## Fractional Factorials

The concept of design Resolution

What if we need to clean the reactor every four runs?

| Run | P | T | F | PT | PF | TF | PTF | block |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | - | - | - | $\mathbf{+}$ | + | + | - | II |
| 2 | + | - | - | - | - | + | + | II |
| 3 | - | + | - | - | + | - | + | II |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | + | + | - | + | - | - | - | I |
| 5 | - | - | + | + | - | - | + | II |
| 6 | + | - | + | - | + | - | - | I |
| 7 | - | + | + | - | - | + | - | I |
| 8 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | II |

This block will bias the PTF interaction. The generator is $\mathbf{4 = 1 2 3}$.

## A simple Example in Blocking

- Do 4 experiments, at 2 levels of $b$, and estimate the effects of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$



## Blocking

What if we need to clean the reactor every two runs?

| Run | P | T | F |  | T PF | TF |  | PTF | $\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ | block |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\bigcirc$ |  | - | + | + |  |  |  | ${ }^{-}+$ |  |
| 2 | + | - | - | - | - | + |  | + | + + | IV |
| 3 | - | + | - | - | + | - |  | + | + - | III |
| 4 | + | + | - | + | - | - |  | - |  | I |
| 5 | - | - | + | + | - | - |  | + |  | III |
| 6 | + | - | + | - | + | - |  | - | - - | I |
| 7 | - | + | + | - |  |  |  | - | - + | II |
| 8 | + | + | + | + | + | + |  | + |  | IV |

This will bias the PTF, TF interactions but also the P effect!!
We used two generators: $\quad 4=123\left(b_{1}\right) \quad 5=23\left(b_{2}\right)$

$$
45=123 \times 23=12233=1 \mathrm{II}=1
$$

## Blocking

For two runs/block (4 blocks) better to use this blocking:

| Run |  | T | F |  | PF |  |  | PTF | $b_{1} b_{2}$ | blck |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | - | + | + | + |  |  | + + | IV |
| 2 | + | - | - | - | - | + |  | + | - - |  |
| 3 |  | + | - | - | + | - |  | + | - + |  |
| 4 | + | + | - | + | - | - |  |  | + | III |
| 5 | - | - | + | + | - | - |  | + | + - | III |
| 6 | + | - | + | - | + | - |  | - | + |  |
| 7 | - | + | + | - | - |  |  | - | - - |  |
| $8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This blocking will bias the PT, PF and TF We used two generators:
$4=12\left(b_{1}\right) \quad 5=13\left(b_{2}\right) \quad 45=12 \times 13=123=23$
The main effects are still recognizable!

## Examples on Blocking

- $2^{4}$ design ( 16 runs) in 2 blocks of 8 runs:
- Need one generator: I = 12345 (i.e. block=5)

| Run | A | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | block |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 2 | + | - | - |  |  |
| 3 | - | - | - |  |  |
| 4 | + | + | - |  |  |
| 5 | - | + | - |  |  |
| 6 | + | + | - |  |  |
| 7 | - | + | + | - |  |
| 8 | + | + | + | - |  |
| 9 | - | - | + |  |  |
| 10 | + | - | + |  |  |
| 11 | - | + | + |  |  |
| 13 | + | + | + |  |  |
| 14 | - | - | + |  |  |
| 15 | + | + | + |  |  |
| 16 | + | + | + |  |  |
| 16 | + |  |  |  |  |

## Examples on Blocking

- $2^{4}$ design (16 runs) in 4 blocks of 4 runs:
- Need two generators: $B_{1}=124, B_{2}=134$

| Run | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{B 1}$ | $\mathbf{B 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 2 | + | - | - | - |  |  |
| 3 | - | + | - | - |  |  |
| 4 | + | + | - | - |  |  |
| 5 | - | - | + | - |  |  |
| 6 | + | - | + | - |  |  |
| 7 | - | + | + | - |  |  |
| 8 | + | + | + | - |  |  |
| 9 | - | - | - | + |  |  |
| 10 | + | - | - | + |  |  |
| 11 | - | + | - | + |  |  |
| 12 | + | + | - | + |  |  |
| 13 | - | - | + | + |  |  |
| 14 | + | - | + | + |  |  |
| 15 | - | + | + | + |  |  |

Can we measure the effect of the added variable?


Large Experiments have many insignificant Effects...
 significant conflicts...
relationship between column pairs
confounding pattern
estimate

| $1=2345$ | $l_{1} \rightarrow 1+2345$ | $l_{1}=-2.0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2=1345$ | $l_{2} \rightarrow 2+1345$ | $l_{2}=20.5$ |
| $3=1245$ | $l_{3} \rightarrow 3+1245$ | $l_{3}=0.0$ |
| $4=1235$ | $l_{4} \rightarrow 4+1235$ | $l_{4}=12.25$ |
| $5=1234$ | $l_{5} \rightarrow 5+1234$ | $l_{5}=-6.25$ |
| $12=345$ | $l_{12} \rightarrow 12+345$ | $l_{12}=1.5$ |
| $13=245$ | $l_{13} \rightarrow 13+245$ | $l_{13}=0.5$ |
| $14=235$ | $l_{14} \rightarrow 14+235$ | $l_{14}=-0.75$ |
| $15=234$ | $l_{15} \rightarrow 15+234$ | $l_{15}=1.25$ |
| $23=145$ | $l_{23} \rightarrow 23+145$ | $l_{23}=1.5$ |
| $24=135$ | $l_{24} \rightarrow 24+135$ | $l_{24}=10.75$ |
| $25=134$ | $l_{25} \rightarrow 25+134$ | $l_{25}=1.25$ |
| $34=125$ | $l_{34} \rightarrow 34+125$ | $l_{34}=0.25$ |
| $35=124$ | $l_{35} \rightarrow 35+124$ | $l_{35}=2.25$ |
| $45=123$ | $I_{45} \rightarrow 45+123$ | $l_{45}=-9.50$ |
| $(\mathrm{I}=12345)$ | $\left[l_{\mathrm{I}} \rightarrow\right.$ average $\left.+\frac{1}{2}(12345)\right]$ | $\left(l_{\mathrm{I}}=65.25\right)$ |

## Fractional Factorials

- Do I need 8 runs to estimate 4 significant parameters?
- 23-1 fractional factorial (half fraction)

The generating relation is $\mathbf{3 = 1 2}$

1=23 $\quad l_{1}=1+23$
2=13 $\quad l_{2}=2+13$
3=12 $\quad l_{3}=3+12$

$(\mathbf{I}=123) \ell_{\mathrm{H}}=\operatorname{avg}+123 \times 0.5$

Since 23, 13, 12 and 123 are insignificant, this design works. (This is a resolution III design.)

## $2^{3-1}$ Fractional Factorial

The $2^{3-1}$ fractional factorial is a complete factorial for any 2 of the 3 variables!


## Combining Half Fractions

| Run | P | T | F | PT | PF | TF | PTF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | - | + | + | - | - | + | $l_{1}=1+23$ |
| 2 | + | - | - | - | - | + | + | $l_{2}=2+13$ |
| 3 | - | + | $+$ | - | + | - | + | $l_{3}=3+12$ |
| 4 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | $h_{\text {l }}=\mathrm{avg}+123 \times 0.5$ |
| Run | P | T | F | PT | PF | TF | PTF |  |
| 5 | + | + | - | - | + | - | - | 1 1-23 |
| 6 | - | + | + | + | + | + | - | $l_{2}=2-13$ |
| 7 | + | - | + | + | - | + | - | $l_{3}=3-12$ |
| 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $l_{1}^{\prime}=$ avg $-123 \times 0.5$ |

## Designs of "Resolution R"

No p-factor confounded with anything less than R-p factors.

Example: $\mathrm{I}=123$ is a resolution III design. $2^{3 \text { III }}$

Example: one-half fractional of the LPCVD experiment
I = 123 => 4 runs!
$\ell_{1}=(1+23) \quad$ Peff $=0.32$
$h_{2}=(2+13)$
Teff $=0.97$
$h_{3}=(3+12)$
Feff $=0.15$
avg $=(A v g+0.5123) \quad A v g=5.11$

## $2^{5-1}$ Fractional Factorial

| Run | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | + |
| 2 | + | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | - | + | - | - | - |
| 4 | + | + | - | - | + |
| 5 | - | - | + | - | - |
| 6 | + | - | + | - | + |
| 7 | - | + | + | - | + |
| 8 | + | + | + | - | - |
| 9 | - | - | - | + | - |
| 10 | + | - | - | + | + |
| 11 | - | + | - | + |  |
| 12 | + | + | - | + | - |
| 13 | - | - | + | + | + |
| 14 | + | - | + | + | - |
| 15 | - | + | + | + | - |
| 16 | + | + | + | + | + |

## Obtaining the Highest Possible Resolution

- Write a full factorial for the first $\mathrm{k}-1$ variables
- Associate the kth variable with $+/-$ the interaction of the $k-$ 1 variables.

> | A fractional factorial of resolution $R$ contains complete |
| :--- |
| factorials in every set of R-1 variables! |

## Example: Modeling Plasma Etch Anisotropy

| Parameter | Range | Units |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RF Power | $300-400$ | Watts |
| Pressure | $200-300$ | mTorr |
| Electrode Spacing | $1.2-1.8$ | cm |
| $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ Flow | $100-150$ | sccm |
| He Flow | $50-200$ | sccm |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Flow | $10-20$ | sccm |

- The original experiment included $2^{6-1}=32$ runs (plus 3 center point replications).
- A $2^{6-2}=16$ quarter fraction was used for anisotropy, because the measurements were costly and noisy.

Example: Designing the $2^{6-2}$ quarter fraction


## Blocked Fractional Factorial Experiments

- Fractional factorials can be blocked. Just choose interactions that are unimportant.


Typical Designs are available...

| number of variables | number of runs | degree of fractionation | type of design | method of introducing "new" factors | blocking <br> (with no main effect or interaction confounded) | method of introducing blocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 16 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $22^{-1}$ | $\pm 5=1234$ | not available |  |
| 6 | 32 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{VIV}^{6-1}$ | $\pm 6=12345$ | two blocks of 16 runs | $\mathrm{B}_{1}=123$ |
| 7 | 64 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{vil}^{7-1}$ | $\pm 7=123456$ | eight blocks of 8 runs | $\begin{aligned} & B_{1}=1357 \\ & B_{2}=1256 \\ & B_{3}=1234 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | 64 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $2 \mathrm{v}^{8-2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 7=1234 \\ & \pm 8=1256 \end{aligned}$ | four blocks of 16 runs | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{B}_{1}=135 \\ & \mathbf{B}_{2}=348 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | 128 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $2 \mathrm{VIV}^{-2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 8=13467 \\ & \pm 9=23567 \end{aligned}$ | eight blocks of 16 runs | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{B}_{1}=138 \\ & \mathbf{B}_{2}=129 \\ & \mathbf{B}_{3}=789 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | 128 | $\frac{1}{8}$ | $2 \mathbf{v}^{10-3}$ | $\begin{aligned} \pm 8 & =1237 \\ \pm 9 & =2345 \\ \pm \overline{10} & =1346 \end{aligned}$ | eight blocks of 16 runs | $\begin{aligned} & B_{1}=149 \\ & B_{2}=12 \overline{10} \\ & B_{3}=89 \overline{10} \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | 128 | $\frac{1}{16}$ | $2 \mathrm{v}^{1-4}$ | $\begin{aligned} \pm 8 & =1237 \\ \pm 9 & =2345 \\ \pm \overline{10} & =1346 \\ \pm \overline{11} & =1234567 \end{aligned}$ | eight blocks of 16 runs | $\begin{aligned} & B_{1}=149 \\ & B_{2}=12 \overline{10} \\ & B_{3}=89 \overline{10} \end{aligned}$ |

## Resolving Ambiguities in Fractional Factorials

Upon the completion of a fractional factorial, selected confoundings can be clarified with selected additional runs.

$$
5=123 \quad 6=234
$$

Suppose that 23 is significant. Since $l_{23}=(23+15+64)$ we need a minimum of 2 additional runs. Because of potential blocking between the main experiment and the supplement, we actually need 3 additional runs. One choice is:
\(\left.\left|\begin{array}{ccc}23 \& 15 \& 64 <br>
\hdashline+ \& \mathbf{-} \& - <br>

- \& - \& -\end{array}\right| \quad\)\begin{tabular}{l}
Rf Pr El F1 F2 F3

 \right\rvert\, 

Results <br>
$\mathbf{R}_{1}$ <br>
$\mathbf{R}_{2}$ <br>
$\mathbf{R}_{3}$
\end{tabular}

Finally, solve the system:

## Conclusion

- Factorial experiments can accommodate blocking, if one controls the "conflicts" in estimating effects.
- Fractional factorial experiments take advantage of the insignificance of higher order terms, to accommodate many variables with few runs.
- Experiments can be done in stages, initially screening, and later analyzing important effects in detail.
(see chapter 13 in BHH or chapter 12 in Montgomery)

