

1. Recall that `mceval.scm` tests true or false using the `true?` and `false?` procedure:

```
(define (true? x) (not (eq? x false)))  
(define (false? x) (eq? x false))
```

Suppose we type the following definition into MCE:

```
MCE> (define true false)
```

What would be returned by the following expression:

```
MCE> (if (= 2 2) 3 4)  
A: 3  
B: 4  
C: ERROR
```

2. Suppose we type the following into `mc-eval`:

```
MCE> (define `x (* x x))
```

This expression evaluates without error. What would be returned by the following expressions?

```
MCE> quote  
A: ERROR: unbound variable quote  
B: (compound-procedure quote ((* x x)) <procedure-env>)
```

```
MCE> (quote 10)  
A: 10  
B: 100
```

3. Write a procedure `useless-square` that performs the squaring function correctly the first time you call it, and thereafter only returns what it returned the first time. For example,

```
> (useless-square 5)  
25  
> (useless-square 10)  
25  
> (useless-square 3)  
25
```

4. What does the following sequence of expressions return:

```
> (define foo 100)  
> (define (set-fooe! y) (set! foo y))  
> (define (bar x)  
  (let ((foo 10))  
    (set-fooe! 20)  
    foo))
```

LEXICAL SCOPING: \_\_\_\_\_ DYNAMIC SCOPING: \_\_\_\_\_  
> foo

LEXICAL SCOPING: \_\_\_\_\_ DYNAMIC SCOPING: \_\_\_\_\_

5. For each evaluator, will the following expression return a value or cause an error? Circle VALUE or ERROR for each.

```
> (let ((a 3)
        (b a))
    (+ a 4))
```

VALUE	ERROR	The MCE
VALUE	ERROR	Analyzing evaluator
VALUE	ERROR	Lazy evaluator
VALUE	ERROR	The MCE with dynamic scope

- For each evaluator, will the following expression return a value or cause an error? Circle VALUE or ERROR for each.

```
> (let ((a 3)
        (b a))
    (+ a b)) ;; this line different from the one above
```

VALUE	ERROR	The MCE
VALUE	ERROR	Analyzing evaluator
VALUE	ERROR	Lazy evaluator
VALUE	ERROR	The MCE with dynamic scope

6. Which of the following interactions will execute faster or the same in the analyzing evaluator than in the original metacircular evaluator? Circle FASTER or SAME for each.

```
> (define (gauss-recur n) ;; sum of #s from 1 to n
    (if (= n 1)
        1
        (+ n (gauss-recur (- n 1)))))
> (gauss-recur 1000)
```

Analyzing will be:      FASTER              SAME

```
> (define (gauss n) ;; sum of #s from 1 to n
    (/ (* (+ n 1) n) 2))
> (gauss 1000)
```

Analyzing will be:      FASTER              SAME

7. Rotating lists is fun, so let's do some. For the following, assume that only the rule `append` has been defined, as in the lecture:

- a. Implement a rule `rotate-forward` so that:

```
(rotate-forward (1 2 3 4) ?what) ==> ?what = (2 3 4 1).
```

That is, the second list is the first list with the first element attached to the end instead. Assume the list is non-empty.

- b. Let's get both sides of the story. We'd like a rule `rotate-backward` so that

```
(rotate-backward (1 2 3 4) ?what) ==> ?what = (4 1 2 3)
```

That is, the second list is the first list with the last element attached to the front instead. You may define other helper rules if you'd like.

8. Our goal is to define a procedure (`coolize ls`) that does this:

```
STk> (coolize '(1 2 3 4 5))
(1 (2 (3) 4) 5)
STk> (coolize '(a b c d e f))
(a (b (c () d) e) f)
```

We'll build up to it:

- a. Define a procedure (`last ls`) that returns the last element of a list.
- b. Define a procedure (`trimmed ls`) that takes in a list and returns the same list without the first and last element. So,
- ```
(trimmed '(1 2 3 4 5 6)) ==> (2 3 4 5)
(trimmed '()) ==> ()
(trimmed '(1)) ==> ()
(trimmed '(1 2)) ==> ()
```
- c. Now, implement `coolize`.

9. Write a procedure, (`tree-member? x tree`) that takes in an element and a general tree, and returns `#t` if `x` is part of `tree`, and `#f` otherwise.

10. Write a procedure, (`smallest-containing-tree tree x y`) that takes in a general tree and two elements `x` and `y`, and returns the smallest subtree of `tree` containing both `x` and `y`. If `tree` does not contain `x` and `y`, return `#f`. You can use `tree-member?`.

11. Write a procedure, (`num-sum exp`) that takes in a valid Scheme expression, and returns the sum of all numbers that occurs in that expression. For example,

```
(num-sum '(if (= 2 3) (lambda(x) (+ x 3)) 10)) ==> 18
```

12. Write a procedure, (`square-nums exp`) that takes in a valid Scheme expression, and returns the same expression with every number squared. For example,

```
(square-nums '(if (= 2 3) (lambda(x) (+ x 3)) 10)) ==>
(if (= 4 9) (lambda(x) (+ x 9)) 100)
```

### 13. De Morgan's Law

Consider a subset of Scheme with only operators `and`, `or` and `not`. Furthermore, `and` and `or` always take in exactly two arguments, and `not` takes in one. You can express all kinds of boolean expressions, like

```
(and (not (or a b)) (or c (and d e)))
```

De Morgan's Law says that the following two boolean expressions are equivalent:

```
(not (and a b)) <==> (or (not a) (not b))
```

Therefore, for example, these are equivalent:

```
(or (not (and a b)) c) <==> (or (or (not a) (not b)) c)
(not (and (and a b) c)) <==> (or (or (not a) (not b)) (not c))
```

Suppose we've written a procedure for you, `not-and-exp?`, that takes in an expression and returns `#t` if that expression is of the form `(not (and ...))`. This is defined thus:

```
(define (not-and-exp? exp)
  (and (pair? exp)
        (eq? (car exp) 'not)
        (pair? (cadr exp))
        (eq? (caadr exp) 'and)))
```

Write a procedure, `(demorganize exp)`, that takes in a boolean expression and applies De Morgan's law over it wherever possible.

14. Consider a procedure `(updated table name new-value)` where `updated` takes in a name, a `new-value` and a table of name-value pairs (containing no duplicate names), and returns a new table either with the new name-value entry added if name doesn't already exist in the table, or a new table with the given `new-value` for the pre-existing entry associated with name.

```
(updated '((mike 3) (jon 7)) 'paul 10) ==> ((mike 3) (jon 7) (paul 10))
(updated '((mike 3) (jon 7)) 'mike 10) ==> ((mike 10) (jon 7))
```

Write the non-destructive version of `updated`:

```
(define (updated table name new-value)
```

Of course, this was before we knew the pleasures of mutation, and so was wasteful – we reconstruct a new table each time! We propose a destructive `updated!`, where we allocate new pairs only if there's no pre-existing entry with the same name. Implement this below:

```
(define (updated! table name new-value)
```

15. This is an attempt to write `(remove-first! ls x)`, which destructively removes the first instance of `x`:

```
(define (remove-first! ls x)
  (cond ((null? ls) '())
        ((eq? (car ls) x) (set! ls (cdr ls)))
        ((eq? (cadr ls) x) (set-cdr! ls (cddr ls)))
        (else (remove-first! (cdr ls) x))))
```

Suppose `(define L '(a b c))`. What happens to `L` when we do:

- a. `(remove-first! L 'a)`

`L ==> _____`

- b. `(remove-first! L 'b)`

`L ==> _____`

- c. `(remove-first! L 'z)`

`L ==> _____`

16. Consider this problem:

```
(define p
  (let ((x #f))
    (set! x 1)
    (lambda (n)
      (lambda ()
        (set! n (+ n 1))
        (set! x (+ x n))
        x))))
(define m 3)
(define p1 (p m))
(define p2 (p m))
(p1)
(p2)
(p1)
```

- a. Draw out the-global-environment after the last `define` statement using box-and-pointer diagrams. Don't draw more boxes than there are, and don't forget the procedure environments!

- b. What is returned after the last statement?

17. Fill in the blanks:

```
(define foo
  (let ((L (list 1)))
    (lambda (a)
      (let ((M (cons a L)))
        (lambda (b)
          (set! L (cons (+ a 1) L))
          (set! a (+ a 2))
          (set-car! (cdr M) (+ 3 (cadr M)))
          (set! b (+ b 4))
          (list a b L M)))))))
```

```
Stk> (define f (foo 1))
Stk> (f 2)
```

---

```
Stk> (define g (foo 2))
Stk> (g 1)
```

---

```
Stk> (f 2)
```

---

```
Stk> (g 1)
```

---

18. There are just too many things to do and too much to keep track of during midterms week. We'd like to implement a system that helps us keep track of what we need to do. To that end, we propose a job class. A job object is a certain amount of work required; you can do some amounts of work until the work is done. A job also has an automatically assigned id. A job is "done" when there's no more work to do for that job. We also want to keep track of number of jobs we've created. We'd like to interact this way:

```
STk> (define job1 (instantiate job `(take out trash) 10))
job1
STk> (define job2 (instantiate job `(eat dinner) 5))
job2
STk> (define job3 (instantiate job `(study for cs61a) 100000))
job3
STk> (ask job1 `id)
0
STk> (ask job2 `id)
1
STk> (ask job2 `work-to-do)
5
STk> (ask job1 `do-work 10)
okay
STk> (ask job1 `work-to-do)
0
STk> (ask job1 `done?)
#t
STk> (ask job1 `do-work 10)
(but you are already done!)
STk> (ask job `number-of-jobs)
3
```

```
(define-class (job description work-to-do)
```