

EECS120: Signals and Systems Practice Final

The real final will obviously not be

a copy of this, but it will also have more overlap with the previous two midterms.

Some useful information for you to use:

Trig	$\cos(\theta + \phi) = \cos \theta \cos \phi - \sin \theta \sin \phi$	$\sin(\theta + \phi) = \cos \theta \sin \phi + \sin \theta \cos \phi$
CTFT	$X(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(t)e^{-j\omega t} dt$	$x(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} X(\omega)e^{+j\omega t} d\omega$
CTFS	$X_k = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T x(t)e^{-j\frac{2\pi k}{T}t} dt$	$x(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} X_k e^{+j\frac{2\pi k}{T}t}$
DTFT	$X(\omega) = \sum_{t=-\infty}^{+\infty} x[t]e^{-j\omega t}$	$x[t] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} X(\omega)e^{+j\omega t} d\omega$
DFT	$X_k = \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} x[t]e^{-j\frac{2\pi k}{T}t}$	$x[t] = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} X_k e^{+j\frac{2\pi k}{T}t}$

$$\text{Z-Transform } X(z) = \sum_{t=-\infty}^{+\infty} x[t]z^{-t}$$

$$\text{Laplace-Transform } X(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(t)e^{-st} dt$$

$$\text{sinc}(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi t)}{\pi t}$$

If $X(\omega) = 1$ for $\omega \in [-\frac{\pi}{T}, +\frac{\pi}{T}]$ and 0 otherwise, then $x(t) = \frac{1}{T} \text{sinc}(\frac{t}{T})$

If $x(t) = 1$ for $t \in [-\frac{T}{2}, +\frac{T}{2}]$ and 0 otherwise, then $X(\omega) = T \text{sinc}(\omega \frac{T}{2\pi})$.

If $y(t) = e^{j\omega_0 t} x(t)$, then $Y(\omega) = X(\omega - \omega_0)$.

If $x(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - kT)$, then $X(\omega) = \frac{2\pi}{T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(\omega - k\frac{2\pi}{T})$.

If $y(t) = e^{-at} x(t)$, then $Y(s) = X(s + a)$ with the RoC of Y given by translating the RoC of X by a in the complex plane as well.

If $X(s) = \frac{1}{s}$, then either $x(t) = u(t)$ with RoC $\{s | \text{Re}(s) > 0\}$ or $x(t) = -u(-t)$ with RoC $\{s | \text{Re}(s) < 0\}$.

For $n > 1$, if $X(s) = \frac{1}{s^n}$, then either $x(t) = \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} u(t)$ with RoC $\{s | \text{Re}(s) > 0\}$ or $x(t) = \frac{-t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} u(-t)$ with RoC $\{s | \text{Re}(s) < 0\}$.

If $y[t] = a^{-t} x[t]$, then $Y(z) = X(az)$ with the RoC of Y given by scaling the RoC of X by a in the complex plane as well.

If $X(z) = \frac{1}{z-1}$, then either $x[t] = u[t-1]$ with RoC $\{z | |z| > 1\}$ or $x[t] = -u[-t]$ with RoC $\{z | |z| < 1\}$.

For $n > 1$, if $X(z) = \frac{1}{(z-a)^n}$, then either $x[t] = \frac{a^{t-n} u[t-n]}{(n-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (t-k)$ with RoC $\{z | |z| > |a|\}$ or $x[t] = \frac{(-1)^n a^{t-n} u[-t]}{(n-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (k-t)$ with RoC $\{z | |z| < |a|\}$.

Problem 3.1 *Modeling and Feedback Control*

Justify your answers for full credit: use any combination of time-domain and transform-domain reasoning to show that your answer is correct.

- a. 10pts You are given a physical system that is modeled by the following differential equation:

$$\dot{y} = x$$

where x represents the input signal and y is the output signal.

What is the transfer function of the system?

- b. 20pts Given the system in part (a), please draw a pole-zero diagram illustrating the locations of any poles and/or zeros in the s -plane. What are the possible RoC and impulse responses?
- c. 10pts Assume now that the physical system is causal. Your goal is to have the output of the system approximately follow a slowly varying causally given signal $v(t)$ by designing a controller that you can place in feedback around the system. You are only allowed to use a single scalar gain K , an adder, and a subtracter.

Draw a block diagram of the total system including the original physical system as a sub-block. The input is v and the output is y . What are the values for K for which the total system is BIBO stable?

- d. 10pts For the cases where the combined system is BIBO stable, please give the CTFT for combined system and roughly sketch the magnitude response. Is the combined system causal?

Problem 3.2 *True/False. Do at least 2 of the following for full credit. Any more is extra credit.*

If the bold statement is true, give a proof for it. If the statement is false, show a counterexample or proof that it is false.

- a. 20pts Let $x(t)$ be a periodic continuous time signal that has period 1ms and is also bandlimited so that its CTFT $X(\omega) = 0$ for $|\omega| \geq 4000\pi$. **It is possible to reconstruct all of $x(t)$ from a finite number of samples.**
- b. 20pts Let $h[t]$ be a discrete time FIR signal that is nonzero only for $t \in [K_1, K_2]$ where $K_1 < K_2$ are both integers. **$H(z)$ exists and the RoC includes the unit circle.**
- c. 20pts **If $X(s)$ is a rational function of s , then there exists at least one possible RoC so that $X(s)$ is the Laplace transform of a signal $x(t)$ that has a CTFT.**

Problem 3.3 *Zero-order hold*

Let $x(t)$ be a bandlimited real signal which has $X(\omega) = 0$ for $|\omega| \geq 4000\pi$.

- a. 20pts Assume that your only access to $x(t)$ is through a discrete time signal $y[k] = x(kT_s)$ where T_s is given.

Express the DTFT $Y(\omega)$ in terms of the CTFT $X(\omega)$ and illustrate the following cases by plots:

1. $T_s > \frac{1}{4000}$
2. $T_s = \frac{1}{4000}$
3. $T_s < \frac{1}{4000}$

- b. 20pts Suppose $T_s = \frac{1}{8000}$. You now want to reconstruct $x(t)$ from $y[k]$, but all you have access to is a “zero-order-hold” DAC. (i.e. The output $\hat{x}(t) = y[k]$ for $t \in [(k - \frac{1}{2})T_h, (k + \frac{1}{2})T_h)$) The “hold time” T_h in this part is set to be the same as T_s .

Express the CTFT $\hat{X}(\omega)$ in terms of the CTFT $X(\omega)$ and illustrate with a plot.

- c. 20pts Suppose that the discrete time signal $y[k]$ was given as in part (b), but you were allowed additional blocks to help you get a better reconstruction of $x(t)$.

You are now allowed to adjust the hold time T_h as long as $T_h \geq \frac{1}{64000}$ and do any discrete time processing that you would like (e.g. $z[k] = \frac{y[\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor] + y[\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil]}{2}$ would be linearly interpolating between points to double the rate.) before sending the processed discrete time signal $z[k]$ into the zero-order hold.

Finally, you are permitted to apply a simple averaging continuous-time LTI filter to the output of the zero-order hold. This must have an impulse response of the form $h(t) = \frac{1}{T_a}$ for $t \in [-\frac{1}{2T_a}, +\frac{1}{2T_a}]$ and zero outside of that interval. You can adjust T_a as you like.

Use this to give an algorithm for a better reconstruction $\hat{x}(t)$ than you were able to get in part (b) and use time-domain and/or frequency domain arguments (with illustrative plots if desired) to argue why it is better and by how much.