This homework is due on Friday, November 4, 2022, at 11:59PM. Selfgrades and HW Resubmissions are due on the following Friday, November 11, 2022, at 11:59PM.

## 1. Correctness of the Gram-Schmidt Algorithm

Suppose we take a list of vectors $\left\{\vec{a}_{1}, \vec{a}_{2}, \ldots, \vec{a}_{n}\right\}$ and run the following Gram-Schmidt algorithm on it to perform orthonormalization. It produces the vectors $\left\{\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{q}_{2}, \ldots, \vec{q}_{n}\right\}$.

```
for \(i=1\) up to \(n\) do
\(\triangleright\) Iterate through the vectors
    \(\vec{r}_{i}=\vec{a}_{i}-\sum_{j<i} \vec{q}_{j}\left(\vec{q}_{j}^{\top} \vec{a}_{i}\right) \quad \triangleright\) Find the amount of \(\vec{a}_{i}\) that remains after we project
    if \(\vec{r}_{i}=\overrightarrow{0}\) then
        \(\vec{q}_{i}=\overrightarrow{0}\)
    else
        \(\vec{q}_{i}=\frac{\vec{r}_{i}}{\left\|\vec{r}_{i}\right\|} \quad \triangleright\) Normalize the vector.
    end if
end for
```

In this problem, we prove the correctness of the Gram-Schmidt algorithm by showing that the following three properties hold on the vectors output by the algorithm.

1. If $\vec{q}_{i} \neq \overrightarrow{0}$, then $\vec{q}_{i}^{\top} \vec{q}_{i}=\left\|\vec{q}_{i}\right\|^{2}=1$ (i.e. the $\vec{q}_{i}$ have unit norm whenever they are nonzero).
2. For all $1 \leq \ell \leq n, \operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{\vec{a}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{a}_{\ell}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{\vec{q}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{q}_{\ell}\right\}\right)$.
3. For all $i \neq j, \vec{q}_{i}^{\top} \vec{q}_{j}=0$ (i.e. $\vec{q}_{i}$ and $\vec{q}_{j}$ are orthogonal).
(a) First, we show that the first property holds by construction from the if/then/else statement in the algorithm. It holds when $\vec{q}_{i}=\overrightarrow{0}$, since the first property has no restrictions on $\vec{q}_{i}$ if it is the zero vector. Show that $\left\|\vec{q}_{i}\right\|=1$ if $\vec{q}_{i} \neq \overrightarrow{0}$.
(b) Next, we show the second property by considering each $\ell$ from 1 to $n$, and showing the statement that $\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{\vec{a}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{a}_{\ell}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{\vec{q}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{q}_{\ell}\right\}\right)$. This statement is true when $\ell=1$ since the algorithm produces $\vec{q}_{1}$ as a scaled version of $\vec{a}_{1}$. Now assume that this statement is true for $\ell=k-1$. Under this assumption, show that the spans are the same for $\ell=k$.
This implies that because $\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{\vec{a}_{1}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{\vec{q}_{1}\right\}\right)$, then so too is $\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{\vec{a}_{1}, \vec{a}_{2}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{q}_{2}\right\}\right)$, and so forth, until we get that $\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{\vec{a}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{a}_{n}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{\vec{q}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{q}_{n}\right\}\right)$.
(HINT: What you need to show is: if there exists $\vec{\alpha}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\alpha_{1} & \cdots & \alpha_{k}\end{array}\right] \neq \overrightarrow{0}_{k}$ so that $\vec{y}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_{j} \vec{a}_{j}$, then there exists $\vec{\beta}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\beta_{1} & \cdots & \beta_{k}\end{array}\right] \neq \overrightarrow{0}_{k}$ such that $\vec{y}=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \beta_{j} \vec{q}_{j}$ (this is the forward direction). And vice versa from $\vec{\beta}$ to $\vec{\alpha}$ (this is the reverse direction).)
(HINT: To show the forward direction, write $\vec{a}_{k}$ in terms of $\vec{q}_{k}$ and earlier $\vec{q}_{j}$. Use the condition for $\ell=k-1$ to show the condition for $\ell=k$. Don't forget the case that $\vec{q}_{k}=\overrightarrow{0}$. The reverse direction may be approached similarly.)
(c) Lastly, we establish orthogonality between every pair of vectors in $\left\{\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{q}_{2}, \ldots, \vec{q}_{n}\right\}$. Consider each $\ell$ from 1 to $n$. We want to show the statement that for all $j<\ell, \vec{q}_{j}^{\top} \vec{q}_{\ell}=0$. The statement holds for $\ell=1$ since there are no $j<1$. Assume that this statement holds for $\ell$ up to and including $k-1$. That is, we assume that for all $i \leq k-1, \vec{q}_{j}^{\top} \vec{q}_{i}=0$ for all $j<i$.
Under this assumption, show that for all $i \leq k$, that $\vec{q}_{j}^{\top} \vec{q}_{i}=0$ for all $j<i$. This shows that every pair of distinct vectors up to $1,2, \ldots, \ell$ are orthogonal for each $\ell$ from 1 to $n$.
(HINT: The cases $i \leq k-1$ are already covered by the assumption. So you can focus on $i=k$. Next, notice that the case $\vec{q}_{k}=\overrightarrow{0}$ is also true, since the inner product of any vector with $\vec{q}_{k}=\overrightarrow{0}$ is $\overrightarrow{0}$. So, focus on the case $\vec{q}_{k} \neq \overrightarrow{0}$ and expand what you know about $\vec{q}_{k}$.)

## 2. Schur Decomposition Algorithm Application

Use the Schur Decomposition Algorithm to upper triangularize the following matrix:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}  \tag{1}\\
0 & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

You may use the fact that an eigenvector of $A$ is $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$, and that an eigenvector of $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right]$ is $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. The algorithm is shown below for your reference:

```
Algorithm 1 Real Schur Decomposition
Require: A square matrix \(A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\) with real eigenvalues.
Ensure: An orthonormal matrix \(U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\) and an upper-triangular matrix \(T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\) such that \(A=\)
    \(U T U^{\top}\).
    function REALSCHURDECOMPOSITION \((A)\)
        if \(A\) is \(1 \times 1\) then
            return \([1], A\)
        end if
        \(\left(\vec{q}_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right):=\) FindEigenvectoreigenvalue \((A)\)
        \(Q:=\operatorname{ExTENDBASIS}\left(\left\{\vec{q}_{1}\right\}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \quad \triangleright\) Extend \(\left\{\vec{q}_{1}\right\}\) to a basis of \(\mathbb{R}^{n}\) using Gram-Schmidt; see Note 13
        Unpack \(Q:=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\vec{q}_{1} & \widetilde{Q}\end{array}\right]\)
        Compute and unpack \(Q^{\top} A Q=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\lambda_{1} & \overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}_{12}^{\top} \\ \overrightarrow{0}_{n-1} & \widetilde{A}_{22}\end{array}\right]\)
        \((P, \widetilde{T}):=\operatorname{REALSCHURDECOMPOSITION}\left(\widetilde{A}_{22}\right)\)
        \(U:=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\vec{q}_{1} & \widetilde{Q} P\end{array}\right]\)
        \(T:=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\lambda_{1} & \overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}_{12}^{\top} P \\ \overrightarrow{0}_{n-1} & \widetilde{T}\end{array}\right]\)
        return \((U, T)\)
    end function
```

You are welcome to use a calculator/computer for any matrix multiplication steps.

## 3. Using Upper-Triangularization to Solve Differential Equations

You know that for any square matrix $A$ with real eigenvalues, there exists a real matrix $U$ with orthonormal columns and a real upper triangular matrix $R$ so that $A=U R U^{\top}$. In particular, to set notation explicitly:

$$
\begin{align*}
U & =\left[\vec{u}_{1}, \vec{u}_{2}, \cdots, \vec{u}_{n}\right]  \tag{2}\\
R & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
\vec{r}_{1}^{\top} \\
\vec{r}_{2}^{\top} \\
\vdots \\
\vec{r}_{n}^{\top}
\end{array}\right] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the rows of the upper-triangular $R$ look like

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vec{r}_{1}^{\top}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
\lambda_{1} & r_{1,2} & r_{1,3} & \ldots & r_{1, n}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{4}\\
& \vec{r}_{2}^{\top}=\left[0, \lambda_{2}, r_{2,3}, r_{2,4}, \ldots \quad r_{2, n}\right]  \tag{5}\\
& \vec{r}_{i}^{\top}=[\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{i-1 \text { times }}, \lambda_{i}, r_{i, i+1}, r_{i, i+2}, \ldots, r_{i, n}]  \tag{6}\\
& \vec{r}_{n}^{\top}=[\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-1 \text { times }}, \lambda_{n}] \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $\lambda_{i}$ are the eigenvalues of $A$.
Suppose our goal is to solve the $n$-dimensional system of differential equations written out in vector/matrix form as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \vec{x}(t) & =A \vec{x}(t)+\vec{u}(t)  \tag{8}\\
\vec{x}(0) & =\vec{x}_{0} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vec{x}_{0}$ is a specified initial condition and $\vec{u}(t)$ is a given vector of functions of time. (Note: $u(t)$ is not the same as the columns of $U$ above)
Assume that the $U$ and $R$ have already been computed and are accessible to you using the notation above.

Assume that you have access to a function $\operatorname{ScalarSolve}\left(\lambda, y_{0}, \breve{u}\right)$ that takes a real number $\lambda$, a real number $y_{0}$, and a real-valued function of time $\check{u}$ as inputs and returns a real-valued function of time that is the solution to the scalar differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} y(t)=\lambda y(t)+\check{u}(t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial condition $y(0)=y_{0}$.
Also assume that you can do regular arithmetic using real-valued functions and it will do the right thing. So if $u$ is a real-valued function of time, and $g$ is also a real-valued function of time, then $5 u+6 g$ will be a real valued function of time that evaluates to $5 u(t)+6 g(t)$ at time $t$.
Use $U, R$ to construct a procedure for solving this differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \vec{x}(t)=A \vec{x}(t)+\vec{u}(t) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{x}(0)=\vec{x}_{0} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\vec{x}(t)$ by filling in the following template in the spots marked $\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \diamond, \Omega, \boldsymbol{\varphi}$
NOTE: It will be useful to upper triangularize $A$ by change of basis to get a differential equation in terms of $R$ instead of $A$.
(HINT: The process here should be similar to diagonalization with some modifications. Start from the last row of the system and work your way up to understand the algorithm.)
1: $\overrightarrow{\widetilde{x}}_{0}=U^{\top} \vec{x}_{0} \quad \triangleright$ Change the initial condition to be in $V$-coordinates
$\overrightarrow{\widetilde{u}}=U^{\top} \vec{u} \quad \triangleright$ Change the external input functions to be in $V$-coordinates
for $i=n$ down to 1 do $\quad \triangleright$ Iterate up from the bottom row
$\check{u}_{i}=\mathbf{\infty}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\infty} \quad \triangleright$ Make the effective input for this level
$\widetilde{x}_{i}=\operatorname{ScalarSolve}\left(\diamond, \widetilde{x}_{0, i}, \check{u}_{i}\right) \quad \triangleright$ Solve this level's scalar differential equation
end for
7: $\vec{x}(t)=\varnothing\left[\begin{array}{c}\widetilde{x}_{1} \\ \widetilde{x}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{x}_{n}\end{array}\right](t)$
$\triangleright$ Change back into original coordinates
(a) Give the expression for $\odot$ on line 7 of the algorithm above. (i.e., how do you get from $\overrightarrow{\tilde{x}}(t)$ to $\vec{x}(t)$ ?)
(b) Give the expression for $\diamond$ on line 5 of the algorithm above. (i.e., what are the $\lambda$ arguments to ScalarSolve, equation (2), for the $i^{\text {th }}$ iteration of the for-loop?)
(HINT: Convert the differential equation to be in terms of $R$ instead of $A$. It may be helpful to start with $i=n$ and develop a general form for the $i^{\text {th }}$ row.)
(c) Give the expression for $\&$ on line 4 of the algorithm above.
(d) Give the expression for $\boldsymbol{A}$ on line 4 of the algorithm above.

## 4. RLC Responses: Critically Damped Case

It is recommended that you complete the previous problem before starting this one. Consider the series RLC circuit below. Notice $R$ is not specified yet. You'll have to figure out what that is.


Assume the circuit above has reached steady state for $t<0$. At time $t=0$, the switch changes state and disconnects the voltage source, replacing it with a short. We can take the value of $V_{s}$ as $V_{s}=1 \mathrm{~V}$. For this problem, you may use a calculator/computer for calculations.

We can represent this circuit with the following vector differential equation:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \vec{x}(t)=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{R}{L} & -\frac{1}{L}  \tag{13}\\
\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} & 0
\end{array}\right]}_{A} \vec{x}(t)
$$

where $\vec{x}(t):=\left[\begin{array}{c}I_{L}(t) \\ V_{C}(t)\end{array}\right]$. We may calculate the eigenvalues of $A$ symbolically as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{R}{L}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^{2}-\frac{4}{L C}}  \tag{14}\\
& \lambda_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{R}{L}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^{2}-\frac{4}{L C}} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

(a) Show that, if $R=2 \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}}$, then the two eigenvalues of $A$ will be identical.
(b) Using the previous part and the given values for capacitance and inductance, we find that our matrix is

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-4 \times 10^{6} & -4 \times 10^{4}  \tag{16}\\
10^{8} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Show that the dimension of the eigenspace of $A-\lambda I$ is 1 , where $\lambda$ is the sole eigenvalue of $A$. Then, explain why we cannot use diagonalization. Here, $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=-2 \times 10^{6}$. Remember that we define the eigenspace of an eigenvalue to be $\operatorname{Null}(A-\lambda I)$.
(c) There are multiple ways to find an upper triangular matrix of $A$, and it is not unique. If you use the Schur decomposition method covered in lecture, you would find an upper triangular matrix $R$ and the associated basis $U$ for the system matrix $A$. For brevity, we will provide you with the basis $U$ :

$$
U=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2501}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 50  \tag{17}\\
-50 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note that $U$ is an orthonormal matrix. Find the associated triangular matrix $R$. You may use your favorite matrix calculator, e.g. Python, Jupyter notebook, MATLAB, Mathematica, Wolfram Alpha, etc.
(d) We have solved for a coordinate system $U$ which triangularizes our system matrix $A$ to the $R$ we found. Apply the algorithm you found in the previous problem to solve for $\vec{x}(t)$, given $I_{L}(0)=0$ and $V_{C}(0)=V_{S}$. Remember, $u(t)=0$ in this case.
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