EECSI6B DISIIB remote
learning objectives
IJ Writing linearizations of a function at an operating point for functions of one variable
[2] Extending linearizations to functions of multiple variables
Linearization: Coming up with a linear model for a nonlinear systems that is "true" for states close to some state (operating point)

Q: Why do we want to look at a nonlinear system as if it were linear?
A: Use all of our tools we have developed up until now!

Control system

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\vec{x}[i t 1]=\Delta \vec{x}[i]+B \vec{u}[i] \\
\frac{d \vec{x}}{d t}(t)=\Delta \vec{x}(t)+\underline{B} \vec{u}(t)
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { (near system }
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { continuous time } \\
\text { linear system }
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right)
$$

Mot systems in real life are nohlinear

$$
\rightarrow \vec{x}(i t 1]=f(\vec{x}[i], \vec{u}[i])
$$

Ex: $\quad x_{1}[i+1)=x_{1}^{2}[i]+x_{2}^{2}[i] \leftarrow$ nonlinew function

$$
\left.x_{2}(i+1]=x_{2}[i] \quad \text { of } x_{1}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{i}[i] \\
x_{2}[i]
\end{array}\right]
$$
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Discussion 11B

The following notes are useful for this discussion: Note 19

1. Linear Approximation

A common way to approximate a nonlinear function is to perform linearization near a point. In the case of a one-dimensional function $f(x)$, the linear approximation of $f(x)$ at a point $x_{\star}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Longrightarrow f(x) \approx f\left(x_{\star}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{\star}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{\star}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{\prime}\left(x_{\star}\right):=\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} x}\left(x_{\star}\right)$ is the derivative of $f(x)$ at $x=x_{\star}$.
Keep in mind that wherever we see $x_{\star}$, this denotes a constant value or operating point.
(a) Suppose we have the single-variable function $f(x)=\overline{x^{3}-3 x^{2}}$. We can plot the function $f(x)$ as follows:

i. Write the linear approximation of the function around an arbitrary point $x_{\star}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{A}\right)=x_{A}^{3}-3 x_{A}^{2} \\
& f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\frac{d}{d x}\binom{x^{3}-3 x^{2}}{f(x)}=3 x^{2}-6 x \quad f^{\prime}\left(x_{A}\right)=3 x_{A}^{2}-6 x_{A}}{f(x) \approx \underbrace{\left(x_{A}^{3}-3 x_{A}^{2}\right)+\left(3 x_{A}^{2}-6 x_{A}\right)\left(x-x_{A}\right)}_{f\left(x_{A}\right)}} \text { L linearization of } f(x) \text { about } x_{k}^{\text {the }}
\end{aligned}
$$


ii. Using the expression above, linearize the function around the point $x=1.5$. Draw the linearization into the plot of part $i$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & \approx\left(x_{A}^{3}-3 x_{A}^{2}\right)+\left(3 x_{A}^{2}-6 x_{A}\right)\left(x-x_{A}\right) \\
x_{A} & =1.5=\frac{3}{2} \\
f(x) & \approx\left(\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{3}-3\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2}\right)+\left(3\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2}-6\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\right)\left(x-\frac{3}{2}\right) \quad f\left(x_{k}\right)=\frac{27}{8} \\
& =\frac{27}{8}-\frac{27}{4}+\left(\frac{27}{4}-\frac{18}{2}\right)\left(x-\frac{3}{2}\right) \\
& =-\frac{27}{8}+\left(\frac{27-36}{4}\right)\left(x-\frac{3}{2}\right) \\
& =-\frac{27}{8}+\left(-\frac{9}{4}\right)\left(x-\frac{3}{2}\right) \\
& \approx-\frac{27}{8}-\frac{9}{4} x+\frac{27}{8} \\
& =-\frac{9}{4} x
\end{aligned}
$$

ii. Using the expression above, linearize the function around the point $x=1.5$. Draw the linearization into the plot of part $i$ ).

## Dove above.

Now that we have this specific point's linearization, we understand how the function behaves around the point. Let's use this linearization to evaluate the function's approximation at $x=1.7$ (based on our approximation at $x=1.5$, we want to see how a $\delta=+0.2$ shift in the $x$ value changes the corresponding $f(x)$ value). How does this approximation compare to the exact value of the function at $x=1.7$ ?

$$
\begin{align*}
f(1.7) & \approx-3.375+(-2.25) \cdot(1.7-1.5)  \tag{2}\\
& \approx-3.375-0.45  \tag{3}\\
& \approx-3.825 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing to the exact value $f(1.7)=1.7^{3}-3 \cdot 1.7^{2}=-3.757$, we find that the difference is 0.068 . Not too bad! What if we repeat with $\delta=1$ ? To do so, we must use the approximation around $x=1.5$ to compute $x=2.5$, and compare to the exact value $f(2.5)$. How does our new

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pointy) } \\
& \text { (ineivization } \\
& \text { point }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
f(2.5) & \approx-3.375+(-2.25) \cdot(2.5-1.5)  \tag{5}\\
\infty & \approx-3.375-2.25  \tag{6}\\
& \approx-5.625 \\
\leftarrow & \text { very different }
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing to the exact value $f(2.5)=2.5^{3}-3 \cdot 2.5^{2}=-3.125$, we find that the difference is much larger; the error jumped to 2.5 ! This is an error multiplication of $\frac{2.5}{0.068} \approx 37$, even though our $\delta$ only multiplied by 5 . What happened?
Looking at the actual function, we see that the function has a significant curvature between our "anchor point" of $x_{\star}=1.5$ and $x=2.5$. Our linear model is unable to capture this curvature, and so we estimated $f(2.5)$ as if the function kept decreasing, as it did around $x=1.5$ (where the slope was -2.25$)$.
 in the $x$ coordinate

Now, we can extend this to higher dimensional functions. In the case of a two-dimensional function $f(x, y)$, the linear approximation of $f(x, y)$ at a point $\left(x_{\star}, y_{\star}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x, y) \approx f\left(x_{\star}, y_{\star}\right)+\underbrace{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(x_{\star}, y_{\star}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{\star}\right)}_{\text {anear approximation of } f(x, y) \text { at a point }\left(x_{\star}, y_{\star}\right) \text { is given by small changes }} \underbrace{}_{\text {account for chat changes in } x} \text { set } \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(x_{\star}, y_{\star}\right) \cdot\left(y-y_{\star}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(x_{\star}, y_{\star}\right)$ is the partial derivative of $f(x, y)$ with respect to $x$ at the point $\left(x_{\star}, y_{\star}\right)$, and similarly for $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(x_{\star}, y_{\star}\right)$
(b) Now, let's see how we can find partial derivatives. When we are given a function $f(x, y)$, we calculate the partial derivative of $f$ with respect to $x$ by fixing $y$ and taking the derivative with respect to $x$. Given the function $f(x, y)=x^{2} y$, find the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y}$.

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^{2} y\right)=y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^{2}\right)=2 x y, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(x^{2} y\right)=x^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y=x^{2}(1)
$$

(c) Write out the linear approximation of $f$ near $\left(x_{\star}, y_{\star}\right)$.

$$
f(x, y) \approx f\left(x_{A}, y_{A}\right)+2 x_{A} y_{A}\left(x-x_{A}\right)+x_{A}^{2}\left(y-y_{A}\right)
$$

(d) We want to see if the approximation arising from linearization of this function is reasonable for a point close to our point of evaluation. First, approximate $f(x, y)$ at the point $(2.01,3.01)$ using $\left(x_{\star}, y_{\star}\right)=(2,3)$. Next, compare the result to $f(2.01,3.01)$.

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
f(x, y) & =x^{2} y \quad f(2,3)=4 \cdot 3=12 \\
f(x, y) & \approx 12+2(2)(3)(x-2)+(2)^{2}(y-3) \\
& =12+12 x-24+4 y-x 2 \\
\frac{1}{100}=h & 12 x+4 y-24
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =h \quad 12 x+4 y-24 \\
& \\
& f(2.01,3.01)=(2.01)^{2}(3.01)^{4}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
12(2.01)+4(3.01)-24 \\
= \\
=(2+h)^{2}(3+h) \\
= \\
=\left(4+4 h+h^{2}\right)(3 t h) \\
= \\
12(2+h)+4(3+h)-24 \\
\\
\\
24+3 h^{2}+4 h+4 h^{2}+h^{3}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\underbrace{12+16 h t 7 h^{2} t h^{3}}
$$

If $h$ small, how does $h^{2}\left\{h^{3}\right.$ compare to $h$ ?

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
h=\frac{1}{100} & h^{2} & =\frac{1}{100^{2}} \quad h^{3}=\frac{1}{100^{3}}=\frac{1}{10^{6}} \\
& =1
\end{array}
$$

$$
\left(h=\frac{1}{100}\right) \quad 12.16(0701
$$

12.16


2
My pint here is just "do lineaurzations only work for small values awry from the operating point? A: No depends on what small means"

Note: inner product operates on two column vectors
(e) We will now define the notion of a derivative as a function, and take a look at one possible representadion of that function.
Given the representation of the derivative as a row-vector, describe a function that can take this representation, along with some column vector defining a change in direction, to return a scalar value (which is the change in the real-valued output). Don't worry if this seems abstract for now, the next subpart will clarify.
ran $<\vec{d}^{\top}$ Derivative $\rightarrow$ row vector $?$ How to combine the ge two into a colum $\leftarrow \vec{V}$ direction $\rightarrow$ column vector single number? CHore that this \# descries a vale of change along. the direction)
(f) Suppose we have now a scalar-valued function $f(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$, which takes in vector-valued arguments $\vec{x} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ and outputs a scalar $\in \mathbb{R}$. That is, $f(\vec{x})(\vec{y})$ is $\left.\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \mathbb{R}^{k}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For this new model involving a yector-valued function, how can we adapt our previous linearization method? One way to linearize the function $f$ is to do it for every single element in $\vec{x}=$ and $\vec{y}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}y_{1} & y_{2} & \ldots & y_{k}\end{array}\right]^{\top}$. Then, when we are looking at $x_{i}$ or $y_{j}$, we fix everything else as constant. This would give us the linear approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \text { is a Scalar (output) } f(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \approx \underbrace{f\left(\vec{x}_{\star}, \vec{y}_{\star}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{{ }^{n}}{} \frac{\partial f(\vec{x}, \vec{y})}{\partial x_{i}}\left(x_{i}-x_{i, \star}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\partial f(\vec{x}, \vec{y})}{\partial y_{j}}\left(y_{j}-y_{j, \star}\right) .} \text { but has vector } \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

but inputs In order to simplify this equation, we can define the rows $D_{\vec{x}}$ and $D_{\vec{y}}$ as
$\propto \vec{y}$ and $\vec{x}$ both in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
Assume that $n=k$ and we define the function $f(\vec{x}, \vec{y})=\vec{x}^{\top} \vec{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} y_{i}$. Find $D_{\vec{x}} f$ and $D_{\vec{y} f} f$.
[Practice] Next, suppose $g(\vec{x}, \vec{y})=x_{1} x_{2}^{2} y_{1}+x_{1} y_{2}^{3}+x_{2} x_{1} y_{2} y_{1}+\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{x_{2}^{3} y_{1}}$. Find $D_{\vec{x}} g$ and $D_{\vec{y}} g$
Hint: it can help to look at eq. (8), and match the terms in eq. (9) to that formulation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{x}-\vec{x}_{k}=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{3} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1 A} \\
x_{2 A} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n A}
\end{array}\right]}_{\substack{\text { column } \\
\ln \mathbb{R}^{n}}} \\
& f(\vec{x}, \vec{y})=\dot{\vec{x}}^{T} \vec{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x{\underset{y}{y}}_{y_{i}} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}=y_{j} \\
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{j}}=x_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

(g) Following the above part, find the linear approximation of $f(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ near $\left.\vec{x}_{\star}=\begin{array}{c}(1) \\ 2\end{array}\right]$ and $\vec{y}_{\star}=\left[\begin{array}{c}-1 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Recall that } f(\vec{x}, \vec{y})=\vec{x}^{\top} \vec{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} y_{i}=x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2} \\
& D_{\vec{x}} f=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
y_{1} & y_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\vec{y}^{\top} \quad D_{\vec{x}} f\left(\vec{x}_{k}, \vec{y}_{k}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-1 & 2
\end{array}\right] \\
& D_{\vec{y}} f=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & x_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\vec{x}^{\top} \quad D_{\vec{y}} f\left(\vec{x}_{\Delta}, \vec{y}_{k}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right] \\
& f(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \approx \\
& f\left(\vec{x}_{*}, \vec{y}_{k}\right)+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-1 & 2
\end{array}\right]\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{k}\right)+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]\left(\vec{y}-\vec{y}_{k}\right) \\
& \vec{x}_{*}^{\top} \vec{y}_{*}=1(-1)+2(2)=3 \\
& = \\
& 3+(-1)\left(x_{1}-1\right)+2\left(x_{2}-2\right)+\left(y_{1}+1\right)+2\left(y_{2}-2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

function that has a scalar value

$$
\text { (lineavization of } f\left(\vec{x}_{2} \vec{y}\right) \text { at }\left(\vec{x}_{k}, \vec{y}_{k}\right) \text { ) }
$$

These linearizations are important for us because we can do many easy computations using linear functions.
Something that might help clarity for $f(\vec{x}, \vec{y})=\vec{x}^{\top} \vec{y}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\vec{x}, \vec{y})=x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{2}+\cdots+x_{n} y_{n} \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} f(\vec{x}, \vec{y})=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x_{n=1}^{x_{1} y_{2}}\right)_{n} \text { ! }+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right)+\cdots+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x_{n}^{0} x_{n n}^{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=0+y_{2}+\underbrace{0 t \cdots+0}
$$

$n-2$ zeroes from $x_{3} y_{3}, \ldots, x_{n} y_{n}$ $=y z$
Contributors:

- Neelesh Ramachandran.
- Kuan-Yun Lee.

Practice: $g(\vec{x}, \vec{y})=x_{1} x_{2}^{2} y_{1}+x_{1} y_{2}^{3}+x_{2} x_{1} y_{2} y_{1}+\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{x_{2}^{3} y_{1}}$

$$
=3 x_{1} y_{2}^{2}+x_{2} x_{2} y_{1}
$$

Stuff all four uptherel. into $D \vec{x} g, D \vec{y} g$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{\vec{x}} \dot{g}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{2}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& D_{y} \vec{g}=\left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{2}}\right] \\
& \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(x_{1} x_{2}^{2} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}^{3} t x_{2} x_{2} y_{2} y_{1}+\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{x_{2}^{3} y_{2}}\right) \\
& =x_{2}^{2} y_{1}+y_{2}^{3}+x_{2} y_{2} y_{1}+\frac{1}{x_{2}^{3} y_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(x_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& =x_{2}^{2} y_{1}+y_{2}^{3}+x_{2} y_{2} y_{1}+\frac{1}{x_{2}^{3} y_{1}}\left(2 x_{1}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x_{1} x_{2}^{2} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}^{3} t x_{2} x_{2} y_{2} y_{2}+\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{x_{2}^{3} y_{1}}\right) \\
& =x_{1} y_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x_{2}^{2}\right)+0+x_{2} y_{2} y_{1}+\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{y_{7}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{x_{2}^{3}}\right) \\
& =2 x_{1} x_{2} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2} y_{1}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{y_{1}}\left(-3 x_{2}^{-4}\right)^{3} \\
& \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}\left(x_{1} x_{2}^{2} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}^{3}+x_{2} x_{2} y_{2} y_{1}+\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{x_{2}^{3} y_{2}}\right) \\
& =x_{1} x_{2}^{2}+\sigma+x_{2} x_{1} y_{2}+\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{x_{2}^{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{y_{1}}\right) \\
& =x_{2} x_{2}^{2} t x_{2} x_{1} y_{2}+\frac{x_{1}^{3}}{x_{2}^{3}}\left(-y_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}\left(x_{1} x_{2}^{2} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}^{3}+x_{2} x_{2} y_{2} y_{2}+\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{x_{2}^{3} y_{2}}\right) \\
& =0+x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}\left(y_{2}^{3}\right)+x_{2} x_{1} y_{1}+0
\end{aligned}
$$

