

The following notes are useful for this discussion: [Note 9](#), [Discussion 2A](#), [Homework 04](#)

### 1. Translating System of Differential Equations from Continuous Time to Discrete Time

Oftentimes, we wish to apply controls model on a computer. However, modeling a continuous time system on a computer is a nontrivial problem. Hence, we turn to discretizing our controls problem. That is, we define a discretized state  $\vec{x}_d[i]$  and a discretized input  $\vec{u}_d[i]$  that we “sample” every  $\Delta$  seconds. The notion of discretization is very similar to the approach covered in [Discussion 2A](#).

(a) Consider the scalar system below:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \lambda x(t) + bu(t). \quad (1)$$

where  $x(t)$  is our state and  $u(t)$  is our control input. Let  $\lambda \neq 0$  be an arbitrary constant. Further suppose that our input  $u(t)$  is piecewise constant, and that  $x(t)$  is differentiable everywhere (and thus, continuous everywhere). That is, we define an interval  $t \in [i\Delta, (i+1)\Delta)$  such that  $u(t)$  is constant over this interval. Mathematically, we write this as

$$u(t) = u(i\Delta) = u_d[i] \text{ if } t \in [i\Delta, (i+1)\Delta). \quad (2)$$

The now-discretized input  $u_d[i]$  is the same as the original input where we only “observe” a change in  $u(t)$  every  $\Delta$  seconds. Similarly, for  $x(t)$ ,

$$x(t) = x(i\Delta) = x_d[i] \quad (3)$$

Let’s revisit the solution for eq. (1), when we’re given the initial conditions at  $t_0$ , i.e we know the value of  $x(t_0)$  and want to solve for  $x(t)$  at any time  $t \geq t_0$ :

$$x(t) = e^{\lambda(t-t_0)}x(t_0) + b \int_{t_0}^t u(\theta)e^{\lambda(t-\theta)} d\theta \quad (4)$$

**Given that we start at  $t = i\Delta$ , where  $x(t) = x_d[i]$  is known, and satisfy eq. (1), where do we end up at  $x_d[i+1]$ ? (HINT): Think about the initial condition here. Where does our solution “start”?**

**Solution:** For  $t \in [i\Delta, (i+1)\Delta)$ , the differential equation takes the form

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \lambda x(t) + bu(t) = \lambda x(t) + bu_d[i] \quad (5)$$

where we choose our initial condition to be  $x(i\Delta) = x_d[i]$ , since this is a known quantity. We can solve this equation for  $x(t)$  using the integral equation from eq. (4) and the fact that  $u_d[i]$  is a constant value over this interval. In particular, we get the following form

$$x(t) = e^{\lambda(t-i\Delta)} \underbrace{x(i\Delta)}_{x_d[i]} + b \int_{i\Delta}^t \underbrace{u(i\Delta)}_{u_d[i]} e^{\lambda(t-\theta)} d\theta \quad (6)$$

$$= e^{\lambda(t-i\Delta)}x_d[i] + bu_d[i] \int_{i\Delta}^t e^{\lambda(t-\theta)} d\theta \quad (7)$$

Plugging in the timestep of interest, we set  $t = (i + 1)\Delta$ , to evaluate  $x_d[i + 1]$  as

$$x_d[i + 1] = x((i + 1)\Delta) \quad (8)$$

$$= e^{\lambda\Delta} x_d[i] + bu_d[i] \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} e^{\lambda((i+1)\Delta-\theta)} d\theta \quad (9)$$

$$= e^{\lambda\Delta} x_d[i] + bu_d[i] \frac{e^{\lambda\Delta} - e^0}{\lambda} \quad (10)$$

$$= e^{\lambda\Delta} x_d[i] + bu_d[i] \frac{e^{\lambda\Delta} - 1}{\lambda} \quad (11)$$

which gives us the solution for  $x_d[i + 1]$ .

- (b) Suppose we now have a continuous-time system of differential equations, that forms a vector differential equation. We express this with an input in vector form:

$$\frac{d\vec{x}(t)}{dt} = A\vec{x}(t) + \vec{b}u(t) \quad (12)$$

where  $\vec{x}(t)$  is  $n$ -dimensional. Suppose further that the matrix  $A$  has distinct and non-zero eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ , with corresponding eigenvectors  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ . We collect the eigenvectors together and form the matrix  $V = [\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n]$ .

We now wish to find a matrix  $A_d$  and a vector  $\vec{b}_d$  such that

$$\vec{x}_d[i + 1] = A_d \vec{x}_d[i] + \vec{b}_d u_d[i] \quad (13)$$

where  $\vec{x}_d[i] = \vec{x}(i\Delta)$ .

Firstly, define terms

$$e^{\Lambda\Delta} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1\Delta} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & e^{\lambda_n\Delta} \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

$$\Lambda^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

$$\vec{u}_d[i] = V^{-1} \vec{b}_d u_d[i] \quad (16)$$

Note that the term  $e^{\Lambda\Delta}$  is just a label for our intents and purposes — this is not the same as applying  $e^x$  to every element in the matrix  $\Lambda$ .

**Complete the following steps to derive a discretized system:**

- i. **Diagonalize the continuous time system using a change of variables (change of basis) to achieve a new system for  $\vec{y}(t)$ .**
- ii. **Solve the diagonalized system. Remember that we only want a solution over the interval  $t \in [i\Delta, (i + 1)\Delta)$ . Use the value at  $t = i\Delta$  as your initial condition.**

iii. Discretize the diagonalized system to obtain  $\vec{y}_d[i]$ . Show that

$$\vec{y}_d[i+1] = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 \Delta} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & e^{\lambda_n \Delta} \end{bmatrix}}_{e^{\Lambda \Delta}} \vec{y}_d[i] + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{e^{\lambda_1 \Delta} - 1}{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{e^{\lambda_n \Delta} - 1}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} \vec{u}_d[i] \quad (17)$$

Then, show that the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{e^{\lambda_1 \Delta} - 1}{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{e^{\lambda_n \Delta} - 1}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix}$  can be compactly written as  $\Lambda^{-1}(e^{\Lambda \Delta} - I)$ .

iv. Undo the change of variables on the discretized diagonal system to get the discretized solution of the original system.

**Solution:**

- i. First, following the hint, we notice that with a full set of distinct eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors, we can change coordinates so that  $\vec{x}(t) = V\vec{y}(t)$  and  $\vec{y}(t) = V^{-1}\vec{x}(t)$ . Using this transformation we diagonalize the system of differential equations, i.e

$$\frac{d\vec{x}(t)}{dt} = A\vec{x}(t) + \vec{b}u(t) \quad (18)$$

$$\implies \frac{dV\vec{y}(t)}{dt} = AV\vec{y}(t) + \vec{b}u(t) \quad (19)$$

$$\therefore \frac{d\vec{y}(t)}{dt} = V^{-1}AV\vec{y}(t) + V^{-1}\vec{b}u(t) \quad (20)$$

Note that using the basis of eigenvectors  $V$ , we've diagonalized  $A$  to get  $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$

$$\therefore \frac{d\vec{y}(t)}{dt} = \Lambda\vec{y}(t) + V^{-1}\vec{b}u(t) \quad (21)$$

- ii. Now, we can use the fact that we care about the solution for  $\vec{y}(t)$  over the interval  $t \in (i\Delta, (i+1)\Delta]$ , so  $u(t)$  is a constant. Thus, we can write eq. (21) as follows:

$$\frac{d\vec{y}(t)}{dt} = \Lambda\vec{y}(t) + \underbrace{V^{-1}\vec{b}u_d[i]}_{\vec{u}_d[i]} \quad (22)$$

Notice that this system is diagonal (and hence we can write it as a system of  $n$  differential equations). We can look at the  $k$ th differential equation. We will use the subscripting notation  $(\vec{y}(t))_k$  and  $(\vec{u}_d[i])_k$  to denote the  $k$ th element of  $\vec{y}(t)$  and  $\vec{u}_d[i]$  respectively:

$$\frac{d(\vec{y}(t))_k}{dt} = \lambda_k(\vec{y}(t))_k + (\vec{u}_d[i])_k \quad (23)$$

We can pattern match to the solution in eq. (7), setting  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_k$ ,  $u_d[i] \rightarrow \left(\tilde{u}_d[i]\right)_k$ ,  $b \rightarrow 1$ , and  $x(t) \rightarrow (\tilde{y}(t))_k$ , to get

$$(\tilde{y}(t))_k = e^{\lambda_k(t-i\Delta)}(\tilde{y}(i\Delta))_k + (\tilde{u}_d[i])_k \int_{i\Delta}^t e^{\lambda_k(t-\theta)} d\theta \quad (24)$$

for  $t \in (i\Delta, (i+1)\Delta]$ .

iii. Now, we want to find  $(\tilde{y}_d[i+1])_k = (\tilde{y}((i+1)\Delta))_k$ , so we can plug in for  $t = (i+1)\Delta$  in eq. (24) and we will get

$$(\tilde{y}_d[i+1])_k = (\tilde{y}((i+1)\Delta))_k = e^{\lambda_k\Delta}(\tilde{y}(i\Delta))_k + \left(\frac{e^{\lambda_k\Delta} - 1}{\lambda_k}\right) (\tilde{u}_d[i])_k \quad (25)$$

Since we have a solution for the  $k$ th differential equation in the system, we can arrange all the differential equations in this system in matrix form as follows:

$$\underbrace{\tilde{y}((i+1)\Delta)}_{\tilde{y}_d[i+1]} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1\Delta} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & e^{\lambda_n\Delta} \end{bmatrix}}_{e^{\Lambda\Delta}} \underbrace{\tilde{y}(i\Delta)}_{\tilde{y}_d[i]} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{e^{\lambda_1\Delta}-1}{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{e^{\lambda_n\Delta}-1}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} \tilde{u}_d[i] \quad (26)$$

Using the notation in the hint, we can write the second matrix in eq. (26) as:<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{e^{\lambda_1\Delta}-1}{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{e^{\lambda_n\Delta}-1}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{e^{\lambda_1\Delta}}{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{e^{\lambda_n\Delta}}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{-1}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (27)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1\Delta} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & e^{\lambda_n\Delta} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

$$= \Lambda^{-1}e^{\Lambda\Delta} - \Lambda^{-1}I \quad (29)$$

$$= \Lambda^{-1}(e^{\Lambda\Delta} - I) \quad (30)$$

This gives us

$$\tilde{y}_d[i+1] = \tilde{y}((i+1)\Delta) = e^{\Lambda\Delta} \underbrace{\tilde{y}(i\Delta)}_{\tilde{y}_d[i]} + \Lambda^{-1}(e^{\Lambda\Delta} - I) \tilde{u}_d[i] \quad (31)$$

<sup>1</sup>In a matrix product, if both matrices are diagonal, the product is commutative.

- iv. Recall that  $\vec{x}(t) = V\vec{y}(t)$  so  $\vec{x}_d[i] = \vec{x}(i\Delta) = V\vec{y}(i\Delta) = V\vec{y}_d[i]$ , and likewise,  $\vec{y}_d[i] = V^{-1}\vec{x}_d[i]$ . Using this form in the simplification, we find that:

$$\vec{x}_d[i+1] = V\vec{y}_d[i+1] \quad (32)$$

$$= V\left(e^{\Lambda\Delta}\vec{y}_d[i] + \Lambda^{-1}\left(e^{\Lambda\Delta} - I\right)\vec{u}_d[i]\right) \quad (33)$$

$$= \left(Ve^{\Lambda\Delta}V^{-1}\right)\vec{x}_d[i] + \left(V\Lambda^{-1}\left(e^{\Lambda\Delta} - I\right)\right)\vec{u}_d[i] \quad (34)$$

Now, recall that our original goal was to write out  $A_d$  and  $\vec{b}_d$ , and we can do that now with our expression. Re-substituting  $\vec{u}_d[i] = V^{-1}\vec{b}_d u_d[i]$  we have:

$$\vec{x}_d[i+1] = \left(Ve^{\Lambda\Delta}V^{-1}\right)\vec{x}_d[i] + \left(V\Lambda^{-1}\left(e^{\Lambda\Delta} - I\right)\right)V^{-1}\vec{b}_d u_d[i] \quad (35)$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(Ve^{\Lambda\Delta}V^{-1}\right)}_{A_d}\vec{x}_d[i] + \underbrace{\left(V\Lambda^{-1}\left(e^{\Lambda\Delta} - I\right)V^{-1}\vec{b}_d\right)}_{\vec{b}_d}u_d[i] \quad (36)$$

- (c) Consider the discrete-time system

$$\vec{x}_d[i+1] = A_d\vec{x}_d[i] + \vec{b}_d u_d[i] \quad (37)$$

Suppose that  $\vec{x}_d[0] = \vec{x}_0$ . **Unroll the implicit recursion and show that the solution follows the form in eq. (38).**

$$\vec{x}_d[i] = A_d^i\vec{x}_d[0] + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} u_d[j]A_d^{i-1-j}\right)\vec{b}_d \quad (38)$$

You may want to verify that this guess works by checking the form of  $\vec{x}_d[i+1]$ . You don't need to worry about what  $A_d$  and  $\vec{b}_d$  actually are in terms of the original parameters.

(Hint: If we have a scalar difference equation, how would you solve the recurrence? Try writing  $\vec{x}_d[i]$  in terms of  $\vec{x}_d[0]$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and look for a pattern.)

**Solution:** Here, we derive the unrolled recursion and make a guess at the form of the solution in summation notation. Let's look at the pattern starting with  $\vec{x}_d[1]$ , given that  $\vec{x}_d[i+1] = A_d\vec{x}_d[i] + \vec{b}_d u_d[i]$ ,

$$\vec{x}_d[1] = A_d\vec{x}_d[0] + \vec{b}_d u_d[0] \quad (39)$$

$$\vec{x}_d[2] = A_d\vec{x}_d[1] + \vec{b}_d u_d[1] \quad (40)$$

$$= A_d(A_d\vec{x}_d[0] + \vec{b}_d u_d[0]) + \vec{b}_d u_d[1] \quad (41)$$

$$= A_d^2\vec{x}_d[0] + A_d\vec{b}_d u_d[0] + \vec{b}_d u_d[1] \quad (42)$$

$$\vec{x}_d[3] = A_d\vec{x}_d[2] + \vec{b}_d u_d[2] \quad (43)$$

$$= A_d\left(A_d^2\vec{x}_d[0] + A_d\vec{b}_d u_d[0] + \vec{b}_d u_d[1]\right) + \vec{b}_d u_d[2] \quad (44)$$

$$= A_d^3\vec{x}_d[0] + A_d^2\vec{b}_d u_d[0] + A_d\vec{b}_d u_d[1] + \vec{b}_d u_d[2] \quad (45)$$

So, given this pattern, if we guess:

$$\vec{x}_d[i] = A_d^i\vec{x}_d[0] + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} u_d[j]A_d^{i-1-j}\right)\vec{b}_d \quad (46)$$

Then, let's see what we get for  $\vec{x}_d[i+1]$ , and make sure our guess is correct:

$$\vec{x}_d[i+1] = A_d \vec{x}_d[i] + \vec{b}_d u_d[i] \quad (47)$$

$$= A_d \left( A_d^i \vec{x}_d[0] + \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} u_d[j] A_d^{i-1-j} \right) \vec{b}_d \right) + \vec{b}_d u_d[i] \quad (48)$$

$$= A_d^{i+1} \vec{x}_d[0] + \left( \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} u_d[j] A_d^{i-j} \right) + u_d[i] \right) \vec{b}_d \quad (49)$$

$$= A_d^{i+1} \vec{x}_d[0] + \left( \sum_{j=0}^i u_d[j] A_d^{i-j} \right) \vec{b}_d \quad (50)$$

This satisfies (46), for  $i+1$  and hence our guess was correct!

**Contributors:**

- Anish Muthali.
- Neelesh Ramachandran.
- Druv Pai.
- Anant Sahai.
- Nikhil Shinde.
- Sanjit Batra.
- Aditya Arun.
- Kuan-Yun Lee.
- Kumar Krishna Agrawal.