## CS61A Notes 15 – Logic Is What Logic Declares Logic To Be [Solutions v1.0]

Lists Again (and again, and again, and again, and again... Someone changed the Matrix!)

## QUESTIONS

- 1. Write a rule for car of list. For example, (car (1 2 3 4) ?x) would have ?x bound to 1. (rule (car (?car . ?cdr) ?car))
- 2. Write a rule for cdr of list. For example, (cdr (1 2 3) ?y) would have ?y bound to (2 3). (rule (cdr (?car . ?cdr) ?cdr))
- 3. Using the above, write a query that would bind ?x to the car of my-list. Write another query that would bind ?y to the cdr of my-list.

The temptation is to write (car my-list ?x) or (cdr my-list ?y). This doesn't work! There is no entry in the database whose first element is "car" and whose second element is the word "my-list". If you did that, you're thinking in the old Scheme way - that some "evaluator" will see my-list as a symbol and substitute in (1 2 3 4). This will not happen, since my-list isn't a variable! What you have to do is this:

(and (my-list ?ls) (car ?ls ?x))

First, we match ?1s to be  $(1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4)$ , and then match ?x to be 1.

- 4. Define our old friend, member, so that (member 4 (1 2 3 4 5)) would be satisfied, and (member 3 (4 5 6)) would not, and (member 3 (1 2 (3 4) 5)) would not. (rule (member ?item (?item . ?cdr))) (rule (member ?item (?car . ?cdr)) (member ?item ?cdr))
- 5. Define its cousin, deep-member, so that (deep-member 3 (1 2 (3 4) 5)) would be satisfied as well.

(rule (deep-member ?item (?item . ?cdr)))
(rule (deep-member ?item (?car . ?cdr)) (deep-member ?item ?car))
(rule (deep-member ?item (?car . ?cdr)) (deep-member ?item ?cdr))

Note how ?item can either be in ?car or ?cdr, so we need three rules.

6. Define another old friend, reverse, so that (reverse (1 2 3) (3 2 1)) would be satisfied. (rule (reverse () ())) (rule (reverse (?car . ?cdr) ?reversed-ls)

(and (reverse ?cdr ?r-cdr)
 (append ?r-cdr (?car) ?reversed-ls)))

```
7. (HARD!) Define its cousin, deep-reverse, so that
(deep-reverse (1 2 (3 4) 5) (5 (4 3) 2 1)) would be satisfied.
(rule (deep-reverse ?item ?item) (lisp-value atom? ?item))
(rule (deep-reverse () ()))
(rule (deep-reverse (?car . ?cdr) ?dr-ls)
(and (deep-reverse ?car ?r-car)
(deep-reverse ?cdr ?r-cdr)
(append ?r-cdr (?r-car) ?dr-ls)))
```

We need the first rule because recall that a "deep-list" could be an atom, and that the third rule does not check if the ?car is an atom or not when it recurses on it.

8. Write the rule remove so that (remove 3 (1 2 3 4 3 2) ?what) binds ?what to (1 2 4 2) - the list with 3 removed.

(interleave ?ls2 ?cdr ?r-cdr))

- 9. Write the rule interleave so that (interleave (1 2 3) (a b c d) ?what) would bind ? what to (1 a 2 b 3 c d). (rule (interleave ?ls () ?ls)) (rule (interleave () ?ls ?ls)) (rule (interleave (?car . ?cdr) ?ls2 (?car . ?r-cdr))
- 10. Consider this, not very interesting rule: ! (listify ?x (?x)) . So if we do (listify 3 ? what), ?what would be bound to (3).

```
Define a rule map with syntax (map procedure list result), so that (map listify (1 2 3) ((1) (2) (3))) would be satisfied, as would (map reverse ((1 2) (3 4 5)) ((2 1) (5 4 3))). In fact, we should be able to do something cool like (map ?what (1 2 3) ((1) (2) (3))) and have ?what bound to the word "listify". Assume the "procedure" we pass into map are of the form (procedure-name argument result). (rule (map ?proc () ()))
```

```
(rule (map ?proc (?car . ?cdr) (?new-car . ?new-cdr))
        (and (?proc ?car ?new-car)
                (map ?proc ?cdr ?new-cdr)))
```

- 11. We can let predicates have the form (predicate-name argument true/false). Define a rule even so that (even 3) is not be satisfied, and (even 4) is. (rule (even ?x) (lisp-value even? ?x))