

QUESTIONS

1. Suppose we type the following into the amb evaluator:

```
> (* 2 (if (amb #t #f #t)
          (amb 3 4)
          5))
```

What are all possible answers we can get?

6, 8, 10, 6, 8

2. Write a function `an-atom-of` that dispenses the atomic elements of a deep list (not including empty lists). For example,

```
> (an-atom-of '((a) ((b (c))))) => a
> try-again => b
```

```
(define (an-atom-of ls)
  (cond ((null? ls) (amb))
        ((atom? ls) ls)
        (else (amb (an-atom-of (car ls))
                    (an-atom-of (cdr ls))))))
```

3. Use `an-atom-of` to write `deep-member?`.

```
(define (deep-member? X ls)
  (let ((maybe-x (an-atom-of ls)))
    (require (equal? x maybe-x))
    #t))
```

4. Fill in the blanks:

```
> (define (choose-member L R)
  (cond ((null? R) (amb))
        ((= (car L) (car R)) (car L))
        (else (amb (choose-member L (cdr R))
                    (choose-member (cdr L) R))))))
> (choose-member '(1 2 3) '(4 2 3))
3
> try-again
2
> try-again
2
```

Lists Again (and again, and again, and again, and again...)

QUESTIONS

1. Write a rule for `car` of list. For example, `(car (1 2 3 4) ?x)` would have `?x` bound to 1.

```
(rule (car (?car . ?cdr) ?car))
```

2. Write a rule for `cdr` of list. For example, `(cdr (1 2 3) ?y)` would have `?y` bound to `(2 3)`.

```
(rule (cdr (?car . ?cdr) ?cdr))
```

3. Define our old friend, `member`, so that `(member 4 (1 2 3 4 5))` would be satisfied, and `(member 3 (4 5 6))` would not, and `(member 3 (1 2 (3 4) 5))` would not.

```
(rule (member ?item (?item . ?cdr)))
(rule (member ?item (?car . ?cdr) (member ?item ?cdr))
```

4. Define its cousin, `deep-member`, so that `(deep-member 3 (1 2 (3 4) 5))` would be satisfied as well.

```
(rule (deep-member ?item (?item . ?cdr)))
(rule (deep-member ?item (?car . ?cdr) (deep-member ?item ?car))
(rule (deep-member ?item (?car . ?cdr) (deep-member ?item ?cdr))
```

Note how `?item` can either be in `?car` or `?cdr`, so we need three rules.

5. Define another old friend, `reverse`, so that `(reverse (1 2 3) (3 2 1))` would be satisfied.

```
(rule (reverse () ()))
(rule (reverse (?car . ?cdr) ?reversed-ls)
      (and (reverse ?cdr ?r-cdr)
            (append ?r-cdr (?car) ?reversed-ls)))
```

6. (HARD!) Define its cousin, `deep-reverse`, so that `(deep-reverse (1 2 (3 4) 5) (5 (4 3) 2 1))` would be satisfied.

```
(rule (deep-reverse ?item ?item) (lisp-value atom? ?item))
(rule (deep-reverse () ()))
(rule (deep-reverse (?car . ?cdr) ?dr-ls)
      (and (deep-reverse ?car ?r-car)
            (deep-reverse ?cdr ?r-cdr)
            (append ?r-cdr (?r-car) ?dr-ls)))
```

We need the first rule because recall that a “deep-list” could be an atom, and that the third rule does not check if the `?car` is an atom or not when it recurses on it.

7. Write the rule `remove` so that `(remove 3 (1 2 3 4 3 2) ?what)` binds `?what` to `(1 2 4 2)` – the list with 3 removed.

```
(rule (remove ?item () ()))
(rule (remove ?item (?item . ?cdr) ?result)
      (remove ?item ?cdr ?result))
(rule (remove ?item (?car . ?cdr) (?car . ?r-cdr))
      (and (not (same ?item ?car))
            (remove ?item ?cdr ?r-cdr)))
```

8. Write the rule `interleave` so that `(interleave (1 2 3) (a b c d) ?what)` would bind `?what` to `(1 a 2 b 3 c d)`.

```
(rule (interleave ?ls () ?ls))
(rule (interleave () ?ls ?ls))
(rule (interleave (?car . ?cdr) ?ls2 (?car . ?r-cdr))
      (interleave ?ls2 ?cdr ?r-cdr))
```

9. Consider this not very interesting rule: `(rule (listify ?x (?x)))`. So if we do `(listify 3 ?what)`, `?what` would be bound to `(3)`.

Define a rule `map` with syntax `(map procedure list result)`, so that `(map listify (1 2 3) ((1) (2) (3)))` would be satisfied, as would `(map reverse ((1 2) (3 4 5)) ((2 1) (5 4 3)))`. In fact, we should be able to do something cool like `(map ?what (1 2 3) ((1) (2) (3)))` and have `?what` bound to the word “listify”. Assume the “procedures” we pass into `map` are of the form `(procedure-name argument result)`.

```
(rule (map ?proc () ()))
(rule (map ?proc (?car . ?cdr) (?new-car . ?new-cdr))
      (and (?proc ?car ?new-car)
            (map ?proc ?cdr ?new-cdr)))
```

10. We can let predicates have the form (predicate-name argument). Define a rule even so that (even 3) is not satisfied, and (even 4) is satisfied.

```
(rule (even ?x) (lisp-value even? ?x))
```

11. The above is a way to make predicates. And once we have predicates, we can – and will, of course – write a filter rule with the syntax (filter predicate list result) so that (filter even (1 2 3 4 5 6) (2 4 6)) returns Yes, and querying (filter ?what (10 11 12 13) (10 12)) would bind ?what to the word “even”.

```
(rule (filter ?pred () ()))
(rule (filter ?pred (?car . ?cdr) (?car . ?new-cdr))
      (and (?pred ?car)
            (filter ?pred ?cdr ?new-cdr)))
(rule (filter ?pred (?car . ?cdr) ?new-ls)
      (and (not (?pred ?car))
            (filter ?pred ?cdr ?new-ls)))
```

Number Theory (The Bizarre Way)

QUESTIONS

1. Write the rule subtract using the same syntax as sum. Assume that the first argument will always be greater than the second (since we don't support negative numbers with our system!)

```
(rule (subtract ?x () ?x) ;; x - 0 = x
(rule (subtract ?x (a . ?y) ?z) ;; x - y = z <=> x - (y-1) = z + 1
      (subtract ?x ?y (a . ?z)))
```

Alternatively,

```
(rule (subtract ?a ?b ?c) ;; a - b = c <=> c + b = a
      (sum ?c ?b ?a))
```

2. Write the rule product. You may use rules that you have defined before.

```
(rule (product () ?y ()) ;; 0 * y = 0
(rule (product (a . ?x) ?y ?z) ;; x * y = z <=> ((x - 1) * y) + y = z
      (and (product ?x ?y ?i)
            (sum ?i ?y ?z)))
```

Alternatively,

```
(rule (product () ?x ()) ;; 0 * x = 0
(rule (product (a . ?x) ?y ?z) ;; x * y = z <=> (x-1) * y + y = z
      (and (product ?x ?y ?i)
            (sum ?i ?y ?z))))
```

3. Write the rule divide that divides the first argument with the second, and returns the quotient and the remainder. For example, (divide (a a a a a a a) (a a a) ?quo ?rem) would bind ?quo to (a a) and ?rem to (a). You may (and should!) use rules that you have defined before.

Recall that (quotient * divisor) + remainder = dividend.

```
(rule (divide ?dividend ?divisor ?quo ?rem)
      (and (product ?quo ?divisor ?prod)
            (sum ?prod ?rem ?dividend)))
```

4. Define the rule `exp` (for exponent, of course), with the first argument the base and second the power, so that `(exp (a a) (a a) ?what)` would bind `?what` to `(a a a a)`.

```
(rule (exp ?x () (a)))          ;; x^0 = 1
(rule (exp ?base (a . ?pow) ?z) ;; x^y = z <=> x^(y-1) * x = z
      (and (exp ?base ?pow ?i)
            (product ?base ?i ?z)))
```

5. Write the rule `factorial`, so that `(factorial (a a a) ?what)` would bind `?what` to `(a a a a a a)`.

```
(rule (factorial () (a)))      ;; 0! = 1
(rule (factorial (a . ?x) ?y)  ;; x! = y <=> (x-1)! * x = y
      (and (factorial ?x ?i)
            (product ?i (a . ?x) ?y)))
```

6. Write the rule `appearances` that counts how many times something appears in a list. For example, `(appearances 3 (1 2 3 3 2 3 3) ?what)` would bind `?what` to `(a a a a)`.

```
(rule (appearances ?item () ()))
(rule (appearances ?item (?item . ?cdr) (a . ?count))
      (appearances ?item ?cdr ?count))
(rule (appearances ?item (?car . ?cdr) ?count)
      (and (not (same ?car ?item))
            (appearances ?item ?cdr ?count)))
```