

## 1. Changing behavior through feedback

In this question, we discuss how feedback control can be used to change the effective behavior of a system.

(a) Consider the scalar system:

$$x[i+1] = 0.9x[i] + u[i] + w[i] \quad (1)$$

where  $u[i]$  is the control input we get to apply based on the current state and  $w[i]$  is the external disturbance, each at time  $i$ .

**Is the system stable? If  $|w[i]| \leq \epsilon$ , what can you say about  $|x[i]|$  at all times  $i$  if you further assume that  $u[i] = 0$  and the initial condition  $x[0] = 0$ ? How big can  $|x[i]|$  get?**

Stable

$$x[0] = 0$$

$$x[1] = 0.9x[0] + w[0] = w[0]$$

$$x[2] = 0.9x[1] + w[1] = 0.9w[0] + w[1]$$

$$x[3] = 0.9x[2] + w[2] = 0.9^2w[0] + 0.9w[1] + w[2]$$

$$x[i] = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} 0.9^{i-1-k} w[k]$$

$$|x[i]| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} 0.9^{i-1-k} w[k] \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} |0.9^{i-1-k} w[k]|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 0.9^{i-1-k} \epsilon$$

$$\leq 1 \rightarrow \infty \quad \frac{1}{1-0.9} \epsilon = 10\epsilon$$

- (b) Suppose that we decide to choose a control law  $u[i] = fx[i]$  to apply in feedback. **For what values of  $\lambda$  can you get the system to behave like:**

$$x[i + 1] = \lambda x[i] + w[i]? \quad (2)$$

**How would you pick  $f$ ?**

(Note: In this case,  $w[i]$  can be thought of like another input to the system, except we can't control it.)

$$0.9x[i] + fx[i] + w[i]$$

$$= \lambda x[i]$$

$$0.9 + f = \lambda \Rightarrow f = \lambda - 0.9$$

(c) For the previous part, which  $f$  would you choose to minimize how big  $|x[i]|$  can get?

$$|x[i]| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} |\lambda|^{i-1-k} \varepsilon \quad \lambda=0 \quad \varepsilon$$

$$x[i+1] = 0, x[i] + w[i] \leq \varepsilon$$

$$f = \lambda - 0.9 = 0 - 0.9 = -0.9$$

(d) What if instead of a 0.9, we had a 3 in the original eq. (1). What, if anything, would change?

$$f = \lambda - 3 \Rightarrow f = -3$$

(e) Now suppose that we have a vector-valued system with a vector-valued control:

$$\vec{x}[i+1] = A\vec{x}[i] + B\vec{u}[i] + \vec{w}[i] \quad (3)$$

where we further assume that  $B$  is an invertible square matrix. Further, suppose we decide to apply linear feedback control using a square matrix  $F$  so we choose  $\vec{u}[i] = F\vec{x}[i]$ .

**For what values of matrix  $G$  can you get the system to behave like:**

$$\vec{x}[i+1] = G\vec{x}[i] + \vec{w}[i]? \quad (4)$$

**How would you pick  $F$  given knowledge of  $A$ ,  $B$  and the desired goal dynamics  $G$ ?**

$$\underbrace{A\vec{x}[i] + BF\vec{x}[i]}_{G\vec{x}[i]} + \vec{w}[i]$$

$$A + BF = G$$

$$BF = G - A$$

$$F = B^{-1}(G - A)$$

## 2. Controlling states by designing sequences of inputs

This is something that you saw in 16A in the Segway problem. In that problem, you were given a semi-realistic model for a segway. Here, we are just going to consider the following matrix chosen for ease of understanding what is going on:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(5) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ c \\ d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's assume we have a *discrete-time* system defined as follows:

$$\vec{x}[i+1] = A\vec{x}[i] + \vec{b}u[i]. \quad (6)$$

(a) We are given the initial condition  $\vec{x}[0] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Let's say we want to achieve  $\vec{x}[\ell] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$  for some

specific  $\ell \geq 0$ . We don't need to stay there, we just want to be in this state at that time. **What is the smallest  $\ell$  such that this is possible? What is our choice of sequence of inputs  $u[i]$ ?**

$$\vec{x}[0] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x}[1] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ u[0] \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x}[2] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ u[0] \\ u[1] \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x}[3] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ u[0] \\ u[1] \\ u[2] \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x}[4] = \begin{bmatrix} u[0] \\ u[1] \\ u[2] \\ u[3] \end{bmatrix}$$



(b) What if we started from  $\vec{x}[0] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ? What is the smallest  $\ell$  and what is our choice of  $u[i]$ ?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} u[0]$$

$$\ell = 1$$

(c) If we start from  $\vec{x}[0] = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , what is smallest  $\ell$  such that  $\vec{x}[\ell] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , what is corresponding  $u[i]$ ?

$$\ell = 4 \quad u[0] = 1 \quad u[3] = 4$$
$$u[1] = 2$$
$$u[2] = 3$$

(d) If you would like to make sure that at time  $\ell$  we are at  $\vec{x}[\ell] = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix}$  for the state, what controls

could you use to get there? How big does  $\ell$  have to be for this strategy to work?

$$u[0] = a \quad u[2] = c$$
$$u[1] = b \quad u[3] = d$$

Mom, can I  
get a control  
input?



So, you can  
change how the  
discrete time  
system grows?



Yeeees.



**Actually** sets  $\lambda$  to 0  
**like a boss**



Closed Loop Control Time





Feedback:

[tinyurl.com/manav16b](https://tinyurl.com/manav16b)