Announcements

- Project 0 (optional) is due Friday, January 19, 11:59 PM PT
- HW0 (optional) is due Tuesday, January 23, 11:59 PM PT
- Project 1 is due Friday, February 2, 11:59 PM PT
- HW1 is due **Tuesday, February 6**, 11:59 PM PT

CS 188: Artificial Intelligence

Search



Spring 2024

University of California, Berkeley

[These slides were created by Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel for CS188 Intro to AI at UC Berkeley (ai.berkeley.edu).]

Today

- Agents that Plan Ahead
- Search Problems
- Uninformed Search Methods
 - Depth-First Search
 - Breadth-First Search
 - Uniform-Cost Search



Agents that Plan



Reflex Agents

Reflex agents:

- Choose action based on current percept (and maybe memory)
- May have memory or a model of the world's current state
- Do not consider the future consequences of their actions
- Consider how the world IS
- Can a reflex agent be rational?



[Demo: reflex optimal (L2D1)] [Demo: reflex optimal (L2D2)]

Video of Demo Reflex Optimal



Video of Demo Reflex Odd

76 CS188 Pacman		2 22
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
search		
	💱 FA12 c	s188 lecture 2 uninformed search (6PP).pdf added % X 88 lecture 2 uninformed search (6PP).pdf was added to your Dropbox

Planning Agents

Planning agents:

- Ask "what if"
- Decisions based on (hypothesized) consequences of actions
- Must have a model of how the world evolves in response to actions
- Must formulate a goal (test)
- Consider how the world WOULD BE
- Optimal vs. complete planning
- Planning vs. replanning



[Demo: re-planning (L2D3)] [Demo: mastermind (L2D4)]

Video of Demo Replanning

)·Q.· [6 考· [6·] 约·简·句本心·	E Pydev 201
6	CS188 Pacman	
Console 8	SCORE: 0	
	I	
		,

Video of Demo Mastermind

Pacman			Constant of	×]	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • •	• • •			

Search Problems



Search Problems

A search problem consists of:

A state space



 A successor function (with actions, costs)



- A start state and a goal test
- A solution is a sequence of actions (a plan) which transforms the start state to a goal state

Search Problems Are Models



Example: Traveling in Romania



- State space:
 - Cities
- Successor function:
 - Roads: Go to adjacent city with cost = distance
- Start state:
 - Arad
- Goal test:
 - Is state == Bucharest?
- Solution?

What's in a State Space?



A search state keeps only the details needed for planning (abstraction)

- Problem: Pathing
 - States: (x,y) location
 - Actions: NSEW
 - Successor: update location only
 - Goal test: is (x,y)=END

- Problem: Eat-All-Dots
 - States: {(x,y), dot booleans}
 - Actions: NSEW
 - Successor: update location and possibly a dot boolean
 - Goal test: dots all false

State Space Sizes?

World state:

- Agent positions: 120
- Food count: 30
- Ghost positions: 12
- Agent facing: NSEW
- How many
 - World states?
 120x(2³⁰)x(12²)x4
 - States for pathing?120
 - States for eat-all-dots?
 120x(2³⁰)



Quiz: Safe Passage



- Problem: eat all dots while keeping the ghosts perma-scared
- What does the state space have to specify?
 - (agent position, dot booleans, power pellet booleans, remaining scared time)

State Space Graphs and Search Trees



State Space Graphs

- State space graph: A mathematical representation of a search problem
 - Nodes are (abstracted) world configurations
 - Arcs represent successors (action results)
 - The goal test is a set of goal nodes (maybe only one)
- In a state space graph, each state occurs only once!
- We can rarely build this full graph in memory (it's too big), but it's a useful idea



State Space Graphs

- State space graph: A mathematical representation of a search problem
 - Nodes are (abstracted) world configurations
 - Arcs represent successors (action results)
 - The goal test is a set of goal nodes (maybe only one)
- In a state space graph, each state occurs only once!
- We can rarely build this full graph in memory (it's too big), but it's a useful idea



Tiny state space graph for a tiny search problem

Search Trees



• A search tree:

- A "what if" tree of plans and their outcomes
- The start state is the root node
- Children correspond to successors
- Nodes show states, but correspond to PLANS that achieve those states
- For most problems, we can never actually build the whole tree

State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees



Each NODE in in the search tree is an entire PATH in the state space graph.

We construct both on demand – and we construct as little as possible.



Quiz: State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees

Consider this 4-state graph:

How big is its search tree (from S)?



Important: Lots of repeated structure in the search tree!

Tree Search



Search Example: Romania



Searching with a Search Tree



Search:

- Expand out potential plans (tree nodes)
- Maintain a fringe of partial plans under consideration
- Try to expand as few tree nodes as possible

General Tree Search

function TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy) returns a solution, or failure initialize the search tree using the initial state of problem loop do

if there are no candidates for expansion then return failure choose a leaf node for expansion according to strategy if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution else expand the node and add the resulting nodes to the search tree end

Important ideas:

- Fringe
- Expansion
- Exploration strategy
- Main question: which fringe nodes to explore?

Example: Tree Search



Example: Tree Search





Depth-First Search



Depth-First Search

Strategy: expand a deepest node first

Implementation: Fringe is a LIFO stack





Search Algorithm Properties



Search Algorithm Properties

- Complete: Guaranteed to find a solution if one exists?
- Optimal: Guaranteed to find the least cost path?
- Time complexity?
- Space complexity?
- Cartoon of search tree:
 - b is the branching factor
 - m is the maximum depth
 - solutions at various depths
- Number of nodes in entire tree?
 - 1 + b + b² + b^m = O(b^m)



Depth-First Search (DFS) Properties

- What nodes DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree.
 - Could process the whole tree!
 - If m is finite, takes time O(b^m)
- How much space does the fringe take?
 - Only has siblings on path to root, so O(bm)
- Is it complete?
 - m could be infinite, so only if we prevent that
- Is it optimal?
 - No, it finds the "leftmost" solution, regardless of depth or cost



Breadth-First Search



Breadth-First Search

Strategy: expand a shallowest node first

Implementation: Fringe is a FIFO queue




Breadth-First Search (BFS) Properties

- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s
 - Search takes time O(b^s)
- How much space does the fringe take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so O(b^s)
- Is it complete?
 - s must be finite if a solution exists, so yes!
- Is it optimal?
 - Only if costs are all 1 (more on costs later)



Quiz: DFS vs BFS





Quiz: DFS vs BFS

When will BFS outperform DFS?

When will DFS outperform BFS?

[Demo: dfs/bfs maze water (L2D6)]

Video of Demo Maze Water DFS/BFS (part 1)



Video of Demo Maze Water DFS/BFS (part 2)



Iterative Deepening

- Idea: get DFS's space advantage with BFS's time / shallow-solution advantages
 - Run a DFS with depth limit 1. If no solution...
 - Run a DFS with depth limit 2. If no solution...
 - Run a DFS with depth limit 3.
- Isn't that wastefully redundant?
 - Generally most work happens in the lowest level searched, so not so bad!
 - Branching factor 10, solution 5 deep:
 - BFS: 10 + 100 + 1,000 + 10,000 + 100,000 = 111,110
 - IDS: 50 + 400 + 3,000 + 20,000 + 100,000 = 123,450



Cost-Sensitive Search



BFS finds the shortest path in terms of number of actions. It does not find the least-cost path. We will now cover a similar algorithm which does find the least-cost path.

Uniform Cost Search



Uniform Cost Search

Strategy: expand a cheapest node first:

Fringe is a priority queue (priority: cumulative cost)





Uniform Cost Search (UCS) Properties

- What nodes does UCS expand?
 - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
 - If that solution costs C^* and arcs cost at least ε , then the "effective depth" is roughly C^*/ε
 - Takes time O(b^{C*/ε}) (exponential in effective depth)
- How much space does the fringe take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so O(b^{C*/ε})
- Is it complete?
 - Assuming best solution has a finite cost and minimum arc cost is positive, yes!
- Is it optimal?
 - Yes! (Proof next lecture via A*)



Uniform Cost Issues

Remember: UCS explores increasing cost contours

The good: UCS is complete and optimal!

The bad:

- Explores options in every "direction"
- No information about goal location

We'll fix that soon!





[Demo: empty grid UCS (L2D5)] [Demo: maze with deep/shallow water DFS/BFS/UCS (L2D7)]

Video of Demo Contours UCS Pacman Small Maze



Video of Demo Empty UCS



Video of Demo Maze with Deep/Shallow Water --- DFS, BFS, or UCS? (part 1)



Video of Demo Maze with Deep/Shallow Water --- DFS, BFS, or UCS? (part 2)



Video of Demo Maze with Deep/Shallow Water --- DFS, BFS, or UCS? (part 3)



The One Queue

- All these search algorithms are the same except for fringe strategies
 - Conceptually, all fringes are priority queues (i.e. collections of nodes with attached priorities)
 - Practically, for DFS and BFS, you can avoid the log(n) overhead from an actual priority queue, by using stacks and queues
 - Can even code one implementation that takes a variable queuing object



Comparing uninformed search algorithms

3.4.6 Comparing uninformed search algorithms

Figure 3.15 compares uninformed search algorithms in terms of the four evaluation criteria set forth in Section 3.3.4. This comparison is for tree-like search versions which don't check for repeated states. For graph searches which do check, the main differences are that depth-first search is complete for finite state spaces, and the space and time complexities are bounded by the size of the state space (the number of vertices and edges, |V| + |E|).

Criterion	Breadth- First	Uniform- Cost	Depth- First	Depth- Limited	Iterative Deepening	Bidirectional (if applicable)
Complete?	Yes ¹	Yes ^{1,2}	No	No	Yes ¹	Yes ^{1,4}
Optimal cost?	Yes ³	Yes	No	No	Yes ³	Yes ^{3,4}
Time	$O(b^d)$	$O(b^{1+\lfloor C^*/\epsilon \rfloor})$	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^\ell)$	$O(b^d)$	$O(b^{d/2})$
Space	$O(b^d)$	$O(b^{1+\lfloor C^*/\epsilon \rfloor})$	O(bm)	$O(b\ell)$	O(bd)	$O(b^{d/2})$

Figure 3.15 Evaluation of search algorithms. *b* is the branching factor; *m* is the maximum depth of the search tree; *d* is the depth of the shallowest solution, or is *m* when there is no solution; ℓ is the depth limit. Superscript caveats are as follows: ¹ complete if *b* is finite, and the state space either has a solution or is finite. ² complete if all action costs are $\geq \epsilon > 0$; ³ cost-optimal if action costs are all identical; ⁴ if both directions are breadth-first or uniform-cost.