

Instructor: Evgeny Pobachienko

University of California, Berkeley

[These slides were created by Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel for CS188 Intro to AI at UC Berkeley. All CS188 materials are available at http://ai.berkeley.edu.]

Reinforcement Learning

Reinforcement Learning

- Basic idea:
	- Receive feedback in the form of rewards
	- Agent's utility is defined by the reward function
	- Must (learn to) act so as to maximize expected rewards
	- All learning is based on observed samples of outcomes!

Initial Manuel A Learning Trial After Learning [1K Trials]

[Kohl and Stone, ICRA 2004]

Initial

[Kohl and Stone, ICRA 2004] [Video: AIBO WALK – initial]

Training

[Kohl and Stone, ICRA 2004] [Video: AIBO WALK – training]

Finished

[Kohl and Stone, ICRA 2004] [Video: AIBO WALK – finished]

Example: Toddler Robot

[Tedrake, Zhang and Seung, 2005] [Video: TODDLER – 40s]

The Crawler!

[Demo: Crawler Bot (L10D1)]

Video of Demo Crawler Bot

Reinforcement Learning

- Still assume a Markov decision process (MDP):
	- \blacksquare A set of states $s \in S$
	- A set of actions (per state) A
	- \blacksquare A model T(s,a,s')
	- \blacksquare A reward function $R(s,a,s')$
- **E** Still looking for a policy $\pi(s)$

Overheated

- New twist: don't know T or R
	- I.e. we don't know which states are good or what the actions do
	- Must actually try out actions and states to learn

Offline (MDPs) vs. Online (RL)

Offline Solution **Online Learning**

Model-Based Learning

Model-Based Learning

- Model-Based Idea:
	- Learn an approximate model based on experiences
	- Solve for values as if the learned model were correct
- Step 1: Learn empirical MDP model
	- Count outcomes s' for each s, a
	- **E** Normalize to give an estimate of $\widehat{T}(s, a, s')$
	- Discover each $\widehat{R}(s, a, s')$ when we experience (s, a, s')
- Step 2: Solve the learned MDP
	- For example, use value iteration, as before

Example: Model-Based Learning

Model-Free Learning

Passive Reinforcement Learning

Passive Reinforcement Learning

■ Simplified task: policy evaluation

- \blacksquare Input: a fixed policy $\pi(s)$
- \blacksquare You don't know the transitions $T(s,a,s')$
- \blacksquare You don't know the rewards R(s,a,s')
- Goal: learn the state values

■ In this case:

- Learner is "along for the ride"
- No choice about what actions to take
- Just execute the policy and learn from experience
- This is NOT offline planning! You actually take actions in the world.

Direct Evaluation

- **Goal: Compute values for each state under** π
- Idea: Average together observed sample values
	- Act according to π
	- **Every time you visit a state, write down what the** sum of discounted rewards turned out to be
	- Average those samples
- This is called direct evaluation

Example: Direct Evaluation

Problems with Direct Evaluation

- What's good about direct evaluation?
	- It's easy to understand
	- It doesn't require any knowledge of T, R
	- It eventually computes the correct average values, using just sample transitions
- What bad about it?
	- It wastes information about state connections
	- Each state must be learned separately
	- So, it takes a long time to learn

Output Values

If B and E both go to C under this policy, how can their values be different?

Why Not Use Policy Evaluation?

 $\pi(s)$

s, $\pi(s)$

s'

 s , $\mathsf{\hat{\pi}}(\mathsf{s})$,s'

s

- Simplified Bellman updates calculate V for a fixed policy:
	- Each round, replace V with a one-step-look-ahead layer over V

$$
V_0^{\pi}(s) = 0
$$

$$
V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi(s), s') [R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s')]
$$

- This approach fully exploited the connections between the states
- Unfortunately, we need T and R to do it!
- Key question: how can we do this update to V without knowing T and R?
	- In other words, how to we take a weighted average without knowing the weights?

Example: Expected Age

Goal: Compute expected age of cs188 students

Without P(A), instead collect samples $[a_1, a_2, ... a_N]$

Sample-Based Policy Evaluation?

■ We want to improve our estimate of V by computing these averages:

$$
V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi(s), s') [R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s')]
$$

■ Idea: Take samples of outcomes s' (by doing the action!) and average

$$
sample_1 = R(s, \pi(s), s'_1) + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s'_1)
$$

\n
$$
sample_2 = R(s, \pi(s), s'_2) + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s'_2)
$$

\n...
\n
$$
sample_n = R(s, \pi(s), s'_n) + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s'_n)
$$

\n
$$
V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_i sample_i
$$

Temporal Difference Learning

Temporal Difference Learning

- Big idea: learn from every experience!
	- **Update V(s) each time we experience a transition (s, a, s', r)**
	- Likely outcomes s' will contribute updates more often
- **Temporal difference learning of values**
	- Policy still fixed, still doing evaluation!
	- Move values toward value of whatever successor occurs: running average

 $sample = R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')$ Sample of V(s): $V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow (1-\alpha)V^{\pi}(s) + (\alpha) \text{sample}$ Update to V(s): $V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow V^{\pi}(s) + \alpha(sample - V^{\pi}(s))$ Same update:

Exponential Moving Average

- Exponential moving average
	- **•** The running interpolation update: $\bar{x}_n = (1 \alpha) \cdot \bar{x}_{n-1} + \alpha \cdot x_n$
	- Makes recent samples more important:

$$
\bar{x}_n = \frac{x_n + (1 - \alpha) \cdot x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha)^2 \cdot x_{n-2} + \dots}{1 + (1 - \alpha) + (1 - \alpha)^2 + \dots}
$$

- Forgets about the past (distant past values were wrong anyway)
- Decreasing learning rate (alpha) can give converging averages

Example: Temporal Difference Learning

 $V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow (1-\alpha)V^{\pi}(s) + \alpha \left| R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s') \right|$

Problems with TD Value Learning

- TD value leaning is a model-free way to do policy evaluation, mimicking Bellman updates with running sample averages
- However, if we want to turn values into a (new) policy, we're sunk:

 $\pi(s) = \argmax_a Q(s, a)$ $Q(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V(s')]$

- Idea: learn Q-values, not values
- Makes action selection model-free too!

Active Reinforcement Learning

Active Reinforcement Learning

- Full reinforcement learning: optimal policies (like value iteration)
	- \blacksquare You don't know the transitions $T(s,a,s')$
	- \blacksquare You don't know the rewards R(s,a,s')
	- You choose the actions now
	- Goal: learn the optimal policy / values

■ In this case:

- Learner makes choices!
- Fundamental tradeoff: exploration vs. exploitation
- This is NOT offline planning! You actually take actions in the world and find out what happens…

Detour: Q-Value Iteration

- Value iteration: find successive (depth-limited) values
	- **E** Start with $V_0(s) = 0$, which we know is right
	- **E** Given V_{k} , calculate the depth k+1 values for all states:

$$
V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s') \right]
$$

- But Q-values are more useful, so compute them instead
	- **E** Start with $Q_0(s,a) = 0$, which we know is right
	- **Given Q**_k, calculate the depth k+1 q-values for all q-states:

$$
Q_{k+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s', a') \right]
$$

Q-Learning

■ Q-Learning: sample-based Q-value iteration

$$
Q_{k+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s', a') \right]
$$

- **E** Learn $Q(s, a)$ values as you go
	- **•** Receive a sample (s,a,s',r)
	- **Consider your old estimate:** $Q(s, a)$
	- Consider your new sample estimate:

 $sample = R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')$

■ Incorporate the new estimate into a running average:

 $Q(s,a) \leftarrow (1-\alpha)Q(s,a) + (\alpha)$ [sample]

[Demo: Q-learning – gridworld (L10D2)] [Demo: Q-learning – crawler (L10D3)]

Video of Demo Q-Learning -- Gridworld

Video of Demo Q-Learning -- Crawler

Q-Learning Properties

- Amazing result: Q-learning converges to optimal policy -- even if you're acting suboptimally!
- This is called off-policy learning
- Caveats:
	- You have to explore enough
	- You have to eventually make the learning rate small enough
	- … but not decrease it too quickly
	- Basically, in the limit, it doesn't matter how you select actions (!)

The Story So Far: MDPs and RL

Unknown MDP: Model-Based Unknown MDP: Model-Free

Model-Free Learning

- Model-free (temporal difference) learning
	- Experience world through episodes

 $(s, a, r, s', a', r', s'', a'', r'', s'''' \dots)$

- **Update estimates each transition** (s, a, r, s')
- Over time, updates will mimic Bellman updates

Q-Learning

■ We'd like to do Q-value updates to each Q-state:

$$
Q_{k+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s', a') \right]
$$

- But can't compute this update without knowing T, R
- Instead, compute average as we go
	- **E** Receive a sample transition (s,a,r,s')
	- This sample suggests

 $Q(s, a) \approx r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')$

- But we want to average over results from (s,a) (Why?)
- **E** So keep a running average

$$
Q(s, a) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)Q(s, a) + (\alpha)\left[r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')\right]
$$

Q-Learning Properties

- Amazing result: Q-learning converges to optimal policy -- even if you're acting suboptimally!
- This is called off-policy learning
- Caveats:
	- You have to explore enough
	- You have to eventually make the learning rate small enough
	- … but not decrease it too quickly
	- Basically, in the limit, it doesn't matter how you select actions (!)

Video of Demo Q-Learning Auto Cliff Grid

Exploration vs. Exploitation

How to Explore?

- Several schemes for forcing exploration
	- Simplest: random actions (ε -greedy)
		- **Every time step, flip a coin**
		- \blacksquare With (small) probability ε , act randomly
		- \blacksquare With (large) probability 1- ε , act on current policy
	- **Problems with random actions?**
		- You do eventually explore the space, but keep thrashing around once learning is done
		- \blacksquare One solution: lower ε over time
		- **EXALUATE: Another solution: exploration functions**

[Demo: Q-learning – manual exploration – bridge grid (L11D2)] [Demo: Q-learning – epsilon-greedy -- crawler (L11D3)]

Video of Demo Q-learning – Manual Exploration – Bridge Grid

Video of Demo Q-learning – Epsilon-Greedy – Crawler

Exploration Functions

- When to explore?
	- Random actions: explore a fixed amount
	- Better idea: explore areas whose badness is not (yet) established, eventually stop exploring
- **Exploration function**
	- Takes a value estimate u and a visit count n, and returns an optimistic utility, e.g. $f(u, n) = u + k/n$

Regular Q-Update: $Q(s, a) \leftarrow_{\alpha} R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')$

Modified Q-Update: $Q(s, a) \leftarrow_{\alpha} R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} f(Q(s', a'), N(s', a'))$

■ Note: this propagates the "bonus" back to states that lead to unknown states as well!

[Demo: exploration – Q-learning – crawler – exploration function (L11D4)]

Video of Demo Q-learning – Exploration Function – Crawler

Regret

- Even if you learn the optimal policy, you still make mistakes along the way!
- Regret is a measure of your total mistake cost: the difference between your (expected) rewards, including youthful suboptimality, and optimal (expected) rewards
- **Minimizing regret goes beyond** learning to be optimal – it requires optimally learning to be optimal
- Example: random exploration and exploration functions both end up optimal, but random exploration has higher regret

Approximate Q-Learning

Generalizing Across States

- Basic Q-Learning keeps a table of all q-values
- In realistic situations, we cannot possibly learn about every single state!
	- Too many states to visit them all in training
	- Too many states to hold the q-tables in memory
- Instead, we want to generalize:
	- Learn about some small number of training states from experience
	- Generalize that experience to new, similar situations
	- This is a fundamental idea in machine learning, and we'll see it over and over again

[demo – RL pacman]

Example: Pacman

Let's say we discover through experience that this state is bad:

In naïve q-learning, we know nothing about this state:

Or even this one!

[Demo: Q-learning – pacman – tiny – watch all (L11D5)],[Demo: Q-learning – pacman – tiny – silent train (L11D6)], [Demo: Q-learning – pacman – tricky – watch all (L11D7)]

Video of Demo Q-Learning Pacman – Tiny – Watch All

Video of Demo Q-Learning Pacman – Tiny – Silent Train

Video of Demo Q-Learning Pacman – Tricky – Watch All

Feature-Based Representations

- Solution: describe a state using a vector of features (properties)
	- Features are functions from states to real numbers (often 0/1) that capture important properties of the state
	- Example features:
		- Distance to closest ghost
		- Distance to closest dot
		- Number of ghosts
		- \blacksquare 1 / (dist to dot)²
		- **E** Is Pacman in a tunnel? $(0/1)$
		- …… etc.
		- Is it the exact state on this slide?
	- Can also describe a q-state (s, a) with features (e.g. action moves closer to food)

Linear Value Functions

■ Using a feature representation, we can write a q function (or value function) for any state using a few weights:

$$
V(s) = w_1 f_1(s) + w_2 f_2(s) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s)
$$

$$
Q(s, a) = w_1 f_1(s, a) + w_2 f_2(s, a) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s, a)
$$

- Advantage: our experience is summed up in a few powerful numbers
- Disadvantage: states may share features but actually be very different in value!

Approximate Q-Learning

$$
Q(s, a) = w_1 f_1(s, a) + w_2 f_2(s, a) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s, a)
$$

■ Q-learning with linear Q-functions:

transition =
$$
(s, a, r, s')
$$

\ndifference = $\left[r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')\right] - Q(s, a)$
\n $Q(s, a) \leftarrow Q(s, a) + \alpha$ [difference] Exact Q's
\n $w_i \leftarrow w_i + \alpha$ [difference] $f_i(s, a)$ Approximate Q's

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\n\hline\n\text{F} & \text{F} & \text{F} \\
\hline\n\text{F} & \text{F} & \text{F} \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$

- **■** Intuitive interpretation:
	- Adjust weights of active features
	- E.g., if something unexpectedly bad happens, blame the features that were on: disprefer all states with that state's features
- Formal justification: online least squares

Example: Q-Pacman

$$
Q(s,a) = 4.0f_{DOT}(s,a) - 1.0f_{GST}(s,a)
$$

 $Q(s, a) = 3.0 f_{DOT}(s, a) - 3.0 f_{GST}(s, a)$

[Demo: approximate Qlearning pacman (L11D10)]

Video of Demo Approximate Q-Learning -- Pacman

