

EE C222/ME C237 - Spring'18 - Lecture 12 Notes¹

Murat Arcak

February 28 2018

¹ Licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

Linear Time-Varying Systems

Khalil Section 4.6, Sastry Section 5.7

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x \quad x(t) = \Phi(t, t_0)x(t_0) \quad (1)$$

- The state transition matrix $\Phi(t, t_0)$ satisfies the equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(t, t_0) = A(t)\Phi(t, t_0) \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \Phi(t, t_0) = -\Phi(t, t_0)A(t_0) \quad (3)$$

- No eigenvalue test for stability in the time-varying case:

$$A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 + 1.5 \cos^2 t & 1 - 1.5 \sin t \cos t \\ -1 - 1.5 \sin t \cos t & -1 + 1.5 \sin^2 t \end{bmatrix}$$

eigenvalues: $-0.25 \mp i0.25\sqrt{7}$ for all t , but unstable:

$$\Phi(t, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{0.5t} \cos t & e^{-t} \sin t \\ e^{-0.5t} \sin t & e^{-t} \cos t \end{bmatrix}$$

- For linear systems uniform asymptotic stability is equivalent to uniform exponential stability:

Theorem²: $x = 0$ is uniformly asymptotically stable if and only if

² Khalil Thm. 4.11, Sastry Thm. 5.33

$$\|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq ke^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} \text{ for some } k > 0, \lambda > 0.$$

- Last lecture: $V(t, x) = x^T P(t)x$ proves uniform exp. stability if

$$(i) \quad \dot{P}(t) + A^T(t)P(t) + P(t)A(t) = -Q(t)$$

$$(ii) \quad 0 < k_1 I \leq P(t) \leq k_2 I$$

$$(iii) \quad 0 < k_3 I \leq Q(t) \text{ for all } t.$$

The converse is also true:

Theorem: Suppose $x = 0$ is uniformly exponentially stable, $A(t)$ is continuous and bounded, $Q(t)$ is continuous and symmetric, and there exist $k_3, k_4 > 0$ such that

$$0 < k_3 I \leq Q(t) \leq k_4 I \text{ for all } t.$$

Then, there exists a symmetric $P(t)$ satisfying (i)–(ii) above.

Proof:

$$\text{Time-invariant: } P = \int_0^{\infty} e^{A^T \tau} Q e^{A \tau} d\tau$$

$$\text{Time-varying: } P(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \Phi^T(\tau, t) Q(\tau) \Phi(\tau, t) d\tau$$

Using the Leibniz rule, property (3), and $\Phi(t, t) = I$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P}(t) &= \int_t^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi^T(\tau, t) Q(\tau) \Phi(\tau, t) + \Phi^T(\tau, t) Q(\tau) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(\tau, t) \right) d\tau \\ &\quad - \Phi^T(t, t) Q(t) \Phi(t, t) \\ &= \int_t^{\infty} \left(-A^T(t) \Phi^T(\tau, t) Q(\tau) \Phi(\tau, t) - \Phi^T(\tau, t) Q(\tau) \Phi(\tau, t) A(t) \right) d\tau \\ &\quad - \Phi^T(t, t) Q(t) \Phi(t, t) \\ &= -A^T(t) P(t) - P(t) A(t) - Q(t). \end{aligned}$$

Lyapunov-based Feedback Design Examples

Model Reference Adaptive Control

Illustrated on a first order system:

$$\dot{y} = a^* y + u \quad (4)$$

where a^* is unknown.

Reference model:

$$\dot{y}_m = -a y_m + r(t) \quad a > 0, r(t) : \text{reference signal.} \quad (5)$$

Goal: Design a controller that guarantees $y(t) - y_m(t) \rightarrow 0$ without the knowledge of a^* .

If we knew a^* , we would choose:

$$u = -\underbrace{(a^* + a)}_{=: k^*} y + r(t) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{y} = -a y + r(t).$$

The tracking error $e(t) := y(t) - y_m(t)$ then satisfies:

$$\dot{e} = -a e \Rightarrow e(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ exponentially.}$$

Adaptive design when a^* (therefore, k^*) is unknown:

$$u = -k(t)y + r(t)$$

where $\dot{k}(t)$ is to be designed. Then: $\dot{e} = -a e - \underbrace{(k(t) - k^*)}_{=: \tilde{k}(t)} y$.

Use the Lyapunov function: $V = \frac{1}{2}e^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{k}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= -ae^2 - \tilde{k}ey + \tilde{k}\dot{\tilde{k}} \\ &= -ae^2 + \tilde{k}(\dot{\tilde{k}} - ey).\end{aligned}$$

Note $\dot{\tilde{k}} = \dot{k}$ and choose $\dot{k} = ey$ so that $\dot{V} = -ae^2$.

This guarantees stability of $(e, \tilde{k}) = (0, 0)$ and boundedness of $(e(t), \tilde{k}(t))$ since the level sets of $V = \frac{1}{2}e^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{k}^2$ are positively invariant. In addition, if $r(t)$ is bounded, then $y_m(t)$ in (5) is bounded, and so is $y(t) = y_m(t) + e(t)$. Then we can apply the Theorem from Lecture 11, page 3, to the time-varying model

$$\dot{e} = -ae - y(t)\tilde{k}, \quad \dot{\tilde{k}} = y(t)e,$$

and conclude from $\dot{V} = -ae^2$ that $e(t) \rightarrow 0$.

Whether $\tilde{k}(t) \rightarrow 0$ ($k(t) \rightarrow k^*$) depends on further properties of the reference signal $r(\cdot)$ that are beyond the scope of this lecture.

Backstepping

Khalil (Sec. 14.3), Sastry (Sec. 6.8)

Feedback stabilization: Given the system

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u \quad (6)$$

with input u , design a control law $u = \alpha(x)$ such that $x = 0$ is asymptotically stable for the closed-loop system:

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)\alpha(x).$$

Backstepping is a technique that simplifies this task for a class of systems.

Suppose a stabilizing feedback $u = \alpha(X)$ is available for:

$$\dot{X} = F(X) + G(X)u \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \in \mathbb{R}$$

and suppose the closed-loop system admits a Lyapunov function $V(X)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial X} (F(X) + G(X)\alpha(X)) \leq -W(X) < 0 \quad \forall X \neq 0.$$

Can we modify $\alpha(X)$ to stabilize the augmented system below?

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{X} &= F(X) + G(X)x \\ \dot{x} &= u.\end{aligned}$$

Define the error variable $z = x - \alpha(X)$ and change variables:

$(X, x) \rightarrow (X, z)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{X} &= F(X) + G(X)\alpha(X) + G(X)z \\ \dot{z} &= u - \dot{\alpha}(X, z)\end{aligned}$$

where $\dot{\alpha}(X, z) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial X} (F(X) + G(X)\alpha(X) + G(X)z)$. Take the new Lyapunov function:

$$\begin{aligned}V_+(X, z) &= V(X) + \frac{1}{2}z^2. \\ \dot{V}_+ &= \underbrace{\frac{\partial V}{\partial X} (F(X) + G(X)\alpha(X))}_{\leq -W(X)} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial V}{\partial X} G(X)z + z(u - \dot{\alpha})}_{= z(u - \dot{\alpha} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} G(X))}\end{aligned}$$

Let: $u = \dot{\alpha} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} G(X) - kz, \quad k > 0.$

Then, $\dot{V}_+ \leq -W(X) - kz^2 \Rightarrow (X, z) = 0$ is asymptotically stable.

Example:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= x_1^2 + x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= u.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

Treat x_2 as "virtual" control input for the x_1 -subsystem:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(x_1) &= -k_1 x_1 - x_1^2 \quad k_1 > 0 \\ V_1(x_1) &= \frac{1}{2} x_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

Apply backstepping:

$$\begin{aligned}z_2 &= x_2 - \alpha(x_1) = x_2 + k_1 x_1 + x_1^2 \\ \dot{z}_2 &= u - \dot{\alpha} \\ u &= \dot{\alpha} - \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x_1} - k_2 z_2, \quad k_2 > 0 \\ &= \underbrace{-(k_1 + 2x_1)(x_1^2 + x_2)}_{= \dot{\alpha}} - \underbrace{x_1}_{= \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x_1}} - k_2 \underbrace{(x_2 + k_1 x_1 + x_1^2)}_{= z_2}.\end{aligned}$$