# This homework is due on Friday, October 28, 2022, at 11:59PM. Selfgrades and HW Resubmissions are due on the following Friday, November 4, 2022, at 11:59PM.

## 1. (OPTIONAL) Mid-Semester Survey

Please fill out this mid-semester survey to let us know how the class has been going so far! This survey is optional and anonymous, but you can submit a screenshot of the final page of the survey to Gradescope to receive 2 global extra credit points! We will be accepting submissions on Gradescope until Sunday, October 30 at 11:59pm.

## 2. Change of Basis

(a) For any given vector, we have to choose a basis to write this vector in. Typically, we choose the standard basis  $\{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$  where  $\vec{e}_i$  is a vector with a 1 in the *i*th position and zeros ev-

erywhere else. Given a vector  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix}$ , write  $\vec{x}$  as a linear combination of standard basis

### vectors.

(b) We can also represent the same vector *x* in a different basis. Let us write this new basis as {*v*<sub>1</sub>, *v*<sub>2</sub>,..., *v*<sub>n</sub>}. Find a way to write *x* from the previous subpart as a linear combination of *v*<sub>1</sub>, *v*<sub>2</sub>,..., *v*<sub>n</sub>. Simplify your answer as an equation with matrix-vector multiplication, and assume that *v*<sub>1</sub>, *v*<sub>2</sub>,..., *v*<sub>n</sub> are linearly independent.

(HINT: One representation of  $\vec{x}$  is the one you determined in the previous subpart. Another representation of  $\vec{x}$  is  $\tilde{\alpha}_1 \vec{v}_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + \tilde{\alpha}_n \vec{v}_n$ . We need these two representations to be algebraically equal to indicate

that they both represent the same vector. For your convenience, you may define  $\vec{\tilde{\alpha}} = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{\alpha}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{vmatrix}$ .)

(c) Suppose that we truncated our basis so that we now only have  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, ..., \vec{v}_m$  where m < n linearly independent vectors, but we could still represent  $\vec{x}$  as a linear combination of these vectors. **How do you modify your method from the previous part?** You may not assume that you know  $\vec{v}_{m+1}, ..., \vec{v}_n$ .

(HINT: Think about using projections. Specifically, consider projecting onto the column space of a matrix that you define.)

(d) Suppose that all the vectors  $\vec{v}_i$  from the previous part were orthonormal. Simplify your answer from the previous subpart under this assumption.

(HINT: Let  $U = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_1 & \vec{u}_2 & \cdots & \vec{u}_m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  where n > m. If  $S = U^\top U$ , then  $S_{ij} = \vec{u}_i^\top \vec{u}_j$ .)

#### 3. Cayley-Hamilton and Controllability Matrix

(a) We can define the *characteristic polynomial* of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  as

$$p_A(\lambda) = \lambda^n + c_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + c_1\lambda + c_0\lambda^0$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

where each  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant. The characteristic polynomial has roots that are the eigenvalues of *A*. That is, we can equivalently define

$$p_A(\lambda) = \det\{\lambda I - A\}$$
(2)

We say that any of the eigenvalues of A "satisfy" the characteristic polynomial in that

$$\nu_A(\lambda_i) = 0 \tag{3}$$

where  $\lambda_i$  is the *i*th eigenvalue of *A*. Now, let *A* be a diagonalizable matrix, where we may write  $A = V\Lambda V^{-1}$ . **Prove that** *A* **satisfies its own characteristic polynomial.** In other words, prove that  $p_A(A) = 0_{n \times n}$ , where  $0_{n \times n}$  is a  $n \times n$  matrix of zeros. (*HINT:* It is not correct to simply plug in  $\lambda = A$  into det{ $\lambda I - A$ }.)

- (b) Now, consider some vector  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Using the result from the previous part, show that  $A^n \vec{b}$  is linearly dependent on  $A^{n-1}\vec{b}, A^{n-2}\vec{b}, \dots, A\vec{b}, \vec{b}$ .
- (c) Instead of setting  $\vec{b}$  to be a vector, let it be a matrix  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ . Now, show that the columns of  $A^n B$  are linearly dependent on the columns of  $A^{n-1}B, A^{n-2}B, \ldots, AB, B$ . (HINT: If we were to write  $B = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b}_1 & \vec{b}_2 & \cdots & \vec{b}_m \end{bmatrix}$  where each column is n-dimensional, we can write  $A^i B = \begin{bmatrix} A^i \vec{b}_1 & A^i \vec{b}_2 & \cdots & A^i \vec{b}_m \end{bmatrix}$ . Make sure you convince yourself of this.)
- (d) Consider a discrete time system of the form

$$\vec{x}[i+1] = A\vec{x}[i] + B\vec{u}[i] \tag{4}$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ . The controllability matrix for this discrete time system is given by

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{n-1}B & A^{n-2}B & \cdots & AB & B \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

Conclude that the rank of your controllability matrix will not change if, instead, you made your controllability matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} A^nB & A^{n-1}B & \cdots & AB & B \end{bmatrix}$  (i.e., you prepended  $A^nB$  to your original controllability matrix).

#### 4. CCF Transformation and Controllability

(a) Consider the following discrete time system

$$\vec{x}[i+1] = A\vec{x}[i] + B\vec{u}[i] \tag{6}$$

Suppose we define a change of basis operation given by  $M\vec{z}[i] = \vec{x}[i] \iff \vec{z}[i] = M^{-1}\vec{x}[i]$ . This yields a new discrete time system of the form

$$\vec{z}[i+1] = \widetilde{A}\vec{z}[i] + \widetilde{B}\vec{u}[i] \tag{7}$$

for some  $\widetilde{A}$  and  $\widetilde{B}$  defined in terms of M, A, and B. What is the controllability matrix for the system in eq. (7), in terms of M, A, and B?

(b) Consider the change of basis given by  $\vec{z}[i] = T^{-1}\vec{x}[i]$  where, under this change of basis transformation, we have the following discrete time system

$$\vec{z}[i+1] = A_{\text{CCF}}\vec{z}[i] + B_{\text{CCF}}\vec{u}[i]$$
(8)

Using the result from the previous part, determine an expression for T in terms of C, the controllability matrix of the original system in eq. (6), and  $C_{CCF}$ , the controllability matrix of the system in eq. (8).

- (c) We know that the controllability matrix for a system in CCF will always be full rank. Using this, prove that you can find a transformation matrix *T* as in the previous part if and only if your original system is controllable. (*HINT: To prove this, you can first show that, if such a T exists, then your original system is controllable. Then, you can show that, if your original system is controllable. Then, you can show that, if your original system is controllable, there will exist such a transformation matrix <i>T*.) (*HINT: Recall that T must be invertible (equivalently, full rank) in order for it to be a valid transformation matrix. You may use without proof the fact that rank(AB) = min(rank(A), rank(B)).*)
- (d) Consider the following discrete-time dynamics model:

$$\vec{x}[i+1] = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{A} \vec{x}[i] + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{b}} \vec{u}[i] \tag{9}$$

Find the transformation matrix *T* such that the dynamics model for  $\vec{z}[i] = T^{-1}\vec{x}[i]$  is in CCF. You may use a calculator/computer to perform any computations, if you wish.

(HINT: First, find the characteristic polynomial of A. Use this to determine what  $A_{CCF}$  and  $\vec{b}_{CCF}$  should be, and then use this to determined  $C_{CCF}$ .)

#### 5. QR System ID

(a) Suppose we are given the following discrete time dynamical system:

$$x[i+1] = ax[i] + b_1u_1[i] + b_2u_2[i] + \dots + b_{n-1}u_{n-1}[i]$$
(10)

We would like to estimate  $a, b_1, b_2, ..., b_{n-1}$  using system ID. Suppose we have collected data up to x[m], where m < n. Set up a linear system of the form  $D\vec{p} = \vec{s}$  to solve this system ID problem. Show that D has dimensions  $m \times n$ .

(b) As we saw in the previous part, we have a wide matrix *D*. Assuming that *D* is rank *m*, we would technically have infinitely many solutions for  $a, b_1, b_2, ..., b_{n-1}$ . We can find the solution with the smallest norm using QR decomposition.

We can write  $D^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{d}_1 & \vec{d}_2 & \cdots & \vec{d}_m \end{bmatrix}$  where each  $\vec{d}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We can also define an orthonormal matrix  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  which can be written as  $Q = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q}_1 & \vec{q}_2 & \cdots & \vec{q}_m & \vec{q}_{m+1} & \vec{q}_{m+2} & \cdots & \vec{q}_n \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\operatorname{Span}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2, \dots, \vec{q}_m) = \operatorname{Span}(\vec{d}_1, \vec{d}_2, \dots, \vec{d}_m)$ . In this case, what is  $\vec{d}_j^{\top} \vec{q}_i$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $i \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}$ ? Explain your answer.

(HINT: If we say that  $\text{Span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n) = \text{Span}(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_n)$ , then we may say that  $\vec{v}_i$  can be written as a linear combination of  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_n$  (and equivalently,  $\vec{u}_i$  can be written as a linear combination of  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ ).)

(c) Suppose that  $D^{\top}$  can be written as

$$D^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q}_1 & \vec{q}_2 & \cdots & \vec{q}_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1m} \\ 0 & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

Using this result and the result from the previous part, show that the QR decomposition of  $D^{\top}$  can be written as

$$D^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0_{(n-m) \times m} \end{bmatrix}$$
(12)

Using eq. (12), write an expression for  $Q_1^{\top} \vec{p}$  where  $D\vec{p} = \vec{s}$ , and show that the value of  $Q_2^{\top} \vec{p}$  does not matter. Here,  $R_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is a square, upper triangular matrix,  $\begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is an orthonormal matrix, and  $0_{(n-m)\times m} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-m)\times m}$  is a matrix of all zeros.  $Q_1$  is  $n \times m$  and  $Q_2$  is  $n \times (n-m)$ . Note that  $R_1$  is invertible.

(HINT: Equation (12) uses block matrix form. When multiplying block matrices, they obey the same rules as regular matrix-vector multiplication. That is,  $\begin{bmatrix} M & N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} = MA + NB$ . When transposing

block matrices, we may write  $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{\top} & B^{\top} \end{bmatrix}$ .) (HINT: First, simplify eq. (12) using the previous hint. Then, use the previous problem to find a potential candidate for  $Q_2$ . Use the previous part again to confirm that this candidate would work by computing  $R_{ij}$  using the formula provided in lecture (for  $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$  and  $i \in \{m + 1, ..., n\}$ .)

(d) From the previous part, we determined that the value of  $Q_2^{\top} \vec{p}$  did not matter. Hence, we can set  $Q_2^{\top} \vec{p} = \vec{0}$  for the purposes of minimizing  $\|\vec{p}\|$  (the reason why we do this will be covered a little bit later, but take this as a given for now). Solve for  $\vec{p}$  using the QR decomposition of  $D^{\top}$ , assuming  $Q_2^{\top} \vec{p} = \vec{0}$ . (*HINT: The following identity holds true:*  $\begin{bmatrix} A\vec{x} \\ B\vec{x} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} \vec{x}$ .) (*HINT: Stack the two expressions for*  $Q_1^{\top} \vec{p}$  and  $Q_2^{\top} \vec{p}$  to obtain an expression for  $\begin{bmatrix} Q_1^{\top} \vec{p} \\ Q_2^{\top} \vec{p} \end{bmatrix}$ . Use the previous hint to determine your final expression for  $\vec{p}$ .)

## **Contributors:**

• Anish Muthali.