(s)$ be an admissible A* heuristic. Let $h_2(s) = 2h_1(s)$. Then:
 - (i) [true or false] The solution found by A^* tree search with h_2 is guaranteed to be an optimal solution.

False. h_2 is not guaranteed to be admissible since only one side of the admissibility inequality is doubled.

- (ii) [<u>true</u> or false] The solution found by A^* tree search with h_2 is guaranteed to have a cost at most twice as much as the optimal path.
 - True. In A* tree search we always have that as long as the optimal path to the goal has not been found, a prefix of this optimal path has to be on the fringe. Hence, if a non-optimal solution is found, then at time of popping the non-optimal path from the fringe, a path that is a prefix of the optimal path to the goal is sitting on the fringe. The cost \bar{g} of a non-optimal solution when popped is its f-cost. The prefix of the optimal path to the goal has an f-cost of $g+h_2=g+2h_1\leq 2(g+h_1)\leq 2C^*$, with C^* the optimal cost to the goal. Hence we have that $\bar{g}\leq 2C^*$ and the found path is at most twice as long as the optimal path.
- (iii) [true or false] The solution found by A* graph search with h_2 is guaranteed to be an optimal solution.

False. h_2 is not guaranteed to be admissible and graph search further requires consistency for optimality.

