

Discussion 8B

The following notes are useful for this discussion: [Note 10](#) and [Note 11](#).

1. Changing behavior through feedback

In this question, we discuss how *feedback control* can be used to change the effective behavior of a system.

(a) Consider the scalar system:

$$x[i+1] = 0.9x[i] + u[i] + w[i] \quad (1)$$

where $u[i]$ is the control input we get to apply based on the current state and $w[i]$ is the external disturbance, each at time i .

Is the system stable? If $|w[i]| \leq \epsilon$, what can you say about $|x[i]|$ at all times i if you further assume that $u[i] = 0$ and the initial condition $x[0] = 0$? How big can $|x[i]|$ get?

Solution: The system is stable, as $\lambda = 0.9 \implies |\lambda| < 1$. We can say that $|x[i]|$ is bounded at all time if the disturbance is bounded. Unrolling the system's recursion and extrapolating the general form,

$$x[0] = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$x[1] = w[0] \quad (3)$$

$$x[2] = 0.9w[0] + w[1] \quad (4)$$

$$x[3] = 0.9^2w[0] + 0.9w[1] + w[2] \quad (5)$$

$$\vdots \quad (6)$$

$$x[i] = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} 0.9^k w[i-k-1]. \quad (7)$$

We can check that this form works by plugging it into our recursion:

$$x[i+1] = 0.9x[i] + w[i] = 0.9 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} 0.9^k w[i-k-1] \right) + w[i] \quad (8)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} 0.9^{k+1} w[i-k-1] + w[i] = \sum_{k=0}^i 0.9^k w[i-k] \quad (9)$$

which is exactly what our formula predicts. So,

$$|x[i]| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} 0.9^k w[i-k-1] \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} |0.9^k w[i-k-1]| = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} 0.9^k \epsilon. \quad (10)$$

In the limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$, by the geometric series formula,

$$|x[i]| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1-0.9} = 10\epsilon \quad (11)$$

- (b) Suppose that we decide to choose a control law $u[i] = fx[i]$ to apply in feedback. **Given a specific λ , you want the system to behave like:**

$$x[i + 1] = \lambda x[i] + w[i] \quad (12)$$

To do so, how would you pick f ?

NOTE: In this case, $w[i]$ can be thought of like another input to the system, except we can't control it.

Solution: We can control the system to have any value of λ , as long as we're not limited on the values of f .

$$x[i + 1] = 0.9x[i] + fx[i] + w[i] = \lambda x[i] + w[i]. \quad (13)$$

Fitting terms, $f = \lambda - 0.9$. Note we can get a $\lambda > 1$ if we so desire; there is nothing stopping us from putting arbitrarily big/small λ by the choice of f .

- (c) **For the previous part, which f would you choose to minimize how big $|x[i]|$ can get?**

Solution: From eq. (12), in order to have the minimum bound on $|x[i]|$, $\lambda = 0$. To get this λ , $f = -0.9$. In the limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in this case,

$$|x[i]| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1 - 0} = \epsilon \quad (14)$$

The minimum bound on $|x(i)| = \epsilon$ is the same bound as on the disturbance.

- (d) **What if instead of a 0.9, we had a 3 in the original eq. (1). Would system stability change? Would our ability to control λ change?**

Solution: If our system were now,

$$x[i + 1] = 3x[i] + u[i] + w[i], \quad (15)$$

the system would no longer be stable. However, we can still choose any λ using closed loop feedback. In this case, $f = \lambda - 3$.

- (e) Now suppose that we have a vector-valued system with a vector-valued control:

$$\vec{x}[i + 1] = A\vec{x}[i] + B\vec{u}[i] + \vec{w}[i] \quad (16)$$

where we further assume that B is an invertible square matrix. Further, suppose we decide to apply linear feedback control using a square matrix F so we choose $\vec{u}[i] = F\vec{x}[i]$.

Given a specific A_{CL} we want the system to behave like:

$$\vec{x}[i + 1] = A_{CL}\vec{x}[i] + \vec{w}[i] \quad (17)$$

How would you pick F given knowledge of A, B and the desired goal dynamics A_{CL} ? Will this work for any desired A_{CL} ?

Solution: Since in this case our input is the same rank as our output, we can arbitrarily choose the matrix A_{CL} . As long as B is invertible (as given), we can define:

$$\vec{x}[i + 1] = A\vec{x}[i] + B\vec{u}[i] + \vec{w}[i] \quad (18)$$

$$= A\bar{x}[i] + BF\bar{x}[i] + \bar{w}[i] \quad (19)$$

$$= (A + BF)\bar{x}[i] + \bar{w}[i] \quad (20)$$

$$= A_{\text{CL}}\bar{x}[i] + \bar{w}[i] \quad (21)$$

Therefore, matching terms,

$$A + BF = A_{\text{CL}} \implies F = B^{-1}(A_{\text{CL}} - A). \quad (22)$$

2. Controlling states by designing sequences of inputs

Consider the following matrix, with a simple structure (what does it do when it acts on a vector?):

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (23)$$

Let's assume we have a *discrete-time* system defined as follows:

$$\vec{x}[i+1] = A\vec{x}[i] + \vec{b}u[i]. \quad (24)$$

(a) **Show that this system is controllable.**

Solution: We have that $\mathcal{C} = [\vec{b} \quad A\vec{b} \quad A^2\vec{b} \quad A^3\vec{b}]$ where

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (25)$$

$$A\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ a_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (26)$$

$$A^2\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ a_4 \\ a_3 + a_4^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (27)$$

$$A^3\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ a_4 \\ a_3 + a_4^2 \\ a_2 + 2a_3a_4 + a_4^3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

Since each of these vectors have one less nonzero entry than the one above it, the vectors are all linearly independent and \mathcal{C} is full rank. Hence, the system is controllable.

(b) Suppose that we would like to “place” the eigenvalues of A_{CL} (the closed loop A matrix) to be at 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4. That is, we would like to implement a feedback control law such that the eigenvalues of A_{CL} will be 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, where our new system would be given by

$$\vec{x}[i+1] = A_{CL}\vec{x}[i] \quad (29)$$

We define the characteristic polynomial of a matrix M to be

$$p_M(\lambda) = \det\{\lambda I - M\} \quad (30)$$

If we were to place the eigenvalues of A_{CL} at 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, what will $p_{A_{CL}}(\lambda)$ be?

Solution: We know that 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 will be roots of the polynomial $\det\{\lambda I - A_{CL}\} = p_{A_{CL}}(\lambda)$. Hence, it is the case that we can write

$$p_{A_{CL}}(\lambda) = (\lambda - 0.1)(\lambda - 0.2)(\lambda - 0.3)(\lambda - 0.4) \quad (31)$$

$$= \lambda^4 - \lambda^3 + 0.35\lambda^2 - 0.05\lambda + 0.0024 \quad (32)$$

- (c) Since A is in controllable canonical form (CCF), we know that the characteristic polynomial of the matrix will be

$$p_A(\lambda) = \det\{\lambda I - A\} = \lambda^4 - a_4\lambda^3 - a_3\lambda^2 - a_2\lambda - a_1 \quad (33)$$

Given a feedback control law

$$\vec{u}[i] = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & f_4 \end{bmatrix}}_F \vec{x}[i] \quad (34)$$

determine the values of f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 in terms of a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 so that the eigenvalues of A_{CL} will be 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4.

Solution: When we apply the feedback control law, our closed loop matrix will be

$$A_{CL} = A + \vec{b}F \quad (35)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & f_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (36)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a_1 + f_1 & a_2 + f_2 & a_3 + f_3 & a_4 + f_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (37)$$

Here, the characteristic polynomial will be

$$p_{A_{CL}}(\lambda) = \lambda^4 - (a_4 + f_4)\lambda^3 - (a_3 + f_3)\lambda^2 - (a_2 + f_2)\lambda - (a_1 + f_1) \quad (38)$$

However, we want our characteristic polynomial to be as in eq. (32). To achieve this, we can pattern match coefficients of matching order in eq. (32) and eq. (38) to obtain

$$-(a_4 + f_4) = -1 \quad (39)$$

$$-(a_3 + f_3) = 0.35 \quad (40)$$

$$-(a_2 + f_2) = -0.05 \quad (41)$$

$$-(a_1 + f_1) = 0.0024 \quad (42)$$

Solving for f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 , we have

$$f_4 = 1 - a_4 \quad (43)$$

$$f_3 = -0.35 - a_3 \quad (44)$$

$$f_2 = 0.05 - a_2 \quad (45)$$

$$f_1 = -0.0024 - a_1 \quad (46)$$

so the feedback control law is

$$\vec{u}[i] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a_4 & -0.35 - a_3 & 0.05 - a_2 & -0.0024 - a_1 \end{bmatrix} \vec{x}[i] \quad (47)$$

Contributors:

- Neelesh Ramachandran.
- Anant Sahai.
- Regina Eckert.
- Kumar Krishna Agrawal.
- Anish Muthali.