CS 61C Spring 2023

WSC, RAID, Parity & ECC

Discussion 13

1 Precheck

This section is designed as a conceptual check for you to determine if you conceptually understand and have any misconceptions about this topic. Please answer true/false to the following questions, and include an explanation:

1.1 MapReduce is a more general programming model than Spark since it is lower level.

False. While Spark is higher level, you can still do basic map-reduce with Spark. It is easier to express more complex computations in Spark. For more information on higher level vs. lower level, visit https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-and_low-level

1.2 If a data center has a higher PUE, then it is more energy-efficient.

False. The ideal and minimum value of PUE is 1.0.

1.3 We can improve the availability of a service either by increasing MTTF or decreasing MTTR.

True. Increasing MTTF makes the system fail less often, while lowering MTTR makes the downtime shorter if it does fail, both improving availability.

1.4 Hamming codes can detect any type of data corruption.

False. They cannot detect all three bit errors.

1.5 All RAID levels improve reliability.

False. Raid 0 is just storing data across all disks, which doesn't improve reliability.

2 MapReduce

For each problem below, write pseudocode to complete the implementations using the MapReduce model. Tips:

- The input to each MapReduce job is given by the signature of map().
- emit(key k, value v) outputs the key-value pair (k, v).
- for var in list can be used to iterate through Iterables or you can call the hasNext() and next() functions.
- Usable data types: **int**, **float**, String. You may also use lists and custom data types composed of the aforementioned types.
- intersection(list1, list2) returns a list of the common elements of list1, list2.
- [2.1] Given the student's name and course taken, output their name and total GPA.

Declare any custom data types here:

CourseData:

```
int courseID
float studentGrade // a number from 0-4
```

2.2 You are given a list of tuples containing people's unique int ID and a list of the IDs of their friends (i.e. each tuple in the list gives the list of friends for different person). Compute the list of mutual friends between each pair of friends in a social network, including the pair themselves. You have access to the intersection function, which takes in two lists and finds the set of elements that appear in both lists.

```
FriendPair:
   int friendOne
   int friendTwo
```

```
map(tuple<int, list<int>> info):
map(tuple<int, list<int>> info):
                                                     reduce(FriendPair key,Iterable<list<int>> values):
    personID, friendIDs = info
                                                         # Note: values only has two elements,
    for fID in friendIDs:
                                                         # once for each person in the FriendPair.
        if (personID < fID):</pre>
                                                         mutualFriends = intersection(
            friendPair = (personID, fID)
                                                             values[0], values[1]
        else:
                                                         )
            friendPair = (fID, personID)
                                                         emit(key, mutualFriends)
        emit(friendPair, friendIDs)
```

3 Hamming ECC

Recall the basic structure of a Hamming code. We start out with some bitstring, and then add parity bits at the indices that are powers of two (1, 2, 4, etc.). We don't assign values to these parity bits yet. Note that the indexing convention used for Hamming ECC is different from what you are familiar with. In particular, the 1 index represents the MSB, and we index from left-to-right. The *i*th parity bit $P\{i\}$ covers the bits in the new bitstring where the *index* of the bit under the aforementioned convention, j, has a 1 at the same position as i when represented as binary. For instance, 4 is 0b100 in binary. The integers j that have a 1 in the same position when represented in binary are 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, etc. Therefore, P4 covers the bits at indices 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, etc. A visual representation of this is:

Bit position		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
Encoded da	ta bits	р1	p2	d1	p4	d2	d3	d4	р8	d5	d6	d7	d8	d9	d10	d11	p16	d12	d13	d14	d15	
Parity bit coverage	p1	X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		X		
	p2		X	X			X	X			X	X			X	X			X	X		
	p4				X	X	X	X					X	X	X	X					X	
	р8								X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X						
	p16																X	X	X	X	X	

Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hamming_code

 $\boxed{3.1}$ How many bits do we need to add to 0011_2 to allow single error correction?

m parity bits can cover bits 1 through $2^m - 1$, of which $2^m - m - 1$ are data bits. Thus, to cover 4 data bits, we need 3 parity bits.

3.2 Which locations in 0011₂ would parity bits be included?

Using P to represent parity bits: PP0P011₂

 $\boxed{3.3}$ Which bits does each parity bit cover in 0011_2 ?

```
Parity bit 1: 1, 3, 5, 7
Parity bit 2: 2, 3, 6, 7
Parity bit 3: 4, 5, 6, 7
```

3.4 Write the completed coded representation for 0011₂ to enable single error correction. Assume that we set the parity bits so that the bits they cover have even parity.

 1000011_2

[3.5] How can we enable an additional double error detection on top of this?

Add an additional parity bit over the entire sequence. If after correcting the message using the original Hamming code, we have a message whose total parity doesn't match the additional parity bit, then we have detected a double error.

3.6 Find the original bits given the following SEC Hamming Code: 0110111₂.

```
Parity group 1: error Parity group 2: okay Parity group 4: error To find the incorrect bit's index, we simply sum up the indices of all the erroneous bits. Incorrect bit: 1+4=5, change bit 5 from 1 to 0: 0110011_2 0110011_2 \rightarrow 1011_2
```

[3.7] Find the original bits given the following SEC Hamming Code: 1000100₂.

```
Parity group 1: okay
Parity group 2: okay
Parity group 4: error
Incorrect bit: 4, change bit 4 from 0 to 1: 1001100_2
1001100_2 \rightarrow 0100_2
```

4 RAID

4.1 Fill out the following table on how each RAID level lays out data for redundancy, as well as their pros and cons:

	Configuration	Pro/Good for	Con/Bad for
RAID 0	Split data across multiple disks	No overhead, fast read / write	Reliability
RAID 1	Mirrored Disks: Extra copy of data	Fast read / write, Fast recovery	$\begin{array}{c} \text{High overhead} \rightarrow \text{expensive} \\ \end{array}$
RAID 4	Block-level striping with single parity disk.	Higher throughput for small reads	Still slow small writes (A single check disk is a bottleneck)
RAID 5	Block-level striping, parity distributed across disks.	Higher throughput of small writes	The time to repair a disk is so long that another disk might fail in the meantime.
RAID 6	Block-level striping, parity distributed across disks with two parity blocks per set.	Supports up to two concurrent disk failures.	More overhead needed than RAID 5.

5 Warehouse Scale Computing

Sources speculate Google has over 1 million servers. Assume it has exactly 1 million servers, which draw an average of 200W each, the PUE is 1.5, and that Google pays an average of 6 cents per kilowatt-hour for data center electricity.

[5.1] Estimate Google's annual power bill for its data centers.

 $1.5 \cdot 10^6 \text{ servers} \cdot 0.2 \text{kW/server} \cdot \$0.06/\text{kW-hr} \cdot 8760 \text{ hrs/yr} \approx \157.68 M/year

5.2 Google reduced the PUE of a 50,000-machine data center from 1.5 to 1.25 without decreasing the power supplied to the servers. What's the cost savings per year?

 $PUE = \frac{\text{Total building power}}{\text{IT equipment power}} \implies Savings \propto (PUE_{old} - PUE_{new}) * \text{IT equipment power}$ $(1.5 - 1.25) \cdot 50000 \text{ servers} \cdot 0.2 \text{kW/server} \cdot \$0.06/\text{kW-hr} \cdot \$760 \text{hrs/yr} \approx \1.314 M/year