

## 1. System Identification by Means of Least Squares

(a) Consider the scalar discrete-time system

system 
$$x[i+1] = ax[i] + bu[i] + w[i]$$
 (1)

Where the scalar state at timestep i is x[i], the input applied at timestep i is u[i] and w[i] represents some (small) external disturbance that also participated at timestep i (which we cannot predict or control, it's a purely random disturbance).

Assume that you have measurements for the states x[i] from i = 0 to  $\ell$  and also measurements for the controls u[i] from i = 0 to  $\ell - 1$ . Further assume  $\ell \geq 2$ .

Show that we can set up a linear system as in eq. (2) to find constants a and b. How do we solve this system?

$$\begin{bmatrix}
x[1] \\
x[2] \\
\vdots \\
x[\ell]
\end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix}
x[0] & u[0] \\
x[1] & u[1] \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
x[\ell-1] & u[\ell-1]
\end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{p}}$$
(2)

$$(x[0], u[0]) \longrightarrow x[1]$$

$$(x[1], u[1]) \longrightarrow x[2]$$

$$(x[1], u[1]) + [u[1] = x[2]$$

sum of squared errors

$$\| \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} \| = \left\{ e_1^2 + c_2^2 \right\} \| \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix} \|^2 = \left\{ e_1^2 + c_2^2 \right\}$$

$$= e_1^2 + c_2^2$$

p\* = (bTD) bTs

(b) What if there were now two distinct scalar inputs to a scalar system

$$x[i+1] = ax[i] + b_1u_1[i] + b_2u_2[i] + w[i]$$
(3)

and that we have measurements as before, but now also for both of the control inputs.

Set up a least-squares problem that you can solve to get an estimate of the unknown system parameters a,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ .

$$x(1) = ax(0) + b, u(10) + b_2 u_2(0)$$

$$= 0$$

$$x(1) = ax(0) + b, u(10) + b_2 u_2(0)$$

$$= 0$$

$$x(1) = ax(10) + b, u(10) + b_2 u_2(10)$$

$$= 0$$

$$x(1) = ax(10) + b, u(10) + b_2 u_2(10)$$

$$= 0$$

$$x(1) = ax(10) + b, u(10) + b_2 u_2(10)$$

$$= 0$$

$$x(1) = ax(10) + b, u(10) + b_2 u_2(10)$$

$$= 0$$

$$x(1) = ax(10) + b, u(10) + b, u(10)$$

$$= 0$$

$$x(1) = ax(10) + b, u(10) + b, u(10)$$

$$= 0$$

$$x(1) = 0$$

$$x(1) =$$

(c) What could go wrong in the previous case? For what kind of inputs would make least-squares fail to give you the parameters you want?

How can we treat this like two parallel problems to set this up using least-squares to get estimates for the unknown parameters  $a_{11}$ ,  $a_{12}$ ,  $a_{21}$ ,  $a_{22}$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ? Write the least squares solution in terms of your known matrices and vectors (including based on the labels you gave to various matrices/vectors in previous parts). *Hint: What work/computation can we reuse across the two problems*?

SCHNITZEL

EECS 16B Discussion 7B 2023-03-02 18:08:25-08:00

## **Contributors:**

- Anish Muthali.
- Neelesh Ramachandran.
- Anant Sahai.
- Regina Eckert.
- Kareem Ahmad.