

The following notes are useful for this discussion: [Note 9](#), [Discussion 2A](#), [Homework 04](#)

### 1. Translating System of Differential Equations from Continuous Time to Discrete Time

Oftentimes, we wish to apply controls model on a computer. However, modeling a continuous time system on a computer is a nontrivial problem. Hence, we turn to discretizing our controls problem. That is, we define a discretized state  $\vec{x}_d[i]$  and a discretized input  $\vec{u}_d[i]$  that we “sample” every  $\Delta$  seconds. The notion of discretization is very similar to the approach covered in [Discussion 2A](#).

(a) Consider the scalar system below:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \lambda x(t) + bu(t). \quad (1)$$

where  $x(t)$  is our state and  $u(t)$  is our control input. Let  $\lambda \neq 0$  be an arbitrary constant. Further suppose that our input  $u(t)$  is piecewise constant, and that  $x(t)$  is differentiable everywhere (and thus, continuous everywhere). That is, we define an interval  $t \in [i\Delta, (i+1)\Delta)$  such that  $u(t)$  is constant over this interval. Mathematically, we write this as

$$u(t) = u(i\Delta) = u_d[i] \text{ if } t \in [i\Delta, (i+1)\Delta). \quad (2)$$

The now-discretized input  $u_d[i]$  is the same as the original input where we only “observe” a change in  $u(t)$  every  $\Delta$  seconds. Similarly, for  $x(t)$ ,

$$x(t) = x(i\Delta) = x_d[i] \quad (3)$$

Let’s revisit the solution for eq. (1), when we’re given the initial conditions at  $t_0$ , i.e we know the value of  $x(t_0)$  and want to solve for  $x(t)$  at any time  $t \geq t_0$ :

$$x(t) = e^{\lambda(t-t_0)}x(t_0) + b \int_{t_0}^t u(\theta)e^{\lambda(t-\theta)} d\theta \quad (4)$$

**Given that we start at  $t = i\Delta$ , where  $x(t) = x_d[i]$  is known, and satisfy eq. (1), where do we end up at  $x_d[i+1]$ ? (HINT): Think about the initial condition here. Where does our solution “start”?**

- (b) Suppose we now have a continuous-time system of differential equations, that forms a vector differential equation. We express this with an input in vector form:

$$\frac{d\vec{x}(t)}{dt} = A\vec{x}(t) + \vec{b}u(t) \quad (5)$$

where  $\vec{x}(t)$  is  $n$ -dimensional. Suppose further that the matrix  $A$  has distinct and non-zero eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ , with corresponding eigenvectors  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ . We collect the eigenvectors together and form the matrix  $V = [\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n]$ .

We now wish to find a matrix  $A_d$  and a vector  $\vec{b}_d$  such that

$$\vec{x}_d[i+1] = A_d\vec{x}_d[i] + \vec{b}_d u_d[i] \quad (6)$$

where  $\vec{x}_d[i] = \vec{x}(i\Delta)$ .

Firstly, define terms

$$e^{\Lambda\Delta} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1\Delta} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & e^{\lambda_n\Delta} \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

$$\Lambda^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

$$\vec{u}_d[i] = V^{-1}\vec{b}u_d[i] \quad (9)$$

Note that the term  $e^{\Lambda\Delta}$  is just a label for our intents and purposes — this is not the same as applying  $e^x$  to every element in the matrix  $\Lambda$ .

**Complete the following steps to derive a discretized system:**

- i. **Diagonalize the continuous time system using a change of variables (change of basis) to achieve a new system for  $\vec{y}(t)$ .**
- ii. **Solve the diagonalized system. Remember that we only want a solution over the interval  $t \in [i\Delta, (i+1)\Delta)$ . Use the value at  $t = i\Delta$  as your initial condition.**
- iii. **Discretize the diagonalized system to obtain  $\vec{y}_d[i]$ . Show that**

$$\vec{y}_d[i+1] = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1\Delta} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & e^{\lambda_n\Delta} \end{bmatrix}}_{e^{\Lambda\Delta}} \vec{y}_d[i] + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{e^{\lambda_1\Delta}-1}{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{e^{\lambda_n\Delta}-1}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} \vec{u}_d[i] \quad (10)$$

Then, show that the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{e^{\lambda_1\Delta}-1}{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{e^{\lambda_n\Delta}-1}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix}$  can be compactly written as  $\Lambda^{-1}(e^{\Lambda\Delta} - I)$ .

- iv. **Undo the change of variables on the discretized diagonal system to get the discretized solution of the original system.**

(c) Consider the discrete-time system

$$\vec{x}_d[i+1] = A_d \vec{x}_d[i] + \vec{b}_d u_d[i] \quad (11)$$

Suppose that  $\vec{x}_d[0] = \vec{x}_0$ . **Unroll the implicit recursion and show that the solution follows the form in eq. (12).**

$$\vec{x}_d[i] = A_d^i \vec{x}_d[0] + \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} u_d[j] A_d^{i-1-j} \right) \vec{b}_d \quad (12)$$

You may want to verify that this guess works by checking the form of  $\vec{x}_d[i+1]$ . You don't need to worry about what  $A_d$  and  $\vec{b}_d$  actually are in terms of the original parameters.

*(Hint: If we have a scalar difference equation, how would you solve the recurrence? Try writing  $\vec{x}_d[i]$  in terms of  $\vec{x}_d[0]$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and look for a pattern.)*

**Contributors:**

- Anish Muthali.
- Neelesh Ramachandran.
- Druv Pai.
- Anant Sahai.
- Nikhil Shinde.
- Sanjit Batra.
- Aditya Arun.
- Kuan-Yun Lee.
- Kumar Krishna Agrawal.