## UC Berkeley Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences

#### EE126: PROBABILITY AND RANDOM PROCESSES

# Problem Set 5

Fall 2018

Issued: Thursday, September 20, 2018 Due: Wednesday, September 26, 2018

#### Problem 1. Midterm

Solve all of the problems on the midterm again (including the ones you got correct).

#### Problem 2. Revisiting Facts Using Transforms

- 1. Let  $X \sim Poisson(\lambda)$ ,  $Y \sim Poisson(\mu)$  be independent. Calculate the MGF of X + Y and use this to show that  $X + Y \sim Poisson(\lambda + \mu)$ .
- 2. Calculate the MGF of  $X \sim Exponential(\lambda)$  and use this to find all of the moments of X.
- 3. Repeat the above part, but for  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

#### Problem 3. Almost Sure Convergence

In this question, we will explore almost sure convergence and compare it to convergence in probability. Recall that a sequence of random variables  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges **almost surely** (abbreviated a.s.) to X if  $Pr(\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = X) = 1$ .

- 1. Suppose that, with probability 1, the sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  oscillates between two values  $a \neq b$  infinitely often. Is this enough to prove that  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not converge almost surely? Justify your answer.
- 2. Suppose that Y is uniform on [-1, 1], and  $X_n$  has distribution

$$\Pr(X_n = (y + n^{-1})^{-1} \mid Y = y) = 1.$$

Does  $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converge a.s.?

- 3. Define random variables  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in the following way: first, set each  $X_n$  to 0. Then, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , pick *j* uniformly randomly in  $\{2^k, \ldots, 2^{k+1} 1\}$  and set  $X_j = 2^k$ . Does the sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converge a.s.?
- 4. Does the sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from the previous part converge in probability to some X? If so, is it true that  $\mathbb{E}[X_n] \to \mathbb{E}[X]$  as  $n \to \infty$ ?

#### Problem 4. Confidence Interval Comparisons

In order to estimate the probability of a head in a coin flip, p, you flip a coin n times, where n is a positive integer, and count the number of heads,  $S_n$ . You use the estimator  $\hat{p} = S_n/n$ .

(a) You choose the sample size n to have a guarantee

$$\Pr(|\hat{p} - p| \ge \epsilon) \le \delta$$

Using Chebyshev Inequality, determine n with the following parameters:

- (i) Compare the value of n when  $\epsilon = 0.05$ ,  $\delta = 0.1$  to the value of n when  $\epsilon = 0.1, \delta = 0.1$ .
- (ii) Compare the value of n when  $\epsilon = 0.1, \delta = 0.05$  to the value of n when  $\epsilon = 0.1, \delta = 0.1$ .
- (b) Now, we change the scenario slightly. You know that  $p \in (0.4, 0.6)$  and would now like to determine the smallest n such that

$$\Pr\left(\frac{|\hat{p}-p|}{p} \le 0.05\right) \ge 0.95.$$

Use the CLT to find the value of n that you should use.

### Problem 5. A Chernoff Bound for the Sum of Coin Flips

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be i.i.d. Bernoulli(q) random variables with bias  $q \in (0, 1)$ , and call X their sum,  $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ , which a Binomial(n, q) random variable, with mean  $\mathbb{E}[X] = nq$ .

1. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $q + \epsilon < 1$ , and define  $p = q + \epsilon$ . Show that for any t > 0,

$$\Pr(X \ge pn) \le \exp\left(-n(tp - \ln \mathbb{E}[\exp^{tX_1}])\right).$$

2. The Kullback-Leibler divergence from the distribution Bernoulli(q) to the distribution Bernoulli(p), is defined as

$$D(p \parallel q) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} p \ln \frac{p}{q} + (1-p) \ln \frac{1-p}{1-q}.$$

The Kullback-Leibler divergence can be interpreted as a measure of how close the two distributions are. One motivation for this interpretation is that the Kullback-Leibler divergence is always nonnegative, i.e.  $D(p \parallel q) \ge 0$ , and  $D(p \parallel q) = 0$  if and only if p = q. So it can be thought of as a 'distance' between the two Bernoulli distributions.

Optimize the previous bound over t > 0 and deduce that

$$\Pr(X \ge pn) \le \exp^{-nD(p \parallel q)}.$$

3. Moreover, the Kullback-Leibler divergence is related to the square distance between the parameters p and q via the following inequality

$$D(p \parallel q) \ge 2(p-q)^2$$
, for  $p, q \in (0, 1)$ .

Use this inequality in order to deduce that

$$\Pr(X \ge (q+\epsilon)n) \le \exp^{-2n\epsilon^2},$$

and

$$\Pr(X \le (q - \epsilon)n) \le \exp^{-2n\epsilon^2}.$$

*Hint:* For the second bound use symmetry in order to avoid doing all the work again.

4. Conclude that

$$\Pr(|X - qn| \ge \epsilon n) \le 2 \exp^{-2n\epsilon^2}$$

Problem 6. Please complete the feedback form posted in Piazza.