

1. The most general Hamiltonian (modulo addition by an unimportant constant) for a single qubit system is

$$H = \frac{\omega}{2} \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}.$$

Here \hat{n} is a unit vector and $\vec{\sigma}$ is the vector of Pauli matrices as discussed in class. Use this form of the Hamiltonian and the Bloch parameterization

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} (I + \vec{P} \cdot \vec{\sigma})$$

to show that the polarization vector evolves in time as

$$\dot{\vec{P}} = \omega(\hat{n} \times \vec{P}).$$

2. Suppose we have a set of qubits which are subject to depolarization at the rate, Γ , according to the equation

$$\dot{\rho} = -i[H, \rho] - \Gamma \left(\rho - \frac{1}{2} I \right)$$

where $H = \frac{\omega}{2} \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$. Show that the master equation describing the polarization vector evolution becomes

$$\dot{\vec{P}} = \omega(\hat{n} \times \vec{P}) - \Gamma \vec{P}.$$

If a qubit is prepared at time $t = 0$ with polarization vector $\vec{P}(0) = (1, 0, 0)$, find the polarization vector as a function of time if the Hamiltonian is $H = \omega \sigma_z / 2$.

3. An arbitrary rotation may be parameterized by three angles, α, β and γ , called Euler angles. Consider a sequence of Euler rotations represented by

$$\begin{aligned} U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= \exp(-i\alpha\sigma_z/2) \exp(-i\beta\sigma_y/2) \exp(-i\gamma\sigma_z/2) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i(\alpha+\gamma)/2} \cos(\beta/2) & -e^{-i(\alpha-\gamma)/2} \sin(\beta/2) \\ e^{i(\alpha-\gamma)/2} \sin(\beta/2) & e^{i(\alpha+\gamma)/2} \cos(\beta/2) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Because the rotations form a group, we expect this sequence of operations to be equivalent to a *single* rotation about some axis, \hat{n} , by an angle θ . Find \hat{n} and θ so that .

$$U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \exp(i\theta \hat{n} \cdot \sigma)$$

Hint: Explicitly write down the matrices for the above equation. Because the matrices are equal, corresponding entries in each matrix must be equal as well!

Note: This problem could also be done with $SO(3)$ matrices, but it is a miserable calculation. This is a nice example of how many properties of $SO(3)$ are easier to analyze in terms of the corresponding $SU(2)$ matrices.