

# CS61B Lecture #5: Arrays and Objects

- Homeworks are generally due by the next lab.
- For next week, please read *Head First Java*, chapters 5 and 6.
- **Discussion Change:** Starting next Thursday (13 September), discussion section 111 (10-11AM) will move from 3109 Etch. to 6 Evans.

# Arrays

- An array is a structured container whose components are
  - **length**, a fixed integer.
  - a sequence of **length** simple containers of the same type, numbered from 0.
  - (.length field usually implicit in diagrams.)
- Arrays are anonymous, like other structured containers.
- Always referred to with pointers.
- For array pointed to by  $A$ ,
  - Length is  $A.length$
  - Numbered component  $i$  is  $A[i]$  ( $i$  is the *index*)
  - Important feature: index can be *any integer expression*.


# A Few Samples

## Java

```
int[] x, y, z;  
String[] a;  
x = new int[3];  
y = x;  
a = new String[3];  
x[1] = 2;  
y[1] = 3;  
a[1] = "Hello";
```

```
int[] q;  
q = new int[] { 1, 2, 3 };  
// Short form for declarations:  
int[] r = { 7, 8, 9 };
```

## Results



# Example: Accumulate Values

**Problem:** Sum up the elements of array A.

```
static int sum (int[] A) {  
    int N;  
    N = 0;  
    for (int i = 0; i < A.length; i += 1)  
        N += A[i];  
    return N;  
}
```

```
// New (1.5) syntax  
for (int x : A)  
    N += x;
```


// For the hard-core: could have written

```
int N, i;  
for (i=0, N=0; i<A.length; N += A[i], i += 1)  
    { } // or just ;
```

// But please don't: it's obscure.

# Example: Insert into an Array


**Problem:** Want a call like `insert (A, 2, "gnu")` to convert (destructively)



```
/** Insert X at location K in ARR, moving items
 * K, K+1, ... to locations K+1, K+2, ....
 * The last item in ARR is lost. */
static void insert (String[] arr, int k, String x) {
    for (int i = arr.length-1; i > k; i -= 1) // Why backwards?
        arr[i] = arr[i-1];
    // Alternative to this loop:
    // System.arraycopy ( arr, k, arr, k+1, arr.length-k-1);
                        from           to           # to copy
    arr[k] = x;
}
```

# Growing an Array

**Problem:** Suppose that we want to change the description above, so that `A = insert2 (A, 2, "gnu")` does *not* shove "skunk" off the end, but instead "grows" the array.



```
/** Return array, r, where r.length = ARR.length+1; r[0..K-1]
 * the same as ARR[0..K-1], r[k] = x, r[K+1..] same as ARR[K..]. */
static String[] insert2 (String[] arr, int k, String x) {
    String[] result = new String[arr.length + 1];
    System.arraycopy (arr, 0, result, 0, k);
    System.arraycopy (arr, k, result, k+1, arr.length-k);
    result[k] = x;
    return result;
}
```


- Why do we need a different return type from insert??

# Object-Based Programming


## Basic Idea.

- *Function-based programs* are organized primarily around the functions (methods, etc.) that do things. Data structures (objects) are considered separate.
- *Object-based programs* are organized around the types of objects that are used to represent data; methods are grouped by type of object.
- Simple banking-system example:

### Function-based



### Object-based



# Philosophy

- Idea (from 1970s and before): *An abstract data type is*
  - a set of possible values (a *domain*), plus
  - a set of *operations* on those values (or their containers).
- In `IntList`, for example, the domain was a *set of pairs*: `(head, tail)`, where `head` is an `int` and `tail` is a pointer to an `IntList`.
- The `IntList` operations consisted only of assigning to and accessing the two fields (`head` and `tail`).
- In general, prefer a purely *procedural interface*, where the functions (methods) do everything—no outside access to fields.
- That way, implementor of a class and its methods has complete control over behavior of instances.
- In Java, the preferred way to write the “operations of a type” is as *instance methods*.



# You Saw It All in CS61A: The Account class

```
(define-class (account balance0)
  (instance-vars (balance 0))
  (initialize
    (set! balance balance0))

  (method (deposit amount)
    (set! balance (+ balance amount))
    balance)
  (method (withdraw amount)
    (if (< balance amount)
        (error "Insufficient funds")
        (begin
          (set! balance (- balance amount))
          balance)))) )
```

---

```
(define my-account
  (instantiate account 1000))
(ask my-account 'balance)
(ask my-account 'deposit 100)
(ask my-account 'withdraw 500)
```

```
public class Account {
  public int balance;
  public Account (int balance0) {
    balance = balance0;
  }
  public int deposit (int amount) {
    balance += amount; return balance;
  }
  public int withdraw (int amount) {
    if (balance < amount)
      throw new IllegalStateException
        ("Insufficient funds");
    else balance -= amount;
    return balance;
  }
}
```

---

```
Account myAccount = new Account (1000);
myAccount.balance
myAccount.deposit (100);
myAccount.withdraw(500);
```

# The Pieces

- Class declaration defines a *new type of object*, i.e., new type of structured container.
- **Instance variables** such as `balance` are the simple containers within these objects (*fields or components*).
- **Instance methods**, such as `deposit` and `withdraw` are like ordinary (static) methods that take an invisible extra parameter (called **this**).
- The **new** operator creates (*instantiates*) new objects, and initializes them using constructors.
- **Constructors** such as the method-like declaration of `Account` are special methods that are used only to initialize new instances. They take their arguments from the **new** expression.
- **Method selection** picks methods to call. For example,

```
myAccount.deposit(100)
```

tells us to call the method named `deposit` that is defined for the object pointed to by `myAccount`.

# Getter Methods

- Slight problem with Java version of Account: anyone can assign to the balance field
- This reduces the control that the implementor of Account has over possible values of the balance.
- Solution: allow public access only through methods:

```
public class Account {  
    private int balance;  
    ...  
    public int balance () { return balance; }  
    ...  
}
```

- Now the balance field cannot be directly referenced outside of Account.
- (OK to use name balance for both the field and the method. Java can tell which is meant by syntax: A.balance vs. A.balance().)

# Class Variables and Methods

- Suppose we want to keep track of the bank's total funds.
- This number is not associated with any particular Account, but is common to all—it is *class-wide*.
- In Java, "class-wide"  $\equiv$  static

```
public class Account {  
    ...  
    private static int funds = 0;  
    public int deposit (int amount) {  
        balance += amount; funds += amount;  
        return balance;  
    }  
    public static int funds () {  
        return funds;  
    }  
    ... // Also change withdraw.  
}
```

- From outside, can refer to either `Account.funds()` or `myAccount.funds()` (same thing).

# Instance Methods

- Instance method such as

```
int deposit (int amount) {  
    balance += amount; funds += amount;  
    return balance;  
}
```

behaves sort of like a static method with hidden argument:

```
static int deposit (final Account this, int amount) {  
    this.balance += amount; funds += amount;  
    return this.balance;  
}
```

- NOTE: Just explanatory: Not real Java (not allowed to declare 'this'). (`final` is real Java; means "can't change once set.")
- Likewise, the instance-method call `myAccount.deposit (100)` is like a call on this fictional static method:

```
Account.deposit (myAccount, 100);
```

- Inside method, as a convenient abbreviation, can leave off leading 'this.' on field access or method call if not ambiguous.

# 'Instance' and 'Static' Don't Mix

- Since real static methods don't have the invisible `this` parameter, makes no sense to refer directly to instance variables in them:

```
public static int badBalance (Account A) {  
    int x = A.balance; // This is OK (A tells us whose balance)  
    return balance; // WRONG! NONSENSE!  
}
```

- Reference to `balance` here equivalent to `this.balance`,
- But this is meaningless (*whose balance?*)
- However, it makes perfect sense to access a static (class-wide) field or method in an instance method or constructor, as happened with `fund` in the `deposit` method.
- There's only one of each static field, so don't need to have a `'this'` to get it. Can just name the class.

# Constructors

- To completely control objects of some class, you must be able to set their initial contents.
- A *constructor* is a kind of special instance method that is called by the **new** operator right after it creates a new object, as if

$$L = \text{new IntList}(1, \text{null}) \implies \begin{cases} \text{tmp} = \text{pointer to } \boxed{0}; \\ \text{tmp.IntList}(1, \text{null}); \\ L = \text{tmp}; \end{cases}$$

- Instance variables initializations are moved inside constructors:

```
class Foo {
    int x = 5;
    Foo () {
        DoStuff ();
    }
    ...
}

class Foo {
    int x;
    Foo () {
        x = 5;
        DoStuff ();
    }
    ...
}
```

- In absence of any explicit constructor, get *default constructor*:  
`public Foo() { }.`
- *Multiple overloaded* constructors possible (different parameters).

## Summary: Java vs. CS61A OOP in Scheme

Java	CS61A OOP
class Foo ...	(define-class (Foo args)...
int x = ...;	(instance-vars (x ...))
Foo(args) {...}	(initialize ...)
int f(...) {...}	(method (f ...) ...)
static int y = ...;	(class-vars (y ...))
static void g(...) {...}	(define (g...)...)
aFoo.f (...)	(ask aFoo 'f ...)
aFoo.x	(ask aFoo 'x)
new Foo (...)	(instantiate Foo ...)
this	self