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## Classifying Mechanisms

## Several dichotomies

Serial and Parallel


Planar/Spherical and Spatial



Few DOFS and Many DOFS


Rigid and Compliant


## Mechanism Trade-offs

|  | Workspace | Rigidity | Designing <br> Kinematics | No. of <br> Actuators | Flexibility of <br> Motion | Complexity <br> of Motion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Serial | Large | Low | Simple | Depends | Depends | Depends |
| Parallel | Small | High | Complex | Depends | Depends | Depends |
| Few DOF | Small | Depends | Complex | Few | Little | Less |
| Many DOF | Large | Depends | Simple | Many | A lot | More |



Serial, Many DOF


Parallel, Many DOF


Parallel, Few DOF


Serial, Few DOF

## Problems in Kinematics

Dimensions
Joint Parameters
End Effector Coordinates


Forward Kinematics
Known: Dimensions, Joint Parameters
Solve for: End Effector Coordinates

Inverse Kinematics
Known: Dimensions, End Effector Coordinates
Solve for: Joint Parameters

## Synthesis

Known: End Effector Coordinates
Solve for: Dimensions, Joint Parameters

## Challenges in Kinematics

- Using sweeping generalizations, how difficult is it to solve
- forward kinematics
- inverse kinematics
- synthesis
over different types of mechanisms?
- Ranked on a scale of 1 to 4 with 4 being the most difficult:

|  | Forward Kinematics |  | Inverse Kinematics |  | Synthesis |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Serial | Parallel | Serial | Parallel | Serial | Parallel |
| Planar | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Spherical | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Spatial | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 4 |



Planar


Spherical


Spatial

## Synthesis Approaches

- Synthesis equations are hard to solve because almost nothing is known about the mechanism beforehand


## Some Methods for Synthesis

- Graphical constructions-1 soln per construction
- Use atlases (libraries) (see http://www.saltire.com/LinkageAtlas/)
- Evolutionary algorithms - multiple solutions
- Optimization - 1 soln, good starting approximation required
- Sampling potential pivot locations
- Resultant elimination methods - all solutions, limited to simpler systems
- Groebner Bases - all solutions, limited to simpler systems
- Interval analysis - all solutions within a box of useful geometric parameters
- Homotopy - all solutions, can handle degrees in the millions and possibly greater with very recent developments



## Configuration Space of a Linkage

Terminology:
Circuits- not dependent on input link specification
Branches- dependent on input link specification



Circuit and branches can lead to linkage defects

## Types of Synthesis Problems

a) Function generation: set of input angles and output angles;
b) Motion generation: set of positions and orientations of a workpiece;
c) Path generation: set of points along a trajectory in the workpiece.


Above are examples of function, motion, and path generation for planar six-bar linkages. Analogous problems exist for spherical and spatial linkages of all bars.

## Examples of Function Generation



## The Bird Example Technique

- Spatial chains are constrained by six-bar function generators

Spatial chain
4 DOF


Function generators to control joint angles


> A single DOF constrained spatial chain

Goal: achieve accurate biomimetic motion

## Examples of Motion Generation and Path Generation



## Kinematics and Polynomials

- Kinematics are intimately linked with polynomials because they are composed of revolute and prismatic joints which describe circles and lines in space, which are algebraic curves
- These lines and circles combine to describe more complex algebraic surfaces



## Polynomials and Complexity

- Linkages can always be expressed as polynomials
- When new links are added, the complexity of synthesis rapidly increases



## Ways to Model Kinematics

- Planar
- Rotation matrices, homogeneous transforms, vectors
- Planar auaternions
- Complex numbers
- Spherical
- Rotation matrices
- Quaternions
- Spatial
- Rotation matrices, homogeneous transforms, vectors
- Dual quaternions
- All methods create equivalent systems, although they might look different. Different conveniences are made available by how kinematics are modelled


## Planar Kinematics With Complex Numbers



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{x} \\
a_{y}
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b_{x} \\
b_{y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{x}+b_{x} \\
a_{y}+b_{y}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \left(a_{x}+i a_{y}\right)+\left(b_{x}+i b_{y}\right)=\left(a_{x}+b_{x}\right)+i\left(a_{y}+b_{y}\right) \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
\cos \theta-\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta \\
\cos \theta
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{x} \\
a_{y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{x} \cos \theta-a_{y} \sin \theta \\
a_{x} \sin \theta+a_{y} \cos \theta
\end{array}\right\}} \\
& e^{i \theta}\left(a_{x}+i a_{y}\right)=(\cos \theta+i \sin \theta)\left(a_{x}+i a_{y}\right) \\
& =\left(a_{x} \cos \theta-a_{y} \sin \theta\right)+i\left(a_{x} \sin \theta+a_{y} \cos \theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

