The Old Days

types such as List didn't used to be parameterized. All ists of Objects.

te things like this:

```
ist L) {
    s;    s = "";
    t i = 0;    i < L.size();    i += 1)
    (s.compareTo((String) L.get(i)) < 0)    s = (String) L.get(i);
    s;</pre>
```

had to explicitly cast result of L.get(i) to let the w what its static type was.

alling L.add(x), there was no check that you put only it.

with 1.5, the designers tried to alleviate these perms by introducing parameterized types, like List<String>.

ly, it is not as simple as one might think.

25:13 2021 CS61B: Lecture #25 2

61B Lecture #25: Java Generics

Type Instantiation

```
a generic type is analogous to calling a function.
in
```

```
ass ArrayList<Item> implements List<Item> {
  Item get(int i) { ... }
  boolean add(Item x) { ... }
```

ite ArrayList<String>, we get, in effect, a new type,

```
ring_ArrayList implements List<String> {
  String get(int i) { ... }
  boolean add(String x) { ... }
```

ewise, List<String> refers to a new interface type as

25:13 2021 CS61B: Lecture #25 4

Basic Parameterization

finitions of ArrayList and Map in java.util:

```
ss ArrayList<\int Item> implements List<Item> {
Item get(int i) { ... }
boolean add(Item x) { ... }

arface Map<\int Key , Value> {
bt(Key x);
```

) occurrences of Item, Key, and Value introduce formal ters, whose "values" (which are reference types) get for all the other occurrences of Item, Key, or Value List or Map is "called" (as in ArrayList<String>, or nt[]>, or Map<String, List<Particle>>).

rences of Item, Key, and Value are *uses* of the formal ke uses of a formal parameter in the body of a function.

40,0004

Wildcards

definition of something that counts the number of hing occurs in a collection of items. Could write:

```
of items in C that are equal to X. */
int frequency(Collection<T> c, Object x) {
n = 0;
y : c) {
(x.equals(y))
n += 1;
```

really care what T is; we don't need to declare anything the body, because we could write instead

```
pject y : c) {
```

pe parameters say that you don't care what a type pale., it's any subtype of Object):

```
\label{eq:collection} \begin{split} &\text{frequency(Collection<?> c, Object x) } \{\ldots\} \end{split}
```

25:13 2021 CS61B: Lecture #25 6

Parameters on Methods

ethods) may also be parameterized by type. Example of ra.util.Collections:

```
-only list containing just ITEM. */
List<T> singleton(T item) { ... }
odifiable empty list. */
List<T> emptyList() { ... }
```

figures out T in the expression singleton(x) by lookpe of x. This is a simple example of type inference.

```
g> empty = Collections.emptyList();
```

ers obviously don't suffice, but the compiler deduces er T from context: it must be assignable to String.

25:13 2021 CS61B: Lecture #25 5

25:13 2021

CS61B: Lecture #25 1

25:13 2021

CS61B: Lecture #25 3

Subtyping (II)

```
fragment:
ng> LS = new ArrayList<String>();
ct> LObj = LS;
                    // OK??
{ 1, 2 };
(A);
                    // Legal, since A is an Object
= LS.get(0);
                    // OOPS! A.get(0) is NOT a String,
                    // but spec of List<String>.get
                    // says that it is.
st<String> ≤ List<Object> would violate type safety:
is wrong about the type of a value.
for T1<X> \prec T2<Y>, must have X = Y.
but T1 and T2?
25:13 2021
                                       CS61B: Lecture #25 8
```

Subtyping (I)

```
e relationships between the types
```

25:13 2021

```
ring>, List<Object>, ArrayList<String>, ArrayList<Object>?

at ArrayList \( \times \) List and String \( \times \) Object (using \( \times \) type of")...

<String> \( \times \) List<Object>?
```

A Java Inconsistency: Arrays

```
guage design is not entirely consistent when it comes to
```

```
reason that ArrayList<String> \( \frac{1}{2} \) ArrayList<Object>, pect that String[] \( \frac{1}{2} \) Object[].
```

a does make String[] ≤ Object[].

explained above, one gets into trouble with

```
S = new String[3];
Dbj = AS;
new int[] { 1, 2 }; // Bad
```

the Bad line causes an ArrayStoreException—a (dyne error instead of a (static) compile-time error.

is way? Basically, because otherwise there'd be no way, e.g., ArrayList.

25:13 2021 CS61B: Lecture #25 10

Subtyping (III)

25:13 2021

CS61B: Lecture #25 7

CS61B: Lecture #25 9

Type Bounds (II)

```
mple:
```

```
he elements of SRC into DEST. */
void copy(List<? super T> dest, List<T> src) { ... }

est can be a List<Q> for any Q as long as T is a subtype
or implements) Q.

t define this as
he elements of SRC into DEST. */
void copy(List<T> dest, List<T> src) { ... }
```

25:13 2021 CS61B: Lecture #25 12

Type Bounds (I)

your program needs to ensure that a particular type paeplaced only by a subtype (or supertype) of a particular like specifying the "type of a type.").

```
ricSet<T extends Number> extends HashSet<T> {
  minimal element */
} { ... }
```

t all type parameters to NumbericSet must be subtypes ne "type bound"). T can either extend or implement the propriate.

25:13 2021 CS61B: Lecture #25 11

Type Bounds (III)

```
sorted list L for KEY, returning either its position (if t), or k-1, where k is where KEY should be inserted. */
int binarySearch(List<? extends Comparable<? super T>> L,
```

ems of L have to have a type that is comparable to T's upertype of T.

to be able to contain the value key?

is make sense?

25:13 2021 CS61B: Lecture #25 14

Type Bounds (II)

```
mple:
```

```
ne elements of SRC into DEST. */
void copy(List<? super T> dest, List<T> src) { ... }
```

lest can be a List<Q> for any Q as long as T is a subtype or implements) Q.

define this as

```
ne elements of SRC into DEST. */
void copy(List<T> dest, List<T> src) { ... }
```

e perfect sense to copy a List<String> into a List<Object>, ld be disallowed with this declaration.

25:13 2021 CS61B: Lecture #25 13

pirty Secrets Behind the Scenes

```
n for parameterized types was constrained by a desire d compatibility.
```

```
en you write
```

pplies the casts automatically, and also throws in some ecks. If it can't guarantee that all those casts will work, arning about "unsafe" constructs.

25:13 2021 CS61B: Lecture #25 16

Type Bounds (III)

e:

```
sorted list L for KEY, returning either its position (if
t), or k-1, where k is where KEY should be inserted. */
int binarySearch(List<? extends Comparable<? super T>> L,
T key)
```

ems of L have to have a type that is comparable to T's upertype of T.

to be able to contain the value key?

is make sense?

25:13 2021

he items in L can be compared to key, it doesn't really her they might include key (not that this is often use-

CS61B: Lecture #25 15

Limitations

i's design choices, there are some limitations to generic

is of Foo or List are really the same,

ceof List<String> will be true when L is a List<Integer>.

g., class Foo, you cannot write new T(), new T[], or x bf T.

es are not allowed as type parameters.

ArrayList<int>, just ArrayList<Integer>.

ly, automatic boxing and unboxing makes this substitu-

```
(ArrayList<Integer> L) {
  N; N = 0;
  (int x : L) { N += x; }
  arn N;
```

itely, boxing and unboxing have significant costs.

25:13 2021 CS61B: Lecture #25 17