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## EECS 42 Introduction to Electronics for Computer Science Andrew R. Neureuther

Lecture \#3

- Kirchhoff's Laws
- Ideal independent sources
- Resistors


## http://inst.EECS.Berkeley.EDU/~ee42/

## EECS 42 Intro. electronics for CS Spring 2003 Lecture 3: 01/27/03 A.R. Neureuther Game Plan 01/22/03

## Monday 01/27/03

$\square$ Electrical Quantities
Schwarz and Oldham: 1.3-1.4
Today 01/29/03

- Kirchhoff Laws

Schwarz and Oldham: 2.1-2.2
Next (3rd) Week
$\square$ Capacitors, inductors, I vs. V Schwarz and Oldham: 5.1, 2.2, 3.1
$\square$ Power and Energy Schwarz and Oldham: 5.1, 2.2, 3.1

Problem Set \#2 - Out 1/27/03 - Due 2/5/03 2:30 in box in 240 Cory 2.1 Flow; 2.2 KCL; 2.3 KVL; 2.4 resistor circuit; 2.5 Power
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WHAT IF THE NET CURRENT WERE NOT ZERO?
Suppose imbalance in currents is $1 \mu \mathrm{~A}=1 \mu \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s}$ (net current entering node) Assuming that $\mathrm{q}=0$ at $\mathrm{t}=0$, the charge increase is $10^{-6} \mathrm{C}$ each second or $\quad 10^{-6} / 1.6 \times 10^{-19}=6 \times 10^{12}$ charge carriers each second

But by definition, the capacitance of a node to ground is ZERO because we show any capacitance as an explicit circuit element (branch). Thus the voltage would be infinite ( $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{CV}$ )

Something has to give! In the limit of zero capacitance the accumulation of charge would result in infinite electric fields ... there would be a spark as the air around the node broke down.

Charge is transported around the circuit branches (even stored in some branches), but it doesn't pile up at the nodes!

## EECS 42 Intro. electronics for CS Spring 2003 Lecture 3: 01/27/03 A.R. Neureuther <br> Capacitor at a Node <br> Version Date 01/30/03

Circuit with several branches, including a capacitor

(Sum of currents entering node) - (Sum of currents leaving node) $=0$ $q=$ charge stored at node is zero. If charge is stored, for example in the capacitor shown as branch 3, the charge is accounted for as the timeintegral of $i_{3}$. Thus the charge is not over at the node; it is on the capacitor.

| EECS 42 Intro. electronics for CS Spring 2003 Lecture 3: 01/27/03 A.R. Neureuther <br> Version Date 01/30/03  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SIGN CONVENTIONS FOR SUMMING CURRENTS |  |
| Kirchhoff's Current Law (KCL) |  |
| Sum of currents entering node = sum of currents leaving node |  |
| Use reference directions to determine "entering" and "leaving" currents ... no concern about actual polarities |  |
| $\checkmark \mathrm{KCL}$ yields one equation per node |  |
| Alternative statements of KCL |  |
| 1 "Algebraic sum" of currents entering node $=0$ |  |
| where "algebraic sum" means currents leaving are included with a minus sign |  |
| 2 "Algebraic sum" of currents leav where currents entering are in | $\text { node }=0$ <br> ed with a minus sign |

$$
\left.\begin{array}{llll}
\sum_{\text {IN }} \mathrm{i}_{\text {in }}=\sum_{\text {OUT }} \mathrm{i}_{\text {out }} & 24=-4+10+\mathrm{i} & \Rightarrow & \mathrm{i}=18 \mu \mathrm{~A} \\
\sum_{\text {ALL }} \mathrm{i}_{\text {in }}=0 & 24-(-4)-10-\mathrm{i}=0 & \Rightarrow & \mathrm{i}=18 \mu \mathrm{~A} \\
\sum_{\text {ALL }} \mathrm{i}_{\text {out }}=0 & -24-4+10+\mathrm{i}=0 & \Rightarrow & \mathrm{i}=18 \mu \mathrm{~A}
\end{array}\right\} \text { EQUIVALENT }
$$

Currents entering the node: $24 \mu \mathrm{~A}$
Currents leaving the node: $-4 \mu \mathrm{~A}+10 \mu \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{i}$

Three statements of KCL

## KIRCHHOFF'S CURRENT LAW EXAMPLE



$$
\begin{gathered}
24=10+(-4)+i \\
i=18 \mu A
\end{gathered}
$$
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## GENERALIZATION OF KCL TO SURFACES

Sum of currents entering and leaving any "black box" is zero

Could be a big chunk of
circuit in here, e.g.,
could be a "Black Box"
In other words there can be lots of nodes and branches inside the box.


## EECS 42 Intro. electronics for CS Spring 2003 Lecture 3: 01/27/03 A.R. Neureuther BRANCH AND NODE VOLTAGES

The voltage across a circuit element is defined as the difference between the node voltages at its terminals


Specifying node voltages: Use one node as the implicit reference (the "common" node ... attach special symbol to label it)

Now single subscripts can label voltages:

$$
\text { e.g., } v_{b} \text { means } v_{b}-v_{e}, v_{a} \text { means } v_{a}-v_{e} \text {, etc. }
$$
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Version Date 01/30/03
Example of the use of KCL

At node $X$ :
Current into X from the left:

$$
\left(V_{1}-v_{X}\right) / R 1
$$

Current out of $X$ to the right:

$$
v_{x} / R 2
$$

KCL: $\left(V_{1}-v_{X}\right) / R 1=v_{X} / R 2$
Given $\mathrm{V}_{1}$, This equation can be solved for $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{X}}$
Of course we just get the same result as we obtained from our series resistor $v_{X}=V_{1} R 2 /(R 1+R 2) \quad$ formulation. (Find the current and multiply by R2)

| EECS 42 Intro. electronics for CS Spring 2003 Lecture 3: 01/27/03 A.R. Neureuther |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| KIRCHHOFF'S VOLTAGE LAW (KVL) |  |
| The algebraic sum of the "voltage drops" around any "closed loop" is zero. |  |
| Why? We must return to the same potential (conservation of energy). |  |
| Voltage drop $\rightarrow$ defined as the branch voltage if the + sign is encountered first; it is (-) the branch voltage if the - sign is encountered first ... important bookkeeping |  |
|  | "rise" or "step up" (negative drop) |
| Closed loop: Path beginning and ending on the same node |  |



EECS 42 Intro. electronics for CS Spring $2003 \quad$ Lecture 3: 01/27/03 A.R. Neureuther

## BASIC CIRCUIT ELEMENTS

- Voltage Source
- Current Source
- Resistor (Ohm's law)
- Wire ("short" - no voltage drop )
- Capacitor (capacitor law - based on energy storage in electric field of a dielectric S\&O 5.1)
- Inductor (inductor law - based on energy storage in magnetic field in space $\mathrm{S} \& \mathrm{O}$ 5.1)

| EECS 42 Intro. electronics for CS Spring 2003 $\quad$ Lecture 3: 01/27/03 A.R. Neureuther |
| :--- | :--- |
| IDEAL CURRENT SOURCE |





