

1. Mechanical Gram-Schmidt (Fall 2016 Final)

(a) Use Gram-Schmidt to find an orthonormal basis for the following three vectors.

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} \\ -\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} \\ 0 \\ -\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Answer:

A valid basis \mathcal{B} is given by:

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

(b) Express \vec{v}_1 , \vec{v}_2 , and \vec{v}_3 as vectors in the basis you found in part (a).

Answer:

Using the basis above:

$$[\vec{v}_1]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, [\vec{v}_2]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, [\vec{v}_3]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. Gram-Schmidt Properties

(a) If we are performing the Gram-Schmidt method on a set of vectors, does the order in which we take the vectors matter? Consider the following set of vectors.

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Perform Gram-Schmidt on these vectors first in the order $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ and then in the order $\vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_1$. Do you get the same answer?

Answer:

If we start with \vec{v}_1 , we get the basis vectors:

$$\vec{e}_1 = \vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{e}_2 = \vec{v}_2 - \frac{\langle \vec{e}_1, \vec{v}_2 \rangle}{\|\vec{e}_1\|^2} \vec{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{e}_3 = \vec{v}_3 - \frac{\langle \vec{e}_1, \vec{v}_3 \rangle}{\|\vec{e}_1\|^2} \vec{e}_1 - \frac{\langle \vec{e}_2, \vec{v}_3 \rangle}{\|\vec{e}_2\|^2} \vec{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

If we write the basis starting with \vec{v}_3 , we get the basis vectors:

$$\vec{e}_1 = \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{e}_2 = \vec{v}_2 - \frac{\langle \vec{e}_1, \vec{v}_2 \rangle}{\|\vec{e}_1\|^2} \vec{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{2}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{e}_3 = \vec{v}_1 - \frac{\langle \vec{e}_1, \vec{v}_1 \rangle}{\|\vec{e}_1\|^2} \vec{e}_1 - \frac{\langle \vec{e}_2, \vec{v}_1 \rangle}{\|\vec{e}_2\|^2} \vec{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Normalized, this is:

$$\vec{e}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{e}_2 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{e}_3 = \sqrt{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (b) What happens when we perform Gram-Schmidt on a set of n vectors $\{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \dots, \vec{a}_n\}$, where only $n-1$ of them are linearly independent?

Answer:

This can be explained geometrically. Remember that Gram-Schmidt is basically about finding the “error” vector between a vector and its projection onto a subspace. If the vector is already in the subspace, then the “error” vector is $\vec{0}$.

3. Orthonormal Projections

- (a) Suppose that the $n \times m$ matrix \mathbf{A} has linearly independent columns. The vector \vec{y} in \mathbb{R}^n is not in the subspace spanned by the columns of \mathbf{A} . Show that the projection of \vec{y} onto the subspace spanned by the columns of \mathbf{A} is $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \vec{y}$.

Answer:

When finding a projection onto a subspace, we’re trying to find the “closest” vector in that subspace. This can be found by first finding \vec{x} that minimizes $\|\vec{y} - \mathbf{A}\vec{x}\|$. From least squares, we know that $\vec{x} = (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \vec{y}$. The projection of \vec{y} onto the columns of \mathbf{A} is then $\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \vec{y}$.

- (b) Now suppose that we perform Gram-Schmidt on \mathbf{A} to get a new matrix \mathbf{Q} . Show that the projection of \vec{y} onto the subspace spanned by the columns of \mathbf{Q} is now $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^T \vec{y}$.

Answer:

Plugging in \mathbf{Q} for \mathbf{A} in the above expression, we get

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q})\mathbf{Q}^T \vec{y} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^T \vec{y}$$

Since we performed Gram-Schmidt on the columns of \mathbf{A} to get \mathbf{Q} , we know that \mathbf{Q} is a matrix with orthonormal columns, so $\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I}$.